CHAPTER 1 - BUDGET POLICY

PART I - BUDGET ORGANIZATION

A. Through its financial plan (Budget), the City will do the following:

1. Draw upon Council’s goals, objectives, and desired outcomes.
2. Identify citizens' needs for essential services.
3. Organize programs to provide essential services.
4. Establish program policies and goals that define the type and level of program services required.
5. List suitable activities for delivering program services.
6. Propose objectives for improving the delivery of program services.
7. Consider budget committees recommendations.
8. Identify available resources and appropriate the resources needed to conduct program activities and accomplish program objectives.
9. Set standards to measure and evaluate the following:
   - the output of program activities
   - the accomplishment of program objectives
   - the expenditure of program appropriations

B. All requests for increased funding or enhanced levels of service should be considered together during the budget process, rather than in isolation. According to state statute, the budget officer (City Manager) shall prepare and file a proposed budget with the City Council by the first scheduled council meeting in May.

C. The City Council will review and amend appropriations, if necessary, during the fiscal year.

D. The City will prepare the budget on an annual basis and may consider a mid-year budget adjustment.

1. The emphasis of the budget process includes establishing expected levels of services, within designated funding levels, projected over the next fiscal year, with the focus on the budget.
2. Any budget requests that will be considered are ones that; will come with revenue offsets;
   a. are accompanied by expense reductions, or that;
   b. are required by law; or
   c. are necessitated by market/environment changes that happened since the last budget adoption

E. Through its financial plan, the City will strive to maintain Structural
POLICIES & OBJECTIVES

Balance; ensuring basic service levels are predictable and cost effective. A balance should be maintained between the services provided and the local economy's ability to pay.

F. The City will strive to improve productivity, though not by the single-minded pursuit of cost savings. The concept of productivity should emphasize the importance of quantity and quality of output as well as quantity of resource input.

G. General Fund budget surplus should be used for capital projects.

PART II—ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT GRANT POLICY
(AS OF JUNE 23, 2022, THE GRANT PROGRAM IS UNDER REVIEW; APPLICATIONS WILL NOT BE ACCEPTED UNTIL FURTHER NOTICE)

Annually, the City will allocate up to $50,000 to be used towards retaining and growing existing businesses, and attracting and promoting new organizations that will fulfill key priority goals of the City’s Biennial Strategic Plans and General Plan. Funding will be available for relocation and/or expansion of current businesses, and new business start-up costs only.

A. ED Grant Distribution Criteria

Applications will be evaluated on the following criteria in order to be eligible for an ED Grant:

Criteria #1: The organization must demonstrate a sound business plan that strongly supports the Goals of the City Economic Development Plan.

Criteria # 2: The organization must commit to and demonstrate the ability to do business in the City limits for a duration of no less than three years. Funding cannot be used for one-time events.

Criteria #3: The organization must produce items or provide services that are consistent with the Economic Development Work Plan and align with the City’s General Plan to enhance the safety, health, prosperity, moral well being, peace, order, comfort, or convenience of the inhabitants of the City. The organization must either conditionally agree to participate in or to expand programs or services, or otherwise provide evidence of existing services and initiatives consistent with the goals stated in Park City’s Biennial Strategic Plan in the sectors of: Housing, Transportation, and Energy.

Criteria #4: The organization must demonstrate substantial contribution to the central goals of the City’s General Plan, including specific and significant commitment to the majority of the main sectors of:

a. Fostering a strong sense of community vitality and vibrancy;
b.— Respecting and conserving the natural environment;

e.— Promoting balanced, managed, and sustainable growth;

d.— Supporting and promoting diversity in people, housing and affordability;

e.— Supporting a diverse, stable, and sustainable economy;

f.— Preserving a strong sense of place, character, and heritage.

**Criteria #5: Fiscal Stability and Other Financial Support:** The organization must have the following: (1) A clear description of how public funds will be used and accounted for; (2) Other funding sources that can be used to leverage resources; (3) A sound financial plan that demonstrates managerial and fiscal competence.

**Criteria #6:** The organization can forecast at the time of application the ability to achieve direct or indirect economic/tax benefits equal to or greater than the City’s contribution.

**Criteria #7:** The organization should show a positive contribution to diversifying the local economy by increasing year-round business opportunities, creating new jobs, and increasing the local tax base.

The City’s Economic Development Program Committee will review all applications and submit a recommendation to City Council, who will have final authority in judging whether an applicant meets these criteria.

**B. Economic Development Grant Fund Appropriations**

The City currently allocates economic development funds from the Lower Park RDA ($20,000), the General Fund ($10,000), and the Main Street RDA ($20,000). Of these funds, no more than $50,000 per annum will be available for ED Grants. Unspent fund balances at the end of a year will not be carried forward to future years.

**C. ED Grant Categories**

ED Grants will be placed in three potential categories:

1. **Business Relocation Assistance:** This category of grants will be available for assisting an organization with relocation and new office set up costs. Expenses covered through an ED Grant include but are not limited to: moving costs, leased space costs, fixtures/furnishings/ and equipment related to setting up office space within the City limits.

2. **New Business Start-up Assistance:** This category of grants will be available for assisting a new organization or business with new office set up costs. Expenses covered through an ED Grant include but are not limited to: leased office space costs, fixtures/furnishings/ and equipment related to setting up office space within the City limits.
3. **Business Expansion Assistance:** This category of grants will be available for assisting an organization or business with expansion costs. These expansions should increase square footage, increase year-round jobs in City limits and/or increase tax revenue; and/or demonstrate a venture into an area considered a diversification of our economic base.

D. **Application Process**

Application forms may be downloaded from the City’s www.parkcity.org website, are available via email from the Economic Development Manager, or are available within the Economic Development Office of City Hall. Applications will be evaluated and awarded on a quarterly basis.

E. **Deadlines**

All applications for Economic Development Grants must be received no later than the following dates each year to be eligible for quarterly consideration;

1Q—Second Friday in August for the end of the First Quarter (September 30th)
2Q—Second Friday in November for the end of the Second Quarter (Dec. 31st)
3Q—Second Friday in February for the end of the Third Quarter (March 31st)
4Q—Second Friday in May for the end of the Fourth Quarter (June 30th)

The City Council will consider in a public meeting any application received by each of the quarterly deadlines within 6 weeks. Extraordinary requests outside the scheduled application process may be considered, unless otherwise directed by Council.

Extraordinary requests received must meet all of the following criteria to be considered:

1. The request must meet all of the normal Public Service Fund Distribution Criteria and qualify under the Economic Development Grant criteria;

2. The applicant must show that the requested funds represent an immediate fiscal need that could not have been anticipated before the deadline; and

3. The applicant must demonstrate significant consequences of not being able to wait for the next quarterly review.

F. **Award Process**

The disbursement of the ED Grants shall be administered pursuant to applications and criteria established by the Economic Development Department, and awarded by the City Council consistent with this policy and upon the determination that the appropriation is necessary and appropriate to accomplish the economic goals of the City.

ED Grants funds will be appropriated through processes separate from the biennial Special Service Contract and ongoing Rent Contribution and Historic Preservation process.
The Economic Development Program Committee will review all applications on a quarterly basis, and forward a recommendation to City Council for authorization. All potential awards of grants will be publicly noticed 14 days ahead of a City Council action.

Nothing in this policy shall create a binding contract or obligation of the City. Individual ED Grant Contracts may vary from contract to contract at the discretion of the City Council. Any award of a contract is valid only for the term specified therein and shall not constitute a promise of future award. The City reserves the right to reject any and all proposals, and to waive any technical deficiency at its sole discretion. Members of the City Council, the Economic Development Program Committee, and any advisory board, Task Force or special committee with the power to make recommendations regarding ED Contracts are ineligible to apply for such Contracts. City Departments are also ineligible to apply for ED Contracts. All submittals shall be public records in accordance with government records regulations (“GRAMA”) unless otherwise designated by the applicant pursuant to UCA Section 63-2-308, as amended.

PART III - VENTURE FUND

In each of the Budgets since FY1990, the City Council has authorized a sum of money to encourage innovation and to realize opportunities not anticipated in the regular program budgets. The current budget includes $50,000 in each of the next two years for this purpose. The City Manager is to administer the money, awarding it to programs or projects within the municipal structure (the money is not to be made available to outside groups or agencies). Generally, employees are to propose expenditures that could save the City money or improve the delivery of services. The City Manager will evaluate the proposal based on the likelihood of a positive return on the “investment,” the availability of matching money from the department, and the advantage of immediate action. Proposals requiring more than $10,000 from the Venture Fund must be approved by the City Council prior to expenditure.

PART IV II - OPERATING CONTINGENCY ACCOUNTS

In accordance with sound budgeting principles, a certain portion of the annual operating budget is set aside for contingency or unanticipated cost necessary to fulfill the objectives of Council and the City’s goals and mission, including emergencies and disasters. The following policy outlines the parameters and circumstances under which contingency funding is to be administered:

A. Access to General Contingency Funds
   Monies set aside in the general contingency account shall be accessible for the following purposes. In the event that there are insufficient contingency funds to satisfy all claims on the funding, the City shall strive to allocate funding according to priority order: Top Priority - Purpose #1; 2nd Priority - Purpose #2; Last Priority - Purpose #3.

   1. Ensure that the City satisfies State mandated budget requirements
POLICIES & OBJECTIVES

a. This purpose may include, but is not necessarily limited to, the following scenarios:
   i. The City realizes less than the anticipated and budget personnel vacancy
   ii. One or more budget functions (as recognized by the state auditor) exceed budgeted expenditure levels in a fiscal year
   iii. Other non-compliances with state budget requirements which could be resolved through utilization of contingency budget

b. The City Manager is authorized to approve requests under this section for any expense under $15,000. Any item over $15,000 that is not anticipated in the current budget is subject to Council approval (see Purchasing Policy).

2. Enable the City to meet Council directed levels of service despite significant shifts in circumstances unforeseen when the budget was adopted
   a. These circumstances may include, but are not necessarily limited to, the following:
      i. A significant increase in the cost of goods or contracted services
      ii. Large fluctuations in customer or user demand
      iii. Organizational changes requiring short-term or bridge solutions to meet existing LOS
      iv. Large-scale mechanical or equipment failure requiring immediate replacement
      v. Other unforeseen changes to the cost of providing City services

   b. Requests for use of contingency funds under this section must be submitted in writing to the City Manager and the Budget Department with justification clearly detailed
   c. The City Manager is authorized to approve requests under this section for any expense under $15,000. Any item over $15,000 that is not anticipated in the current budget is subject to Council approval (see Purchasing Policy).

3. Facilitate Council directed increases in level of service in the short term
   a. Council may direct staff to use contingency funds for purposes of initiating an increased level of service in the middle of a budget year or for capital projects not previously funded in the 5-Year Capital Improvement Plan
   b. Long term funding for increased levels of service should be identified in the budget process
   c. All requests for ongoing level of service increases should pass through the Request for Elevated Level of Service (RELS) process and the Budgeting for Outcomes (BFO) framework, whether the funding source is contingency or another source
   d. The City Manager is authorized to approve requests under this section for any expense under $15,000, following direction from the City Council to expand levels of service. Any item over $15,000 that is not anticipated in the current budget is subject to Council approval (see Purchasing Policy).

B. Access to Emergency Contingency Funds
Monies set aside in the Emergency Contingency account shall be accessible for the following purposes:

1. Unforeseen emergencies or disasters that require immediate response and incur short to mid-term unbudgeted expenses up to $100,000. Emergency Contingency funds are targeted at small to moderate incidents that incur immediate funding needs for actions such as, but not limited to, debris removal, flood mitigation measures, wildfire response, severe weather, pandemics, water service disruptions and extended Emergency Operations Center (EOC) mobilization. Larger disaster funding requirements will be addressed by the City Council’s ability to exceed the budget in a declared emergency (Utah 10-6-129. Uniform Fiscal Procedures Act for Utah Cities - Emergency expenditures).

2. In the case of emergency expenditures may be authorized by the Emergency Manager up to $2,500, the Chief of Police up to $5,000, the Finance Manager up to $100,000 and the City Manager beyond $100,000. In addition, since the emergency contingency budget is capped at $100,000, any transaction over this amount will need City Council’s approval unless another funding source is identified.

C. **Access to Council Contingency Funds**
   1. **Facilitate Council directed increases in level of service in the short term.**
      a. Council may direct staff to use contingency funds for purposes of initiating an increased level of service in the middle of a budget year or for one-time operating or capital budgets, not previously budgeted.
      b. All requests for ongoing level of service increases should pass through the Budgeting for Outcomes (BFO) framework, whether the funding source is contingency or another source.
      c. Council will direct staff to access contingency funding through a simple majority vote.

D. **Monitoring**

1. The Budget Department will monitor all expenditure from contingency accounts monthly, ensuring that access to the account is compliant with the above procedures.

2. Total expenses in the General Contingency account may not exceed 50% of the budgeted contingency prior to June 30 without the approval of the City Manager. On or after June 30, expenses may be coded to this account in excess of 50% of budgeted levels, but not to exceed 100% of the adjusted budget.

PART V-III - RECESSION/REVENUE SHORTFALL PLAN

A. The City has established a plan, including definitions, policies, and procedures to address financial conditions that could result in a net shortfall of resources as compared to
POLICIES & OBJECTIVES

requirements. The Plan is divided into the following three components:

1. **Indicators** which serve as warnings that potential budgetary impacts are increasing in probability. The City will monitor key revenue sources such as sales tax, property tax, and building activity, as well as inflation factors and national and state trends.

2. **Phases** which will serve to classify and communicate the severity of the situation, as well as identify the actions to be taken at the given phase.

3. **Actions** which are the preplanned steps to be taken in order to prudently address and counteract the anticipated shortfall.

B. The recession plan and classification of the severity of the economic downturn will be used in conjunction with the City's policy regarding the importance of maintaining revenues to address economic uncertainties. As always, the City will look to ensure that revenues are calculated adequately to provide an appropriate level of city services. As any recessionary impact reduces the City's projected revenues, corrective action will increase proportionately. Following is a summary of the phase classifications and the corresponding actions to be taken. This analysis will be primarily used to determine General Fund reductions, but could apply to other funds as needed. The percent decreases are based on comparing the budgeted revenue in the current fiscal year against projections in the current fiscal year or budgeted revenue in the current fiscal year against projections in the proceeding fiscal year.

1. **Level 1 - ALERT:** An anticipated net reduction in available projected revenues from 1% up to 5%. The actions associated with this phase would best be described as delaying expenditures where reasonably possible, while maintaining the "Same Level" of service. Each department will be responsible for monitoring its individual budgets to ensure only essential expenditures are made.

2. **Level 2 - MINOR:** A reduction in projected revenues in excess of 5%, but less than 15%. The objective at this level is still to maintain "Same Level" of service where possible. Actions associated with this level would be as follows:
   a. Implementing the previously determined "Same Level" Budget.
   b. Intensifying the review process for large items such as contract services, consulting services, and capital expenditures, including capital improvements. Previously approved capital project expenditures which rely on General Fund surplus for funding should be subject to review by the Budget Department.
   c. Closely scrutinizing hiring for vacant positions, delaying the recruitment process, and using temporary help to fill in where possible (soft freeze). The City Manager will review all personnel action with heightened scrutiny, including career development and interim reorganizations, to ensure consistency and equitable application of the soft freeze across the organization.
   d. Closely monitoring and reducing expenditures for travel, seminars, retreats, and bonuses.
   e. Identifying expenditures that would result in a 5% cut to departmental operating budgets while still maintaining the same level of service where possible.
   f. Reprioritizing capital projects with the intent to de-obligate non-critical capital
POLICIES & OBJECTIVES

projects.
g. Limit access to contingency funds.

3. **Level 3 - MODERATE**: A reduction in projected revenues in excess of 15%, but less than 30%. Initiating cuts of service levels by doing the following:
a. Requiring greater justification for large expenditures.
b. Deferring non-critical capital expenditures.
c. Reducing CIP appropriations from the affected fund.
d. Hiring to fill vacant positions only with special justification and authorization.
e. Identifying expenditures that would result in a 10% cut to departmental operating budgets while trying to minimize service level impacts where possible.
f. Eliminate access to contingency funds.

4. **Level 4 - MAJOR**: A reduction in projected revenues of 30% to 50%. Implementation of major service cuts.
a. Instituting a hiring freeze.
b. Reducing the Part-time Non-Benefited and Seasonal work force.
c. Deferring merit wage increases.
d. Further reducing capital expenditures.
e. Preparing a strategy for reduction in force.

5. **Level 5 - CRISIS**: A reduction in projected revenues in excess of 50%.
a. Implementing reduction in force or other personnel cost-reduction strategies.
b. Eliminating programs.
c. Deferring indefinitely capital improvements.

C. If an economic uncertainty is expected to last for consecutive years, the cumulative effect of the projected reduction in reserves will be used for determining the appropriate phase and corresponding actions.

PART VI—GRANT POLICY

In an effort to give some uniformity and centralization to the grants administration process for the City, the Budget Department has drafted the following guidelines for all grants applied for or received by Park City departments.

A. **Application Process**
Departments are encouraged to seek out and apply for any suitable grants. The Budget, Debt, & Grants Department is available to assist City departments in the search and application process. Whereas departments are encouraged to work side-by-side with the Budget Department in the application process, they are required at a minimum to communicate their intention to apply for a grant to the Budget Department. They are further required to send a copy of the finalized grant application to the Budget Department.

B. **Executing a Grant**
In the event of a successful grant application, the grantee department must notify the Budget Department immediately to schedule a meeting to discuss the grant administration strategy. All grants require approval by the Budget Manager before grant execution. If a check is sent by the granting entity to the grantee department, that check should be forwarded to the Budget Department and not deposited by the grantee department. It will be the Budget Department’s responsibility to assure that all grant money is appropriately accounted for.

The Budget Department will create detailed physical and electronic files that include the following information provided by the grantee department:
1. A copy of the grant application
2. The notice of award
3. Copies of invoices and expense documentation
4. Copies of checks received from the granting entity
5. Copies of significant communication (emails, letters, etc.) regarding the grant
6. Contact information for the granting entity
7. Contact information for project/program managers

Because many grants have varying regulations, terms, and deadlines, the Budget Department will assume the responsibility to meet those terms and monitoring requirements. The Budget Department will also track remaining balances on reimbursement-style grants. Information such as current balances, important deadlines, etc., will be provided to grantee departments on a regular basis or upon request. This centralized maintenance of grant documents will simplify grant queries and audits.

C. Budgeting for a Grant
Generally, operating and capital budgets will not be increased to account for a grant before the grant is awarded. Any department that receives a grant should fill out a budget option during the regular budget process. The option should be to increase either their operating or capital budget (depending on the grant specifications) for the appropriate year by the amount of the grant. The Budget Department will share the responsibility for seeing that the grant is budgeted correctly.

D. Spending Money against a Grant
When a department is ready to spend grant funds on a particular qualifying expense, they are to send copies of invoices for that expense to the Budget Department within one week of receiving the invoice. If the grant is a reimbursement-style grant, the Budget Department will manage the necessary drawdown requests. The Budget Department will provide departments with a report of the grant balance after each expense and/or drawdown. In the case that a reimbursement check is sent to the grantee department, it should be forwarded to the Budget Department for proper monitoring and accounting.

E. Closing a Grant
Some grants have specific close-out requirements. The Budget Department is responsible for meeting those terms and may call on grantee departments for specific information needed in the close-out process.
Many departments are already following a similar process for their grants and have found it to be a much more efficient practice than the often chaotic alternatives. Of course, no policy is one size fits all, so some grants may not fit into the program. In that case, an alternative plan will be worked out through a meeting with the Budget Department directly following the award of the grant.

PART VII – MONTHLY BUDGET MONITORING

The Budget Department will provide Council with a monthly budget report to ensure financial transparency and accountability. The format of these reports follows the audit procedure from the State Compliance Audit Guide, the Utah statute, and sample summary reports found in the Utah Uniform Accounting Manual. The staff report will include any variances of note and report those to Council. The Budget Department will work with departments to identify any overages and correct discrepancies as needed.

Managers are expected to know the status of their budget at all times as well as understand the primary drivers which may cause shortages. Managers should analyze the data provided by the Budget Department throughout the fiscal year with the help of the budget software to assist them in managing their budgets. Managers set their own budget during the budget season by determining current expenditures (and revenues) and forecasting them for the remaining fiscal year as well as the following one. This process also helps managers to determine budget options at the beginning of the calendar year.

The Budget Department will train all managers and selected analysts on the budget monitoring software as well as clarify any other general questions regarding the budget and the budget process. The goal here is to make the managers aware of all the tools they need and how to use them.

In order to make Park City Municipal more fiscally proficient it is important to monitor the budget more closely and regularly. This will make the entire city more accountable. The goal is to work on focusing City efforts of budgeting in six areas: monitoring, reporting, analysis, discussion, training, and review. This policy outlines the monthly budget monitoring process in three different areas of responsibility: Budget Department, Departmental Managers, and Teams (Managerial Groups).

A. Monitoring

1. Budget Department - The department sends out emails to all managers on a weekly basis, detailing any overages or concerns the department has. In the event a department exceeds its monthly allotment a meeting will be set up with the Budget Department and the manager in charge of the department’s budget to discuss the reasons for the overage and a plan for recovery.

2. Managers - Managers are in charge of their own budgets and are required to monitor it throughout the year using the supplied tools.
3. **Teams**—Team members will act in an advisory role to help or assist other managers with their budgets as well as strategize the sharing of resources to help cover shortages in the short-term.

**B. Reporting**

1. **Budget Department**
   - The department analyzes and disperses a monthly monitoring report that details expenditures over revenues by fund for council and the city manager to view.
   - The department analyzes and disperses a report which shows detailed personnel expenses (budgeted vs. actual) on a position by position basis.
   - The department created an up-to-date monthly budget for each department available on the citywide shared drive. This report requires minimal training by the budget department in order to fully understand it. Basically, it implements the concept of a monthly budget in the current annual budget setup by dividing the year into twelve periods. These periods are allotted a certain amount of budget based on past expenditures for those months—this will account for seasonality of certain departments’ budgets. This electronic report assists managers in monitoring and analyzing their own budgets throughout the year.
   - The department analyzes and disperses any kind of report requested by departmental managers such as Detail Reports, Custom Reports, etc.

2. **Managers**—Managers review their emails and budget reports offered by the Budget Department. If problems or questions arise it is imperative that managers discuss these issues with the Budget Department and their team in a timely fashion, thereby helping to ease the budget option process at the end of the fiscal year. Where possible, departmental analysts charged with budget responsibilities should have a thorough knowledge of the content of these reports and be able to understand and use them appropriately. The Budget Department will rely on departmental managers and analysts to identify and communicate any report errors or inadequacies.

3. **Teams**—Team members should also look for any problems on budget reports and discuss them with the Budget Department if necessary or with other team members.

**C. Analysis**

1. **Budget Department**—As far as analysis, the department acts as more of a resource than anything else—helping out managers with specific questions and/or concerns. The Budget Department is always analyzing and breaking down the overall citywide budget, but general analysis of individual departments is the responsibility of the managers. Of course, the Budget Department will lend its resources and expertise for purposes of budget analysis upon the request of the departmental manager.

2. **Managers**—Managers are expected to know the status of their budget at all times as
well as understand the primary drivers which may cause shortages. Managers should analyze the data provided by the Budget Department throughout the fiscal year with the help of monthly monitoring, personnel, department specific, and detail reports to assist them in managing their budgets. Managers set their own budget during the budget season by determining current expenditures (and revenues) and forecasting them for the remaining fiscal year as well as the following one. This process also helps managers to determine budget options at the beginning of the calendar year.

3. **Teams**—Team members assist other managers on budget concerns and share ideas on how to make budgeting more efficient.

### D. Discussion

1. **Budget Department**—The Budget Department meets with managers on a monthly basis when there are major issues or problems with their budgets upon request. It is expected that the department meets with teams on a quarterly basis to go over budgeting issues within the teams.

2. **Managers**—Managers will meet with the Budget Department whenever issues arise within their own budgets. Managers will also go over a general overview of their budget with their teams in preparation for the budget season’s priority list of options.

3. **Teams**—Team members may assist other managers with any budget concerns. At quarterly team meetings teams should discuss budget concerns, including possible budget options, the necessity of shared resources, etc.

### E. Training

1. **Budget Department**—The Budget Department will train all managers and selected analysts on the budget monitoring software in the details of the new monthly monitoring program as well as clarify any other general questions regarding the budget and the budget process. The goal here is to make the managers aware of all the tools they need and how to use them. (One hour budget tools training to be offered semi-annually.)

2. **Managers**—It will be up to the managers to become well-versed on the budget monitoring software the monthly budgeting program as well as their own budgets.

3. **Teams**—Team members will become well-versed on the monthly budgeting program and discuss with other managers any questions or problems. To the extent that further training is required, teams should request specific training to be given by the Budget Dept at quarterly meetings.

### F. Review

1. **Budget Department**—There is a performance measure for the Budget Department establishing the goal of coming in within budget for the entire city. A question regarding the Budget Department’s usefulness as a budget monitoring resource will be included on the Internal Service Survey, which will directly affect the Budget Officer’s performance review.
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2. Managers—A new performance measure is included for each department establishing the goal of coming in within budget.

3. Teams—Team members will take part in 360 reviews of managers that includes a section for fiscal responsibility in their job description. This allows team members to consider a manager’s fiscal performance in the context of extenuating circumstances.

CHAPTER 2 - REVENUE MANAGEMENT

PART I - GENERAL REVENUE MANAGEMENT

A. The City will seek to maintain a diversified and stable revenue base to protect it from short-term fluctuations in any one revenue source.

B. The City will make match all current expenditures with current revenues, avoiding procedures that balance current budgets by postponing needed expenditures, accruing future revenues, or rolling over short-term debt.

PART II - ENTERPRISE FUND FEES AND RATES

A. The City will set fees and rates at levels that fully cover the total direct and indirect costs, including debt service, of the Water, Stormwater, and Golf enterprise programs.

B. The City will cover all transit program operating costs, including equipment replacement, with resources generated from the transit sales tax, business license fees, fare revenue, federal and state transit funds, and not more than 1/4 of 1 percent of the resort/city sales tax, without any other general fund contribution. Parking operations will be funded through parking related revenues and the remaining portion of the resort/city sales tax not used by the transit operation. The City will take steps to ensure revenues specifically for transit (transit tax and business license) will not be used for parking operations. The administrative charge paid to the general fund will be set to cover the full amount identified by the cost allocation plan.
POLICIES & OBJECTIVES

C. The City will review and adjust enterprise fees and rate structures as required to ensure they remain appropriate and equitable.

PART III - INVESTMENTS

A. Policy
   It is the policy of the Park City Municipal Corporation (PCMC) and its appointed Treasurer to invest public funds in a manner that ensures maximum safety, provides adequate liquidity to meet all operating requirements, and achieve the highest possible investment return consistent with the primary objectives of safety and liquidity. The investment of funds shall comply with applicable statutory provisions, including the State Money Management Act, the rules of the State Money Management Council and rules of pertinent bond resolutions or indentures, or other pertinent legal restrictions.

B. Scope
   This investment policy applies to funds held in City accounts for the purpose of providing City Services. Specifically, this Policy applies to the City’s General Fund, Enterprise Funds, and Capital Project Funds. Trust and Agency Funds shall be invested in the State of Utah Public Treasurer’s Investment Pool.

C. Prudence
   Investments shall be made with judgment and care under circumstances then prevailing which persons of prudence, discretion and intelligence exercise in the management of their own affairs, not for speculation, but for investment considering the probable safety of their capital and the probable income to be derived.

The standard of prudence to be used by the Treasurer shall be applied in the context of managing an overall portfolio. The Treasurer, acting in accordance with written procedures and the investment policy and exercising due diligence shall be relieved of personal responsibility for an individual security’s credit risk or market price changes, provided deviations from expectations are reported in a timely fashion and appropriate action is taken to control adverse developments.

D. Objective
   The City's primary investment objective is to achieve a reasonable rate of return while minimizing the potential for capital losses arising from market changes or issuer default. So, the following factors will be considered, in priority order, to determine individual investment placements: safety, liquidity, and yield.

   1. Safety: Safety of principal is the foremost objective of the investment program. Investments of the Park City Municipal Corporation shall be undertaken in a manner that seeks to ensure the preservation of capital in the overall portfolio. To attain this objective, diversification is required in order that potential losses on individual securities do not exceed the income generated from the remainder of the portfolio.
2. **Liquidity:** The Park City Municipal Corporation’s investment portfolio will remain sufficiently liquid to enable the PCMC to meet all operating requirements which might be reasonably anticipated.

3. **Return on Investment:** The PCMC’s investment portfolio shall be designed with the objective of attaining a rate of return throughout budgetary and economic cycles, commensurate with the PCMC’s investment risk constraints and the cash flow characteristics of the portfolio.

**E. Delegation of Authority**

Investments and cash management will be the responsibility of the City Treasurer or his designee. The City Council grants the City Treasurer authority to manage the City’s investment policy. No person may engage in an investment transaction except as provided under the terms of this policy and the procedures established by the Treasurer. The Treasurer shall be responsible for all transactions undertaken and shall establish a system of controls to regulate the activities of subordinate officials.

**F. Ethics and Conflicts of Interest**

The Treasurer is expected to conduct himself or themselves in a professional manner and within ethical guidelines as established by City and State laws. The Treasurer shall refrain from personal business activity that could conflict with proper execution of the investment program, or which could impair their ability to make impartial investment decisions. The Treasurer and other employees shall disclose to the City Manager any material financial institutions that conduct business within this jurisdiction, and they shall further disclose any large personal financial/investment positions that could be related to the performance of the PCMC, particularly with regard to the time of purchase and sales.

**G. Authorized Financial Dealers and Institutions**

Investments shall be made only with certified dealers. “Certified dealer” means: (1) a primary dealer recognized by the Federal Reserve Bank of New York who is certified by the Utah Money Management Council as having met the applicable criteria of council rule; or (2) a broker dealer as defined by Section 51-7-3 of the Utah Money Management Act.

**H. Authorized and Suitable Investments**

Authorized deposits or investments made by PCMC may be invested only in accordance with the Utah Money Management Act (Section 51-7-11) as follows:

1. The Public Treasurer’s Investment Fund (PTIF)
2. Collateralized Repurchase Agreements
3. Reverse Repurchase agreements
4. First Tier Commercial Paper
5. Banker Acceptances
6. Fixed Rate negotiable deposits issued by qualified depositories
7. United States Treasury Bills, notes and bonds

Obligations other than mortgage pools and other mortgage derivative products issued
by the following agencies or instrumentalities of the United States in which a market is made by a primary reporting government securities dealer:

1. Federal Farm Credit Banks
2. Federal Home Loan Banks
3. Federal National Mortgage Association
   4. Student Loan Marketing Association
4. Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation
5. Federal Agriculture Mortgage Corporation
6. Tennessee Valley Authority
7. Fixed rate corporate obligations that are rated “A” or higher
8. Other investments as permitted by the Money Management Act

I. Investment Pools
A thorough investigation of the Utah Public Treasurer’s Investment Fund (PTIF) is required on a continual basis. The PCMC Treasurer shall have the following questions and issues addressed annually by the PTIF:

1. A description of eligible investment securities, and a written statement of investment policy and objectives.
2. A description of interest calculations and how it is distributed, and how gains and losses are treated.
3. A description of how the securities are safeguarded (including the settlement process), and how often are the securities priced and the program audited.
4. A description of who may invest in the program, how often and what size deposit and withdrawal.
5. A schedule for receiving statements and portfolio listings.
6. Are reserves, retained earnings, etc. utilized by the pool/fund?
7. A fee schedule, and when and how is it assessed.
8. Is the pool/fund eligible for bond proceeds and/or will it except such proceeds.

J. Safekeeping and Custody
All securities shall be conducted on a delivery versus payment basis to the PCMC’s bank. The bank custodian shall have custody of all securities purchased and the Treasurer shall hold all evidence of deposits and investments of public funds.

K. Diversification
PCMC will diversify its investments by security type and institution. With the exception of U.S. Treasury securities and authorized pools, no more than 50 percent of the PCMC’s total investment portfolio will be invested in a single security type.

L. Maximum Maturities
The term of investments executed by the Treasurer may not exceed the period of availability of the funds to be invested. The maximum maturity of any security shall not exceed five years. The City’s investment strategy shall be active and monitored monthly by the Treasurer and reported quarterly to the City Council. The investment strategy will
satisfy the City’s investment objectives.

M. Internal Control
The Treasurer shall establish an annual process of independent review by an external auditor. This review will provide internal control by assuring compliance with policies and procedures.

N. Performance Standards
The investment portfolio shall be designed with the objective of obtaining a rate of return throughout budgetary and economic cycles, commensurate with the investment risk constraints and the cash flow needs. The City’s investment strategy is active. Given this strategy, the basis used by the Treasurer to determine whether market yields are being achieved by investments other than those in the PTIF will be the monthly yield of the PTIF.

O. Reporting
The Treasurer shall provide to the City Council quarterly investment reports which provide a clear picture of the current status of the investment portfolio. The quarterly reports should contain the following:

1. A listing of individual securities held at the end of the reporting period
2. Average life and final maturity of all investments listed
3. Coupon, discount, or earnings rate
4. Par Value, Amortized Book Value and Market Value
5. Percentage of the portfolio represented by each investment category

The City’s annual financial audit shall report the City’s portfolio in a manner consistent with the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) market based requirements that go into effect in June of 1997.

P. Investment Policy Adoption
As part of its annual budget process, the City Council shall adopt the investment policy every two years.

PART IV – SALVAGE POLICY

This policy establishes specific procedures and instructions for the disposition of surplus property. Surplus property is defined as any property that a department no longer needs for their day-to-day operations.

Personal Property of Park City Municipal Corporation is a fixed asset. It is important that accurate accounting of fixed assets is current. Personal property, as defined by this policy will include, but not limited to rolling stock, machinery, furniture, tools, and electronic equipment. This property has been purchased with public money. It is important that the funds derived from the sale be accounted for as disposed property.
A. Responsibility for Property Inventory Control
It is the responsibilities of the Finance Manager to maintain an inventory for all personal property. The Finance Manager will be responsible for the disposition of all personal property. The Finance Manager will assist in the disposition of all personal property.

B. Disposition of an Asset
Department heads shall identify surplus personal property within the possession of their departments and report such property to the Finance Manager for consideration. The department head should clearly identify age, value, comprehensive description, condition and location. The Finance Manager will notify departments sixty (60) days in advance of pending surplus property sales.

C. Conveyance for Value
The transfer of City-owned personal property shall be the responsibility of the Finance Manager. Conveyance of property shall be based upon the highest and best economic return to the City, except that surplus City-owned property may be offered preferentially to units of government, non-profit or public organizations. The highest and best economic return to the city shall be estimated by one or more of the following methods in priority order:

1. Public auction
2. Sealed competitive bids
3. Evaluation by qualified and disinterested consultant
4. Professional publications and valuation services
5. Informal market survey by the Finance Manager in case of items of personal property possessing readily discernable market value

Sales of City personal property shall be based, whenever possible, upon competitive sealed bids or at public auction. Public auctions may be conducted on-site or through an internet-based auction site at the determination of the Finance Manager. The Finance Manager may, however, waive this requirement when the value of the property has been estimated by an alternate method specified as follows:

1. The value of the property is considered negligible in relation to the cost of sale by bid or public auction;
2. Sale by bidding procedure or public auction are deemed unlikely to produce a competitive bid;
3. Circumstances indicate that bidding or sale at public auction will not be in the best interest of the City; or,
4. The value of the property is less than $50.

In all cases the City will maintain the right to reject any or all bids or offers.

D. Revenue
All monies derived from the sale of personal property shall be credited to the general fund of the City, unless the property was purchased with money derived from an enterprise fund,
or an internal service fund, in which case, the money shall be deposed in the general revenue account of the enterprise or internal service fund from which the original purchase was made.

E. Advertising Sealed Bids
A notice of intent to dispose of surplus City property shall appear in two separate publications at least one week in advance in the Park Record. Notices shall also be posted at the public information bulletin board at Marsac.

F. Employee Participation
City employees and their direct family members are not eligible to participate in the disposal of surplus property unless:

1. Property is offered at public auction
2. If sealed bids are required and no bids are received from general public, a re-bidding may occur with employee participation

G. Surplus Property Exclusion
The Park City Library receives property, books, magazines, and other items as donations from the public. Books, magazines, software, and other items can be disposed from the library’s general collection through the Friends of the Library. The Friends of the Library is a nonprofit organization which sponsors an ongoing public sale open to the public located at the public Library for Park City residents.

H. Compliance
Failure to comply with any part of this policy may result in disciplinary action.

PART IV - COMPREHENSIVE FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT PLAN

To provide the City with the opportunity to identify and resolve financial problems before, rather than after, they occur, the City intends to develop a strategy for fiscal independence. The proposed outline for this plan is below.

A. Scope of Plan

1. A financial review, including the following:
   a. Cost-allocation plan
   b. Revenue handbook (identifying current and potential revenues)
   c. City financial trends (revenues & expenditures)
   d. Performance Measures and Benchmarks

2. Budget reserve policies

3. Long Range Capital Improvement Plan
   a. Project identification and prioritization
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b. CIP financing plan
4. Rate and fee increases
5. Other related and contributing plans and policies
   a. Water Management
   b. Flood Management
   c. Parking Management
   d. Budget
   e. Pavement Management
   f. Property Management
   g. Facilities Master Plan
   h. Recreation Master Plan

B. Assumptions

1. Growth
   a. Population
   b. Resort
2. Inflation
3. Current service levels
   c. Are they adequate?
   d. Are they adequately funded?
4. Minimum reserve levels (fund balances)
5. Property tax increases (When?)

C. Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendations

1. Current financial condition and trends
2. Capital Improvement Program
3. Projected financial trends
4. General operations
5. Capital improvements
6. Debt management

PART IV - RESERVES

A. General Fund Overview:
   1. Purpose
      The General Fund balance reserve is a very important factor in the City's ability to respond to emergencies and revenue shortfalls as well as to maintain the City’s creditworthiness. Any accumulated fund balance is restricted to the following purposes:
      i. to provide working capital to finance expenditures from the beginning of the budget year until other revenue sources are collected;
      ii. to provide resources to meet emergency expenditures in the event of fire, flood, earthquake, etc., and;
      iii. to cover a pending year-end excess of expenditures over revenues from
unavoidable shortfalls in revenues.

2. **Limits**
   Section 10-6-116 of the Utah Code limits the accumulated balance or reserves that may be retained in the General Fund. The accumulation of a fund balance in the city general fund may not exceed 35% of the total revenue of the city general fund for the current fiscal period. For budget purposes, any balance that is greater than 5 percent of the total revenues of the General Fund may be used. The City will strive to maintain the General Fund balance at approximately the legal maximum.

3. **Budget**
   The City Council may appropriate fund balance as needed to balance the budget for the current fiscal year in compliance with State Law. Second, a provision will be made to transfer any remaining General Fund balance to the City’s CIP Fund. These one-time revenues are designated to be used for one-time capital project needs in the City’s Five Year CIP plan. Any amount above an anticipated surplus will be dedicated to completing current projects, ensuring the maintenance of existing infrastructure, or securing funding for previously-identified needs. The revenues should not be used for new capital projects or programming needs.

4. Over the next two years the City will do the following:
   a. Maintain the General Fund Balance at approximately the legal maximum.
   b. Continue to fund the Equipment Replacement Fund at 100%.
   c. Strive to build a balance in the Enterprise Funds equal to at least 20% of operating expenditures.

   This level is considered the minimum level necessary to maintain the City's credit worthiness and to adequately provide for the following:

   a. Economic uncertainties, local disasters, and other financial hardships or downturns in the local or national economy.
   b. Contingencies for unseen operating or capital needs.
   c. Cash flow requirements.

5. The Council may designate specific fund balance levels for future development of capital projects that it has determined to be in the best long-term interests of the City.

6. In addition to the designations noted above, fund balance levels will be sufficient to meet the following:
   a. Funding requirements for projects approved in prior years that are carried forward into the new year.
   b. Debt service reserve requirements.
   c. Reserves for encumbrances.
d.—Other reserves or designations required by contractual obligations or generally accepted accounting principles.

7. In the General Fund, any fund balance in excess of projected balance at year end will be appropriated to the current year budget as necessary. The money will be allocated to building the reserve for capital expenditures, including funding equipment replacement reserves and other capital projects determined to be in the best long-term interest of the City.

B. General Fund:

Section 10-6-116 of the Utah Code limits the accumulated balance or reserves that may be retained in the General Fund. The use of the balance is restricted as well. With the advent of Senate Bill 158 from the 2013 General Session, the maximum balance retained allowed increased from 18 percent to 25 percent of total, estimated, fund revenues and may be used for the following purposes only: (1) to provide working capital to finance expenditures from the beginning of the budget year until other revenue sources are collected; (2) to provide resources to meet emergency expenditures in the event of fire, flood, earthquake, etc.; and (3) to cover a pending year-end excess of expenditures over revenues from unavoidable shortfalls in revenues. For budget purposes, any balance that is greater than 5 percent of the total revenues of the General Fund may be used. The General Fund balance reserve is a very important factor in the City's ability to respond to emergencies and unavoidable revenue shortfalls. Alternative uses of the excess fund balance must be carefully weighed.

The City Council may appropriate fund balance as needed to balance the budget for the current fiscal year in compliance with State Law. Second, a provision will be made to transfer any remaining General Fund balance to the City’s CIP Fund. These one-time revenues are designated to be used for one-time capital project needs in the City’s Five Year CIP plan. Any amount above an anticipated surplus will be dedicated to completing current projects, ensuring the maintenance of existing infrastructure, or securing funding for previously-identified needs. The revenues should not be used for new capital projects or programming needs.

C. Capital Improvements Fund

1. The City may, in any budget year, appropriate from estimated revenues or fund balances to a reserve for capital improvements for the purpose of financing future specific capital improvements under a formal long-range capital plan adopted by the governing body. Thus the City will establish and maintain an Equipment Replacement Capital Improvement Fund to provide a means for timely replacement of vehicles and equipment. The amount added to this fund, by annual appropriation, will be the amount required to maintain the fund at the approved level after credit for the sale of surplus equipment and interest earned by the fund.

2. As allowed by Utah State Code (§ 9-4-914) the City will retain at least $5 million in the Five-Year CIP, ensuring the ability to repay bond obligations as well as maintain a high bond rating. The importance of reserves from a credit standpoint is essential, especially during times of economic uncertainty. Reserves will provide a measure of
financial flexibility to react to budget shortfalls in a timely manner as well as an increased ability to issue debt without insurance.

D. Enterprise Funds

1. The City may accumulate funds as it deems appropriate. The City will strive to maintain a fund balance at approximately 25% of operating expenditures in the current fiscal period.

E. Internal Service, Debt Service, and Special Revenue Funds

1. Operate on a cost recovery basis over several years. Fund balances may build up over time, but should be held to a minimum.
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PART VI – GASB 54 FUND BALANCE
This Fund Balance Policy establishes procedures for reporting fund balance classifications and establishes a hierarchy of fund balance expenditures for governmental type funds. The policy also authorizes and directs the Finance Manager to prepare financial reports, which accurately categorize fund balance per Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 54: Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions (GASB 54).

I. Fund Balance Components
Fund balance is essentially the difference between the assets and liabilities reported in a governmental fund. GASB 54 establishes the following five components of fund balance, each of which identifies the extent to which the City is bound to honor constraints on the specific purposes for which amounts can be spent.

A. Non-spendable Fund Balance
The non-spendable fund balance classification includes amounts that cannot be spent because they are either (a) not in a spendable form or (b) legally or contractually required to be maintained intact. The “not spendable form” criterion includes items that are not expected to be converted to cash, for example, inventories and prepaid amounts. It also includes the long-term amount of loans and notes receivable.

B. Restricted Fund Balance
The restricted fund balance classification includes amounts that reflect constraints placed on the use of resources (other than non-spendable items) that are either (a) externally imposed by creditors (such as through bonded debt reserve funds required pursuant to debt covenants), grantors, contributors, or laws or regulations of other governments; or (b) imposed by law through constitutional provisions or enabling legislation.

C. Committed Fund Balance
The committed fund balance classification includes amounts that can only be used for specific purposes pursuant to constraints imposed by formal action of the government’s highest level of decision-making authority. Those committed amounts cannot be used for any other purpose unless the government removes or changes the specific use by taking the same type of action (for example ordinance) it employed to previously commit those amounts. Committed fund balance also should incorporate contractual obligations to the extent that existing resources in the fund have been specifically committed for use in satisfying those contractual requirements. City Council action of passing an ordinance to commit fund balance needs to occur within the fiscal reporting period; however, the amount can be determined subsequently.

D. Assigned Fund Balance
The assigned fund balance classification includes amounts that are constrained by the government’s intent to be used for specific purposes, but that are neither restricted nor committed. Such intent needs to be established by (a) the governing body itself or (b) a body or official to which the governing body has delegated the authority to assign amounts to be used for specific purposes.
E. **Unassigned Fund Balance**

The unassigned fund balance classification includes amounts that do not fall into one of the above four categories. This classification represents fund balance that has not been assigned to other funds and that has not been restricted, committed or assigned to specific purposes within the general fund. The general fund is the only fund that should report this category of fund balance.

II. **HEIRARCHY OF SPENDING FUND BALANCE**

The City’s current fund balance practice provides that restricted fund balance be spent first when expenditure is incurred for which both restricted and unrestricted fund balance is available. Similarly, when expenditure is incurred for purposes for which amounts in any of the unrestricted classifications of fund balance can be used; committed amounts are to be spent first, followed by assigned amounts and then unassigned amounts. GASB 54 mandates that this hierarchy of expending fund balance be reported in new categories, using new terminology, and be formally adopted by the City Council. It should be noted that the new categories only emphasize the extent which the City is bound to honor expenditure constraints and the purposes for which amounts can be spent. The total reported fund balance would remain unchanged.

III. **COMPARISON OF PAST PRACTICE AND GASB 54 FUND BALANCE TYPES**

**A. General Fund**

Past Practice Definition – The general fund is used to account for all financial resources not accounted for in another fund.

GASB 54 Definition – The general fund is used to account for all financial resources not accounted for in another fund.

**B. Special Revenue Funds**

Past Practice Definition – Special revenue funds account for proceeds of specific revenue sources that are legally restricted to expenditure for specific purposes.

GASB 54 Definition – Special revenue funds are used to account for and report the proceeds of specific revenue sources that are restricted or committed to expenditure for specified purposes other than debt service or capital projects. The term “proceeds of specific revenue sources” establishes that one or more specific restricted or committed revenues should be the foundation for a special revenue fund.

**C. Capital Projects**

Past Practice Definition – Capital project funds account for financial resources to be used for the acquisition or construction of major capital facilities.
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GASB 54 Definition—Capital project funds are used to account for and report financial resources that are restricted, committed, or assigned to expenditure for capital outlays, including the acquisition or construction of capital facilities and other capital assets. Capital project funds exclude those types of capital related outflows financed by proprietary funds, or for assets that will be held in trust for individuals, private organizations, or other governments.

D. Debt Service

Past Practice Definition – Debt service funds account for the accumulation of resources for, and the payment of, general long-term debt principal and interest.

GASB 54 Definition – Debt service funds are used to account for and report financial resources that are restricted, committed, or assigned to expenditure for principal and interest.

CHAPTER 3 – DEBT MANAGEMENT AND COMPLIANCE

PART I - DEBT MANAGEMENT

A. The City will not obligate the General Fund to secure long-term financing except when marketability can be significantly enhanced.

B. Direct debt will not exceed 2% of assessed valuation.

C. An internal feasibility analysis will be prepared for each long-term financing activity that analyzes the impact on current and future budgets for debt service and operations. This analysis will also address the reliability of revenues to support debt service.

D. The City will generally conduct financing on a competitive basis. However, negotiated financing may be used due to market volatility or the use of an unusual or complex financing or security structure.

E. The City will seek an investment grade rating (Baa/BBB or greater) on any direct debt and credit enhancements, such as letters of credit or insurance, when necessary for marketing purposes, availability, and cost-effectiveness.

F. The City will annually monitor all forms of debt, coincident with the City's budget preparation and review process, and report concerns and remedies, if needed, to the Council.

G. The City will diligently monitor its compliance with bond covenants and ensure its adherence to federal arbitrage regulations.

H. The City will maintain good communications with bond rating agencies regarding its financial condition. The City will follow a policy of full disclosure on every financial
PART II – POST-ISSUANCE COMPLIANCE PROCEDURE AND POLICY FOR TAX-EXEMPT GOVERNMENT BONDS

The City of Park City (the “City”) issues tax-exempt governmental bonds to finance capital improvements. As an issuer of tax-exempt governmental bonds, the City is required by the terms of Sections 103 and 141-150 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the “Code”), and the Treasury Regulations promulgated thereunder (the “Treasury Regulations”), to take certain actions subsequent to the issuance of such bonds to ensure the continuing tax-exempt status of such bonds. In addition, Section 6001 of the Code and Section 1.6001-1(a) of the Treasury Regulations impose record retention requirements on the City with respect to its tax-exempt governmental bonds. This Post-Issuance Compliance Procedure and Policy for Tax-Exempt Governmental Bonds (the “Policy”) has been approved and adopted by the City to ensure that the City complies with its post-issuance compliance obligations under applicable provisions of the Code and Treasury Regulations.

A. Effective Date and Term. The effective date of this Policy is the date of approval by the City Council of the City (June 16, 2011) and shall remain in effect until superseded or terminated by action of the City Council.

B. Responsible Parties. The Finance Manager of the City shall be the party primarily responsible for ensuring that the City successfully carries out its post-issuance compliance requirements under applicable provisions of the Code and Treasury Regulations. The Finance Manager will be assisted by the staff of the Finance Department of the City and by other City staff and officials when appropriate. The Finance Manager of the City will also be assisted in carrying out post-issuance compliance requirements by the following organizations:

1. Bond Counsel (the law firm primarily responsible for providing bond counsel services for the City);

2. Financial Advisor (the organization primarily responsible for providing financial advisor services to the City);

3. Paying Agent (the person, organization, or City officer primarily responsible for providing paying agent services for the City); and

4. Rebate Analyst (the organization primarily responsible for providing rebate analyst services for the City).

The Finance Manager shall be responsible for assigning post-issuance compliance responsibilities to members of the Finance Department, other staff of the City, Bond Counsel, Paying Agent, and Rebate Analyst. The Finance Manager shall utilize such other professional service organizations as are necessary to ensure compliance with the post-issuance compliance requirements of the City. The Finance Manager shall provide training and educational resources to City staff that are responsible for ensuring compliance with any portion of the post-issuance compliance
C. Post-Issuance Compliance Actions. The Finance Manager shall take the following post-issuance compliance actions or shall verify that the following post-issuance compliance actions have been taken on behalf of the City with respect to each issue of tax-exempt governmental bonds issued by the City:

1. The Finance Manager shall prepare a transcript of principal documents (this action will be the primary responsibility of Bond Counsel).

2. The Finance Manager shall file with the Internal Revenue Service (the “IRS”), within the time limit imposed by Section 149(e) of the Code and applicable Treasury Regulations, an Information Return for Tax-Exempt Governmental Obligations, Form 8038-G (this action will be the primary responsibility of Bond Counsel).

3. The Finance Manager, in consultation with Bond Counsel, shall identify proceeds of tax-exempt governmental bonds that must be yield-restricted and shall monitor the investments of any yield-restricted funds to ensure that the yield on such investments does not exceed the yield to which such investments are restricted.

4. In consultation with Bond Counsel, the Finance Manager shall determine whether the City is subject to the rebate requirements of Section 148(f) of the Code with respect to each issue of tax-exempt governmental bonds. In consultation with Bond Counsel, the Finance Manager shall determine, with respect to each issue of tax-exempt governmental bonds of the City, whether the City is eligible for any of the temporary periods for unrestricted investments and is eligible for any of the spending exceptions to the rebate requirements. The Finance Manager shall contact the Rebate Analyst (and, if appropriate, Bond Counsel) prior to the fifth anniversary of the date of issuance of each issue of tax-exempt governmental bonds of the City and each fifth anniversary thereafter to arrange for calculations of the rebate requirements with respect to such tax-exempt governmental bonds. If a rebate payment is required to be paid by the City, the Finance Manager shall prepare or cause to be prepared the Arbitrage Rebate, Yield Reduction and Penalty in Lieu of Arbitrage Rebate, Form 8038-T, and submit such Form 8038-T to the IRS with the required rebate payment. If the City is authorized to recover a rebate payment previously paid, the Finance Manager shall prepare or cause to be prepared the Request for Recovery of Overpayments Under Arbitrage Rebate Provisions, Form 8038-R, with respect to such rebate recovery, and submit such Form 8038-R to the IRS.

5. The City has issued direct pay Build America Bonds. In consultation with the Paying Agent, the Finance Manager shall prepare or cause to be prepared the Return for Credit Payments to Issuers of Qualified Bonds, Form 8038-CP, to request subsidy payments with respect to interest payable on the...
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bonds and submit such Form 8038-CP to the IRS.

D. Procedures for Monitoring, Verification, and Inspections. The Finance Manager shall institute such procedures as the Finance Manager shall deem necessary and appropriate to monitor the use of the proceeds of tax-exempt governmental bonds issued by the City, to verify that certain post-issuance compliance actions have been taken by the City, and to provide for the inspection of the facilities financed with the proceeds of such bonds. At a minimum, the Finance Manager shall establish the following procedures:

(1) The Finance Manager shall monitor the use of the proceeds of tax-exempt governmental bonds to: (i) ensure compliance with the expenditure and investment requirements under the temporary period provisions set forth in Treasury Regulations, Section 1.148-2(e); (ii) ensure compliance with the safe harbor restrictions on the acquisition of investments set forth in Treasury Regulations, Section 1.148-5(d); (iii) ensure that the investments of any yield-restricted funds do not exceed the yield to which such investments are restricted; and (iv) determine whether there has been compliance with the spend-down requirements under the spending exceptions to the rebate requirements set forth in Treasury Regulations, Section 1.148-7.

(2) The Finance Manager shall monitor the use of all bond financed facilities in order to:

(i) determine whether private business uses of bond-financed facilities have exceeded the de minimus limits set forth in Section 141(b) of the Code as a result of leases and subleases, licenses, management contracts, research contracts, naming rights agreements, or other arrangements that provide special legal entitlements to nongovernmental persons; and (ii) determine whether private security or payments that exceed the de minimus limits set forth in Section 141(b) of the Code have been provided by nongovernmental persons with respect to such bond-financed facilities.

(3) The Finance Manager shall undertake with respect to each outstanding issue of tax-exempt governmental bonds of the City an annual review of the books and records maintained by the City with respect to such bonds.

E. Record Retention Requirements. The Finance Manager shall collect and retain the following records with respect to each issue of tax-exempt governmental bonds of the City and with respect to the facilities financed with the proceeds of such bonds: (i) audited financial statements of the City; (ii) appraisals, demand surveys, or feasibility studies with respect to the facilities to be financed with the proceeds of such bonds; (iii) publications, brochures, and newspaper articles related to the bond financing; (iv) trustee or paying agent statements; (v) records of all investments and the gains (or losses) from such investments; (vi) paying agent or trustee statements regarding investments and investment earnings; (vii) reimbursement resolutions and expenditures reimbursed with the proceeds of such bonds; (viii) allocations of proceeds to expenditures (including costs of issuance) and the dates and amounts of such expenditures (including requisitions, draw schedules, draw requests, invoices, bills, and cancelled checks with respect to such expenditures); (ix) contracts entered into
for the construction, renovation, or purchase of bond-financed facilities; (x) an asset list or schedule of all bond-financed depreciable property and any depreciation schedules with respect to such assets or property; (xi) records of the purchases and sales of bond-financed assets; (xii) private business uses of bond-financed facilities that arise subsequent to the date of issue through leases and subleases, licenses, management contracts, research contracts, naming rights agreements, or other arrangements that provide special legal entitlements to nongovernmental persons and copies of any such agreements or instruments; (xiii) arbitrage rebate reports and records of rebate and yield reduction payments; (xiv) resolutions or other actions taken by the governing body subsequent to the date of issue with respect to such bonds; (xv) formal elections authorized by the Code or Treasury Regulations that are taken with respect to such bonds; (xvi) relevant correspondence relating to such bonds; (xvii) documents related to guaranteed investment contracts or certificates of deposit entered into subsequent to the date of issue; (xviii) copies of all Form 8038-Ts, 8038-CPs and Form 8038-Rs filed with the IRS; and (xix) the transcript prepared with respect to such tax-exempt governmental bonds.

The records collected by the Finance Manager shall be stored in any format deemed appropriate by the Finance Manager and shall be retained for a period equal to the life of the tax-exempt governmental bonds with respect to which the records are collected (which shall include the life of any bonds issued to refund any portion of such tax-exempt governmental bonds or to refund any refunding bonds) plus three (3) years.

F. Remedies. In consultation with Bond Counsel, the Finance Manager shall become acquainted with the remedial actions under Treasury Regulations, Section 1.141-12, to be utilized in the event that private business use of bond-financed facilities exceeds the de minimus limits under Section 141(b)(1) of the Code. In consultation with Bond Counsel, the Finance Manager shall become acquainted with the Tax Exempt Bonds Voluntary Closing Agreement Program described in Notice 2008-31, 2008-11 I.R.B. 592, to be utilized as a means for an issuer to correct any post issuance infractions of the Code and Treasury Regulations with respect to outstanding tax-exempt bonds.

G. Continuing Disclosure Obligations. In addition to its post-issuance compliance requirements under applicable provisions of the Code and Treasury Regulations, the City has agreed to provide continuing disclosure, such as annual financial information and material event notices, pursuant to a continuing disclosure certificate or similar document (the “Continuing Disclosure Document”) prepared by Bond Counsel and made a part of the transcript with respect to each issue of bonds of the City that is subject to such continuing disclosure requirements. The Continuing Disclosure Documents are executed by the City to assist the underwriters of the City’s bonds in meeting their obligations under Securities and Exchange Commission Regulation, 17 C.F.R. Section 240.15c2-12, as in effect and interpreted form time to time (“Rule 15c2-12”). The continuing disclosure obligations of the City are governed by the Continuing Disclosure Documents and by the terms of Rule 15c2-12. The Finance Manager is primarily responsible for undertaking such continuing disclosure obligations and to monitor compliance with such obligations.

H. Other Post-Issuance Actions. If, in consultation with Bond Counsel, Financial Advisor, Paying Agent, Rebate Analyst, the City Manager, the City Attorney, or the City Council, the Finance Manager determines that any additional action not identified in this Policy
must be taken by the Finance Manager to ensure the continuing tax-exempt status of any issue of governmental bonds of the City, the Finance Manager shall take such action if the Finance Manager has the authority to do so. If, after consultation with Bond Counsel, Financial Advisor, Paying Agent, Rebate Analyst, the City Manager, the City Attorney, or the City Council, the Finance Manager and the City Manager determine that this Policy must be amended or supplemented to ensure the continuing tax-exempt status of any issue of governmental bonds of the City, the City Manager shall recommend to the City Council that this Policy be so amended or supplemented.

I. Taxable Governmental Bonds. Most of the provisions of this Policy, other than the provisions of Section 7 and Section 3(e), are not applicable to governmental bonds the interest on which is includable in gross income for federal income tax purposes. On the other hand, if an issue of taxable governmental bonds is later refunded with the proceeds of an issue of tax-exempt governmental refunding bonds, then the uses of the proceeds of the taxable governmental bonds and the uses of the facilities financed with the proceeds of the taxable governmental bonds will be relevant to the tax-exempt status of the governmental refunding bonds. Therefore, if there is any reasonable possibility that an issue of taxable governmental bonds may be refunded, in whole or in part, with the proceeds of an issue of tax-exempt governmental bonds then, for purposes of this Policy, the Finance Manager shall treat the issue of taxable governmental bonds as if such issue were an issue of tax-exempt governmental bonds and shall carry out and comply with the requirements of this Policy with respect to such taxable governmental bonds. The Finance Manager shall seek the advice of Bond Counsel as to whether there is any reasonable possibility of issuing tax-exempt governmental bonds to refund an issue of taxable governmental bonds.

J. IRS Examination. In the event the Internal Revenue Service (“IRS”) commences an examination of an obligation, the Finance Manager shall inform the City Manager, City Attorney and City Council of such event and is authorized to respond to inquiries of the IRS and, if necessary, to hire outside, independent professional counsel to assist in the response to the examination.

CHAPTER 3-4 - CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS

PART I - CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT MANAGEMENT

A. The public Capital Improvement Plan (CIP) will include the following:

1. Public improvements that cost more than $10,000.
2. Capital purchases of new vehicles or equipment (other than the replacement of existing vehicles or equipment) that cost more than $10,000.
3. Capital replacement of vehicles or equipment that individually cost more than $50,000.
4. Any project that is to be funded from building-related impact fees.
5. Alteration, ordinary repair, or maintenance necessary to preserve a public
B. The purpose of the CIP is to systematically plan, schedule, and finance capital projects to ensure cost-effectiveness, as well as conformance with established policies. The CIP is a five-year plan, reflecting a balance between capital replacement projects that repair, replace, or enhance existing facilities, equipment or infrastructure and capital facility projects that significantly expand or add to the City's existing fixed assets.

C. Development impact fees are collected and used to offset certain direct impacts of new construction in Park City. Park City has imposed impact fees since the early 1980s. Following Governor Leavitt's veto of Senate Bill 95, the 1995 State Legislature approved revised legislation to define the use of fees imposed to mitigate the impact of new development. Park City's fees were adjusted to conform to restrictions on their use. The fees were revised again by the legislature in 1997. The City periodically has conducted impact fee studies and CIP will reflect the findings of these studies. During the budget review process, adjustments to impact fee related projects may need to be made. Fees are collected to pay for capital facilities owned and operated by the City (including land and water rights) and to address impacts of new development on the following service areas: water, streets, public safety, recreation, and open space/parks. The fees are not used for general operation or maintenance. The fees are established following a systematic assessment of the capital facilities required to serve new development. The city will account for these fees to ensure that they are spent within six years, and only for eligible capital facilities. In general, the fees first collected will be the first spent.

PART II - CAPITAL FINANCING AND DEBT MANAGEMENT

Capital Financing

A. The City will consider the use of debt financing only for one-time, capital improvement projects and only under the following circumstances:

1. When the project's useful life will exceed the term of the financing.
2. When project revenues or specific resources will be sufficient to service the long-term debt.

B. Capital improvements will be financed primarily through user fees, service charges, assessments, special taxes, or developer agreements when benefits can be specifically attributed to users of the facility.

C. Debt financing will not be considered appropriate for any recurring purpose such as current operating and maintenance expenditures. The issuance of short-term instruments such as revenue, tax, or bond anticipation notes is excluded from this limitation.

D. The City will use the following criteria to evaluate pay-as-you-go versus long-term financing for capital improvement funding:
1. Factors That Favor Pay-As-You-Go:
   a. When current revenues and adequate fund balances are available or when project phasing can be accomplished.
   b. When debt levels adversely affect the City’s credit rating.
   c. When market conditions are unstable or present difficulties in marketing.

2. Factors That Favor Long-Term Financing:
   a. When revenues available for debt service are deemed to be sufficient and reliable so that long-term financing can be marketed with investment grade credit ratings.
   b. When the project securing the financing is of the type which will support an investment grade credit rating.
   c. When market conditions present favorable interest rates and demand for City financing.
   d. When a project is mandated by state or federal requirements and current revenues and available fund balances are insufficient.
   e. When the project is immediately required to meet or relieve capacity needs.
   f. When the life of the project or asset financed is 10 years or longer.

I. The City recently passed a second bond election for $10,000,000 to preserve Open Space in Park City. This bond was the second general obligation bond passed in five years and represents the second general obligation bond passed by the city for Open Space with an approval rate of over 80 percent, the highest approval of any Open Space Bond in the United States.

PART III - ASSET MANAGEMENT POLICY

A. Purpose
   The objective of the Asset Management Plan is to establish a fund and a fixed replenishment amount from operations revenues to that fund from which the City may draw for replacement, renewal, and major improvements of capital facilities. The fund should be sufficient to ensure that assets are effectively and efficiently supporting the operations and objectives of the City. The Asset Management Plan is an integral part of the City’s long-term plan to replace and renew the City’s primary assets in a fiscally responsible manner.

Goals of the Program:

1. Protect assets
2. Prolong the life of systems and components
3. Improve the comfort of building environments
4. Prepare for future needs

B. Management
   A project is designated in the Five-year capital plan to which annual contributions are
made from the General Fund for asset management. The amount to be contributed should be based on a 10-year plan, to be updated every fifth year, which outlines the anticipated replacement and repair needs for each of the City’s major assets. In addition, 0.5 percent of the value of each of the major assets should be contributed annually to the project. The unspent contributions will carry forward in the budget each year, with the interest earned on that amount to be appropriated to the project as well.

A project manager will be appointed by the City Manager, with the responsibility of monitoring the progress of the fund, assuring a sufficient balance for the fund, controlling expenditures out of the fund, managing scheduled projects and associated contracts, making necessary budget requests, and updating the 10-year plan. In addition, a standing committee should be formed consisting of representatives from Public Works, Budget, Debt & Grants, and Sustainability which will convene only to resolve future issues or disputes involving this policy, requests for funding, or the Asset Management Plan in general.

C. Accessing Funds
When funds need to be accessed, a request should be turned in to the project manager. If the expense is on the replacement schedule as outlined in the 10-year plan or is a reasonably related expense under $10,000 (according to the discretion of the project manager), the project manager should approve it. Otherwise, the Asset Management Committee should be convened to consider the request and decide whether it is an appropriate use of funds.

Requests that should require approval of the Asset Management Committee include:

1. Expenses not anticipated in the 10-year plan, which are in excess of $10,000.
2. Upgrades in technology or quality
3. Renovations, additions, or improvements that incorporate non-existing assets

PART IV - NEIGHBOURHOOD CIPREQUESTS POLICY

Staff will use this policy for considering and prioritizing CIP requests from Park City neighborhood and business districts.

A. Submission of petition to the Executive Office

1. Must be from a representative number of households/businesses of a given subdivision, business district, or a registered owners association. Accurate contact information and names of each petitioner must be provided along with designation of one primary contact person or agent.
2. Define Boundary—Who does the petition represent? Is it inclusive to a specific neighborhood or business district? Explain why assessment area should be limited or expanded.

3. Define issues—What is being requested?

4. Deadline—In order to be considered for the upcoming fiscal year, the petition must be submitted by the end of the calendar year.

B. Initial Internal Review

1. Identify staff project manager.

2. Present petition to Traffic Calming & Neighborhood Assessment Committee. Meeting called within one month of petition being submitted.

3. Define and verify appropriate, basic levels of service are being provided. If they are not, provide:
   a. Health, safety, welfare
   b. Staff’s available resources and relative workload
   c. Minimum budget thresholds not exceeded (below $20k pre-budgeted—no council approval needed)

4. Define enhanced levels of service that are requested. Are these consistent with Council goals and priorities? If so, continue to step # 3.

C. Initial Communication to Council (Managers Report)

1. Inform Council of request for assistance—outlines specific issues/requests.

2. Inform Council of any basic service(s) Staff has begun to provide.

3. No input or direction from Council will be requested at this time.

D. Comprehensive Internal Review

1. Assemble background/history & existing conditions. Identify all participants, relevant City ordinances, approval timeline, other pertinent agreements/studies & factors, etc.

2. Criteria to analyze request—What should be done and with what rationale?
   a. Verify requested services are consistent with Council goals and priorities.
   b. Cost/Benefit Analysis—Define budgetary implications of providing Enhanced level of services:
      i. Define need & costs for any additional technical review
      ii. Define initial capital improvement costs
      iii. Define annual, ongoing maintenance and operational costs
      iv. Gather input from City department identified as responsible for each individual item as listed
      v. Identify available resources & relative workload

E. Initiate Public Forum (Applicant & Staff partnership)

1. Neighborhood meeting(s)—Create consensus from petitioner and general public

2. Identify issues and potential solutions:
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a. Identify what we can accomplish based on funding availability
b. Use cost/benefit analysis to prioritize applicant’s wish list
c. Funding partner—any district that receives “enhanced” levels of service should be an active participant in funding or, participate in identification of a funding source other than City budget

3. Identify agreeable solutions suited for recommendation for funding assistance

F. Communication to Council (Work Session or Managers Report)

1. Receive authorization for technical review—using “outside” consultants if necessary
2. Identify prioritized project wish list (unfunded)
3. Identify funding source for each item; or move to CIP committee review as “yet to be funded project” for prioritization comparison
4. Council decision whether or not to include in budget
5. Spring of each year, consistent with budget policies of reviewing all new requests at once.
A. The City will manage the growth of the regular employee work force without reducing levels of service or augmenting ongoing regular programs with Seasonal employees, except as provided in sections E and F below.

B. The budget will fully appropriate the resources needed for authorized regular staffing and limit programs to the regular staffing authorized.

C. Staffing and contract service cost ceilings will limit total expenditures for regular employees, Part-time Non-Benefited employees, Seasonal employees, and independent contractors hired to provide operating and maintenance services.

D. Regular employees will be the core work force and the preferred means of staffing ongoing, year-round program activities that should be performed by City employees, rather than independent contractors. The City will strive to provide competitive compensation and benefit schedules for its authorized regular work force. Each regular employee will do the following:

   1. Fill an authorized regular position.
   2. Receive salary and benefits consistent with the compensation plan.

E. To manage the growth of the regular work force and overall staffing costs, the City will follow these procedures:

   1. The City Council will authorize all regular positions.
   2. The Human Resources Department will coordinate and approve the hiring of all Full-time Regular, Part-time Non-Benefited, and Seasonal employees.
   3. All requests for additional regular positions will include evaluations of the following:
      a. The necessity, term, and expected results of the proposed activity.
      b. Staffing and materials costs including salary, benefits, equipment, uniforms, clerical support, and facilities.
      c. The ability of private industry to provide the proposed service.
      d. Additional revenues or cost savings that may be realized.

   4. Periodically, and prior to any request for additional regular positions, programs will be evaluated to determine if they can be accomplished with fewer regular employees.

F. Part-time Non-Benefited and Seasonal employees will include all employees other than regular employees, elected officials, and volunteers. Part-time Non-Benefited and Seasonal employees will augment regular City staffing only as extra-help employees. The City will encourage the use of Part-time Non-Benefited and Seasonal employees to meet
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peak workload requirements, fill interim vacancies, and accomplish tasks where less than regular, year-round staffing is required.

G. Contract employees will be defined as temporary employees with written contracts and may receive approved benefits depending on hourly requirements and length of contract. Generally, contract employees will be used for medium-term projects (generally between six months and two years), programs, or activities requiring specialized or augmented levels of staffing for a specific period of time. Contract employees will occasionally be used to staff programs with unusual operational characteristics or certification requirements, such as the golf program. The services of contract employees will be discontinued upon completion of the assigned project, program, or activity. Accordingly, contract employees will not be used for services that are anticipated to be delivered on an ongoing basis except as described above.

H. The hiring of Seasonal employees will not be used as an incremental method for expanding the City's regular work force.

I. Independent contractors will not be considered City employees. Independent contractors may be used in the following two situations:

1. Short-term, peak workload assignments to be accomplished through the use of personnel contracted through an outside temporary employment agency (OEA). In this situation, it is anticipated that the work of OEA employees will be closely monitored by City staff and minimal training will be required; however, they will always be considered the employees of the OEA, and not the City. All placements through an OEA will be coordinated through the Human Resources Department and subject to the approval of the Human Resources Manager.

2. Construction of public works projects and the provision of operating, maintenance, or specialized professional services not routinely performed by City employees. Such services will be provided without close supervision by City staff, and the required methods, skills, and equipment will generally be determined and provided by the contractor.

PART II—PROGRAM AND RESOURCE ANALYSIS

(Note—The Program and Resource Analysis was completed in FY 2002. The following information constitutes the final report and includes all of the major recommendations. It is included in the Policies and Objectives as a guide for future decisions.)

The City Council has financial planning as a top priority. This goal includes “identifying and resolving financial problems before, rather than after, they occur.” During the FY2001 budget process, Council directed staff to conduct a citywide analysis of the services and programs the City offers. The purpose of the Program and Resource Analysis is to provide a basis for understanding and implementing long-term financial planning for Park City Municipal Corporation (PCMC). The study has and will continue to inform the community of the fiscal
issues facing the City and to provide Council and the community with tools to help make critical policy decisions for Park City’s future.

The Program and Resource Analysis was split into six topics, with an employee task force responsible for each topic. In total, more than 40 employees volunteered and participated in the analysis, representing every department in the City. Each task force included about six employees and was chaired by a senior or mid-manager.

The Employee Steering Committee (ESC) was formed to coordinate with the various committees to ensure no overlap occurred and to provide assistance in reviewing policy recommendations. In addition to employees of PCMC, members of the Citizens Technical Advisory Committee (CTAC) and of the City Council Liaison Committee (CCLC) were instrumental with the study.

CTAC consists of three representatives from the community to examine staff recommendations and to be a link between staff and the citizens of Park City. At the time of the original study this group worked with Program Service Level and Expenditure Committee (SLAC), the Recreation Report, and ESC.

They advised these groups by providing an outside professional perspective that enriched discussions and add private sector insight. Since that time Council has continued to use the expertise of CTAC. Staff recommends that when appropriate, Council should appoint technical committees such as CTAC to assist with projects and analysis.

The CCLC was made up of two City Council members who served as liaisons between the City Council and the ESC. They attended ESC meetings and were able to comment and question the various group representatives on the ESC.

The six topics covered by this study are outlined and summarized below.

**Resort Economy and General Plan Element (A)**
This group examined the local economy and how it affects municipal finances and presented an update of the City General Plan.

**Program Service Levels and Expenditures (B)**
This group assessed the services, programs, and departments to analyze citywide increases in costs as they relate to the growth in the economy. It identified the services provided by Park City. After the analysis, the group was able to provide City Council with information regarding the level and scope of services provided by the City in the past and present, so as to change future expenditure patterns to better meet the needs of the City. (This particular analysis was instrumental in the development of Park City’s current Performance Measurement program.)

**Revenues and Assets (C)**
This group examined PCMC’s current and potential revenue sources. To do this analysis, it reviewed long-range revenue forecasts and policies and considered how the City could use its assets to maximize output. Some of the specific areas it looked at were taxes, economic impacts from special events, and general fund services fees.
Capital Improvement Program (CIP) (D)
This group reviewed all the CIP project funding. It determined whether current project priorities that were identified through a comprehensive public prioritization process in 1999 are still appropriate. It ranked new projects to be added to the CIP and identified projects to be completed prior to the Olympics.

Intergovernmental Programs (E)
This group focused on the current and potential interactions of PCMC with other agencies. It did the following: (1) examined how well the interlocal agreements worked and about developing guidelines for such agreements, (2) determined whether PCMC should combine services and functions, and (3) addressed the creation of a policy that establishes a process for grants application and administration.

Non-Departmental/Inter-fund (F)
This group had two primary tasks. The first was to review the interaction between different City funds, which resulted in participation on the Recreation Fund Study Subcommittee. The second was to be responsible for making a recommendation to the City Manager regarding the two-year pay plan.

The Steering Committee for the Program and Resource Analysis recommended that the Council consider the following conclusions and policy recommendations as part of the budget process. The findings were subsequently included as a permanent part of the Budget Document and will continue to serve as guidance for future decisions.

A. Resort Economy and General Plan Element
Resort Economy: Wikstrom Economic & Planning Consultants conducted a study in 2000 showing that Park City is indeed a resort economy and receives more in revenues from tourism than it spends on tourists. The Wikstrom Report states the following (the report was updated in 2003 and reflects current figures):

Tourist-related revenues already outpace tourist-related expenditures in Park City, even without increasing tourist revenue streams. Our analysis indicates that visitors generate roughly 71 percent of all general fund revenues (not including inter-fund transactions), while roughly 40 percent of general fund expenditures are attributable to tourists.

Therefore, based on information provided by the Utah League of Cities and Towns, Park City currently expends roughly $3,561 for each existing full-time resident for selected services. Seventy one percent of this revenue, or $2,528 per capita, is attributable to tourists, while forty percent, or $1,424 goes to tourist-related costs, leaving a net gain of $1,104 per capita that pays for activities that are not tourist-related. This benefit is seen in such areas as road maintenance, snow removal, libraries, technology and telecommunications, community and economic development, police services and golf and recreation programs. With an estimated population of 8,500 persons, Park City receives a direct net benefit of nearly $9 million from tourism.

Staff recommends Council take actions that preserve or enhance Park City’s resort
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economy.

B. Program Service Levels and Expenditures

1. New/growth related service levels: Provision of new/growth related services should be offset with new or growth related revenues or a corresponding reduction in service costs in other areas.

2. Fee Dependent Services: If fees do not cover the services provided, Council should consider which of the following actions to take: (1) reduce services; (2) increase fees; or (3) determine the appropriate subsidy level of the General Fund.

3. Consider all requests at once: Council should consider requests for service level enhancements or increases together, rather than in isolation.

4. Consider ongoing costs associated with one-time purchases/expenditures: Significant ongoing costs, such as insurance, taxes, utilities, and maintenance should be determined before an initial purchase is made or a capital project is constructed. Capital and program decisions should not be made until staff has provided a five-year analysis of ongoing maintenance and operational costs.

5. Re-evaluate decisions: Political, economic, and legal changes necessitate reevaluation to ensure Council goals are being met. Staff and Council review programs as part of the annual budget process.

6. Analyze the people served: With a changing population, staff should periodically reassess the number of people (permanent residents’ verses visitor population) served with each program.

7. Evaluate the role of boards and commissions relating to service levels: The City Council should encourage boards and commissions to consider the economic impacts of recommendations and incorporate findings into policy direction.

8. New service implementation: Prior to implementing a new service, the City Council should consider a full assessment of staffing and funding requirements.

9. Provide clear City Council direction: City Council should achieve a clear consensus and provide specific direction before enhancing or expanding service.

10. Benchmarking and performance measurement: The City should strive to measure its output and performance. Some departments have established performance measures.

C. Revenues and Assets

1. Building and Planning Fees: Staff has identified revenues that can be increased, and recommends increasing building and planning fees this year.

2. Sewer Franchise Fee: Staff recommends imposing a franchise fee on the sewer district. The City can charge up to a 6 percent franchise fee on the sewer district.

3. Other revenues: Staff has identified the following as additional General Fund revenues, but does not recommend an increase at this time (Transit Room Tax, Sales Tax, and Property Tax).

4. Special Events: Staff does not recommend increasing fees for special events.

5. Assets: Although Staff identified assets that could be sold, it does not recommend a sale of assets at this time.
D. Capital Improvement Program

1. Prioritized capital projects: Council should adopt the prioritized capital projects during the budget process.
2. Project manager for each capital project: Staff recommends each capital project to be assigned to a project manager at the manager level (unless otherwise directed).
3. Peer review: Staff recommends managers and related agencies offer appropriate peer review to identify and to plan for operating costs before projects are taken to Council.
4. Value Engineering: Staff recommends maintaining a dialogue with suppliers, contractors, and designers to ensure cost-effective projects.
5. Projects with a possible art component: Staff recommends the project manager to determine the necessity, selection, and placement of art on a project-by-project basis as funding, timing, complexity, and appropriateness may warrant.

E. Intergovernmental Programs

1. Regional Transit: The City should participate in the development of a regional transit action plan.
2. Recreation MOU: The City should decide whether to renew the Memorandum of Understanding with Snyderville Basin Recreation District or to discontinue it.
3. Communications: Staff recommends the decision of whether to combine Park City’s and Summit County’s communications systems be postponed until a decision on the City’s role in the Countywide Communications Study is made.
4. Grants Policy: Staff recommends Council adopts a budget policy, outlining a comprehensive grants process that insures continuity in grants administration and access to alternative sources of funding.

F. Non-Departmental/Inter-fund

1. Employee Compensation Plan: Staff recommends Council adopt the pay plan as presented in this budget.
2. Recreation Fund: Staff endorses the findings and recommendations of the Recreation Analysis completed in February 2001.
3. Water Fund: Staff recommends a focus group be formed in the near future to research the feasibility of implementing a franchise tax on water usage.
4. Self-Insurance Fund: Staff recommends leaving the reserve as it currently is, but consider using the reserve fund to pay insurance premiums, rather than using inter-fund transfers from each of the operating budgets. This recommendation has been implemented.

G. Recreation Analysis

1. Fund Structure: The Wikstrom Report recommends continuing to use the enterprise fund if cost allocation procedures are established that clearly track the use of subsidy monies and individual program costs.
2. Indirect Costs: The Wikstrom Report recommends further evaluation of indirect
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costs, since present accounting methods do not clearly do so.

3. Adult Programs: The report identified adult programs as an area where policy direction should be received. Specifically, should all adult programs be required to cover their direct costs and indirect costs? Should all adult programs be held to the same standard of cost recovery, or should some programs be required to recover a higher level of costs than others? What level of subsidy is appropriate, on a per-user basis, for adult programs? At what point should an existing adult program be eliminated? What criteria should be used in this decision?

4. CTAC Adult Programming: CTAC questioned the practice of subsidizing adult programs. A recommendation came forward from that group suggesting that all youth activities be moved into the General Fund with adult programs remaining in the enterprise fund without a subsidy.

5. Youth Programs: Should all youth programs be held to the same standard of cost recovery, or should some programs be required to recover a higher level of costs than others? What level of subsidy is appropriate, on a per-user basis, for youth programs? Is the City willing to subsidize indirect costs of SBRD youth participants in order to increase the quality of life for Park City youth? At what point should an existing youth program be eliminated? What criteria should be used in this decision? Should all youth programs be held to the same standard or should there be a different standard for team sports as opposed to individual sports such as tennis or swimming?

6. Potential Revenue and Capital Funding Alternatives: Currently capital replacement of the Recreation Facility is funded with an unidentified revenue source. Wikstrom posed several policy questions intended to more fully understand this issue, such as the following: Is the City willing to institute a municipal transient room tax with a portion of the revenues dedicated to funding recreation? Is the City willing to request an increase in the resort tax to the legal limit of 1.5 percent, which is a ballot issue and requires voter approval? Is the City willing to request voter approval for a general obligation bond in the amount of roughly $2 million?

H. Miscellaneous Analysis

1. A comprehensive analysis on the Water Fund is currently underway. The study includes a rate study and fee analysis. The intent of the study is to insure the City has the ability to provide for the present and future water needs. (This analysis was updated in 2003 and again in 2004. The City Manager’s recommended budget for FY 2005 will incorporate changes to the Water Fund as a result.)

2. Analyses to establish market levels and to study the financial condition of the Golf Fund were conducted in 2000 and 2001. An evaluation of the fund by Staff in spring 2004 revealed that additional changes to fees and expenditures are necessary. Staff was will also conduct an in-depth analysis of the course and its operations (including a discussion of the course’s underlying philosophy) beginning later this summer.
PART III—COST ALLOCATION PLAN

The City has developed a Cost Allocation Plan detailing the current costs of services to internal users (e.g., fees, rates, user charges, grants, etc.). This plan was developed in recognition of the need to identify overhead or indirect costs, allocated to enterprise funds and grants and to develop a program which will match revenue against expenses for general fund departments which have user charges, regulatory fees, licenses, or permits. This plan will be used as the basis for determining the administrative charge to enterprise operations and capital improvement projects.

Anticipated future actions include the following:

A. Maintain a computerized system (driven from the City's budget system) that utilizes the basic concepts and methods used in cost allocation plans.

B. Fine-tune the methods of cost allocation to ensure the fair and equitable distribution of cost.

C. Develop guidelines for the use and maintenance of the plan.
   1. Long-Range Capital Improvement Plan
      a. Project identification and prioritization
      b. CIP financing plan
   2. Rate and fee increases
   3. Other related and contributing plans and policies
      a. Water Management
      b. Flood Management
      c. Parking Management
As part of the budget process, the City Council appropriates funds to contract with organizations offering services consistent with the needs and goals of the City. Depending upon the type of service category, payment terms of the contracts may take the form of cash payment and/or offset fees or rent relating to City property in exchange for value-in-kind services. The use of the public service contracts will typically be for specific services rendered in an amount consistent with the current fair market value of said services.

A. **Public Service Fund Distribution Criteria**

In order to be eligible for a public service contract in Fund Categories 1-3, organizations must meet the following criteria:

1. **Criterion 1:** Accountability and Sustainability of Organization - The organization must have the following:
   - Quantifiable goals and objectives.
   - Non-discrimination in providing programs or services.
   - Cooperation with existing related programs and community service.
   - Compliance with the City contract.
   - Federally recognized not-for-profit status.
   - Special Service Contract applicants may also apply under a fiscal sponsorship of a not-for-profit organization.

2. **Criterion 2:** Program Need and Specific City Benefit - The organization must have the following:
   - A clear demonstration of public benefit and provision of direct services to City residents.
   - A demonstrated need for the program or activity. Special Service Funds may not be used for one-time events, scholarship-type activities or the purchase of equipment.

3. **Criterion 3:** Fiscal Stability and Other Financial Support - The organization must have the following:
   - A clear description of how public funds will be used and accounted for.
   - Other funding sources that can be used to leverage resources.
   - A sound financial plan that demonstrates managerial and fiscal competence.
   - A history of performing in a financially competent manner.
4. **Criterion 4: Fair Market Value of the Services** - The fair market value of services included in the public service contract should equal or exceed the total amount of compensation from the City unless outweighed by demonstrated intangible benefits.

**B. Total Public Service Fund Appropriations**

The City may appropriate up to 1 percent of the City’s total budget for public service contracts for the Special Service Contract and Rent Contribution Categories described below. In addition, the City appropriates specific dollar amounts from other funds specifically related to Historic Preservation as described below.

**C. Fund Categories and Percentage Allocations**

For the purpose of distributing Public Service Funds, public service contracts are placed into the following categories:

1. **Special Service Contracts**
   a) Regular Services – To be determined by Council discretion

2. **Rent Contribution**

3. **Historic Preservation**

A percentage of the total budget (which shall not exceed 1 percent) is allocated for contracts in the Special Service Contract and Rent Contribution categories by the City Council. A specific dollar amount is allocated to Historic Preservation and the Historic Preservation Grant Program based up on funds available from the various Redevelopment Agencies and the General Fund.

The category percentage allocation could vary from year-to-year, depending on Council discretion. In addition, as the City’s budget fluctuates (up or down) due to economic conditions, the dollar amounts applied to each category may fluctuate proportionally. Unspent fund balances at the end of a year will not be carried forward to future years. It is the intent of the City Council to appropriate funds for specific ongoing community services and not fund one-time projects or programs.

**D. Special Service Contracts**

A portion of the budget will be designated for service contracts relating to services that would otherwise be provided by the City. Special services that fall into this category would include, but not be limited to the following: community art & culture, childhood education, medical treatment, emergency assistance, food pantry, housing outreach & education, and safe haven. To the extent possible, individual special services will be delineated in the budget.

The City will award special service contracts through a competitive bid process administered by the Service Contract Subcommittee and City Staff. The City reserves the right to accept, reject, or rebid any service contracts that are not deemed to meet the needs of the community or the contractual goals of the service contract.
Each special service provider will have a special service contract with a term of one to four years, depending on the type of contract. Eighty percent of each annual appropriation will be available at the beginning of the fiscal year, with the remaining 20 percent to be distributed upon demonstration through performance measures (quality and quantity) that the program has provided public services meeting its goals as delineated in the special service contract. The disbursement of all appropriations will be contingent upon council approval. Special service providers will be required to submit current budgets and evidence of contract compliance (as determined by the contract) by the given deadline of the first contract year.

The City reserves the right to appoint a citizen’s task force to assist in the competitive selection process. The task force will be selected on an ad hoc basis by the Service Contract Subcommittee.

All special service contract proposals must be consistent with the criteria listed in this policy, in particular criterion 1-4.

**Innovation Grants:** City council intends to provide the community with a meaningful venue to deliver unique and innovative ideas focused on tackling the City’s challenges. These solutions may focus on the Community Critical Priorities of energy, housing, transportation, and social equity, but may be related to any initiative the City deems worthwhile. Grants would provide an organization with seed money to create programs or start initiatives, but would not serve as a long-term funding solution for non-profits. Innovation grants will typically have distributions ranging from 1-3 years.

**Deadlines:** All proposals for Special Service Contracts must be received no later than the given deadline. A competitive bidding process conducted according to the bidding guidelines of the City may set forth additional application requirements. If there are unallocated funds, extraordinary requests may be considered every six months unless otherwise directed by Council.

Extraordinary requests received after this deadline must meet all of the following criteria to be considered:

1. The request must meet all of the normal Public Service Fund Distribution Criteria and qualify under one of the existing Special Service Contract categories;

2. The applicant must show that the requested funds represent an unexpected fiscal need that could not have been anticipated before the deadline; and

3. The applicant must demonstrate that other possible funding sources have been exhausted.
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E. Rent Contribution

A portion of the Special Service Contract funds will be used as a rent contribution for organizations occupying City-owned property and providing services consistent with criterion 1-4 pursuant to the needs and goals of the City. To the extent possible, individual rent contributions will be delineated in the budget. Rent contributions will usually be memorialized by a lease agreement with a term of five years or less, unless otherwise approved by City Council.

The City is required to make rent contributions to the Park City Building Authority for buildings that it occupies. Qualified Organizations may enter into a lease with the City to occupy City space at a reduced rental rate pursuant to criterion 1-4. The difference between the reduced rental rate and the rate paid to the Park City Building Authority will be funded by the rent contribution amount. Rent Contribution lease agreements will not exceed five years in length unless otherwise directed by the City Council. Please note that this policy only applies when a reduced rental rate is being offered. This policy does not apply to lease arrangements at "market" rates.

F. Historic Preservation

Each year, the City Council may appropriate a specific dollar amount relating to historic preservation. The City Council will appropriate the funding for these expenditures during the annual budget process. The funding source for this category is the Lower Park Avenue, the Main Street RDA, and the General Fund. The City Council hereby authorizes the Historic District Grant Program. The disbursement of the funds shall be administered pursuant to the Historic District Grant Program pursuant to applications and criteria established by the Planning Department, and awarded by the Planning Department except that City Council approval shall be required for disbursement amounts greater than $25,000. In instances where another organization is involved, a contract delineating the services will be required. Projects involving city property or partnerships shall be limited to Category A. Repair funds, remaining end of fiscal year funds, or funds allocated via the General Fund through the separate Budgeting for Outcomes (BFO) annual process.

G. Exceptions

Rent Contribution and Historic Preservation funds will be appropriated through processes separate from the biennial Special Service Contract process and when deemed necessary by City Council or its designee.

The Service Contract Sub-Committee has the discretion as to which categories individual organizations or endeavors are placed. Any percentage changes to the General Fund categories described above must be approved by the City Council. All final decisions relating to public service funding are at the discretion of the City Council.

Nothing in this policy shall create a binding contract or obligation of the City. Individual Service Contracts may vary from contract to contract at the discretion of the City Council. Any award of a service contract is valid only for the term specified therein and shall not constitute a promise of future award. The City Council reserves the right to reject any and
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all proposals, and to waive any technical deficiency at its sole discretion. Members of the City Council, the Service Contract Sub-Committee, and any Advisory Board, Commission or special committee with the power to make recommendations regarding Public Service Contracts are ineligible to apply for such Public Service Contracts, including historic preservation funds. City Departments are also ineligible to apply for Public Service Contracts. The ineligibility of Advisory Board, Commission and special committee members shall only apply to the category of Public Service Contracts that such advisory Board, Commission and special committee provides recommendations to the City Council. All submittals shall be public records in accordance with government records regulations (“GRAMA”) unless otherwise designated by the applicant pursuant to UCA Section 63-2-308, as amended.

PART II—SETTLEMENT AUTHORITY

From time to time claims are made against the City based on contract, tort, or other state and federal laws. It is in the best interest of the City to efficiently investigate, evaluate, negotiate, and process such claims. It is not efficient or prudent to involve the Council in every decision to settle small, routine, claims made against the City.

Therefore, to effect the efficient evaluation, negotiation, and processing of such claims, it is in the best interest of the City to authorize the City Manager to process and settle certain claims as he/she deems prudent. The City Manager is authorized to settle crop loss claims made against the City in an amount not to exceed $25,000, and all other claims in an amount not to exceed $50,000.

PART II – GRANT POLICY

In an effort to give some uniformity and centralization to the grants administration process for the City, the Budget Department has drafted the following guidelines for all grants applied for or received by Park City departments.

A. General Guidance
   The Budget, Debts, and Grants Department is available to assist departments in applying for and managing grants. Because many grants have varying regulations, terms, and deadlines, the Budget Department can assist with meeting those terms and monitoring requirements. They will also track remaining balances on reimbursement-style grants and provide information to assist with audits.

B. Council Approval
   Council Approval may be required before applying for certain grants depending on the size, scope, award amount, and alignment with City budget and priorities. Departments are encouraged to seek guidance from Executive Management before pursuing these
types of grants.

C. Notification
Once departments receive a grant, they must notify the Budget, Debt, and Grants Department so the grant amount can appropriately be budgeted. The Department will also reach out periodically to request information on awarded grants to assist with the annual budget process, reports to Council, audit assistance, and other reasons.

A. Application Process
Departments are encouraged to seek out and apply for any suitable grants. The Budget, Debt, & Grants Department is available to assist City departments in the search and application process. Whereas departments are encouraged to work side by side with the Budget Department in the application process, they are required at a minimum to communicate their intention to apply for a grant to the Budget Department. They are further required to send a copy of the finalized grant application to the Budget Department.

B. Executing a Grant
In the event of a successful grant application, the grantee department must notify the Budget Department immediately to schedule a meeting to discuss the grant administration strategy. All grants require approval by the Budget Manager before grant execution. If a check is sent by the granting entity to the grantee department, that check should be forwarded to the Budget Department and not deposited by the grantee department. It will be the Budget Department’s responsibility to assure that all grant money is appropriately accounted for.

The Budget Department will create detailed physical and electronic files that include the following information provided by the grantee department:
8. A copy of the grant application
9. The notice of award
10. Copies of invoices and expense documentation
11. Copies of checks received from the granting entity
12. Copies of significant communication (emails, letters, etc.) regarding the grant
13. Contact information for the granting entity
14. Contact information for project/program managers

Because many grants have varying regulations, terms, and deadlines, the Budget Department will assume the responsibility to meet May assist with meeting those terms and monitoring requirements. The Budget Department will also track remaining balances on reimbursement-style grants and provide information to assist with audits. Information such as current balances, important deadlines, etc. will be provided to grantee departments on a regular basis or upon request. This centralized maintenance of grant documents will simplify grant queries and audits.

C. Budgeting for a Grant
Generally, operating and capital budgets will not be increased to account for a grant before the grant is awarded. Any department that receives a grant should fill out a budget
option during the regular budget process. The option should be to increase either their
operating or capital budget (depending on the grant specifications) for the appropriate
year by the amount of the grant. The Budget Department will share the responsibility for
seeing that the grant is budgeted correctly.

D. Spending Money against a Grant
When a department is ready to spend grant funds on a particular qualifying expense, they
are to send copies of invoices for that expense to the Budget Department within one week
of receiving the invoice. If the grant is a reimbursement-style grant, the Budget
Department will manage the necessary drawdown requests. The Budget Department will
provide departments with a report of the grant balance after each expense and/or
drawdown. In the case that a reimbursement check is sent to the grantee department, it
should be forwarded to the Budget Department for proper monitoring and accounting.

E. Closing a Grant
Some grants have specific close-out requirements. The Budget Department is responsible
for meeting those terms and may call on grantee departments for specific information
needed in the close-out process.

Many departments are already following a similar process for their grants and have found it to be
a much more efficient practice than the often chaotic alternatives. Of course, no policy is one-
size-fits-all, so some grants may not fit into the program. In that case, an alternative plan will be
worked out through a meeting with the Budget Department directly following the award of the
grant.

PART III - ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT GRANT POLICY
(AS OF JUNE 23, 2022, THE GRANT PROGRAM IS UNDER REVIEW;
APPLICATIONS WILL NOT BE ACCEPTED UNTIL FURTHER NOTICE)

Annually, the City will allocate up to $50,000 to be used towards retaining and growing existing
businesses, and attracting and promoting new organizations that will fulfill key priority goals of
the City’s Biennial Strategic Plans and General Plan. Funding will be available for relocation
and/or expansion of current businesses, and new business start-up costs only.

A. Page ED Grant Distribution Criteria

Applications will be evaluated on the following criteria in order to be eligible for an ED
Grant:

Criteria #1: The organization must demonstrate a sound business plan that strongly
supports the Goals of the City Economic Development Plan.

Criteria #2: The organization must commit to and demonstrate the ability to do
business in the City limits for a duration of no less than three years. Funding cannot be
used for one-time events.
Criteria #3: The organization must produce items or provide services that are consistent with the Economic Development Work Plan and align with the City’s General Plan to enhance the safety, health, prosperity, moral well-being, peace, order, comfort, or convenience of the inhabitants of the City. The organization must either conditionally agree to participate in or to expand programs or services, or otherwise provide evidence of existing services and initiatives consistent with the goals stated in Park City’s Biennial Strategic Plan in the sectors of: Housing, Transportation, and Energy.

Criteria #4: The organization must demonstrate substantial contribution to the central goals of the City’s General Plan, including specific and significant commitment to the majority of the main sectors of:
  g. Fostering a strong sense of community vitality and vibrancy;
  h. Respecting and conserving the natural environment;
  i. Promoting balanced, managed, and sustainable growth;
  j. Supporting and promoting diversity in people, housing and affordability;
  k. Supporting a diverse, stable, and sustainable economy;
  l. Preserving a strong sense of place, character, and heritage.

Criteria #5: Fiscal Stability and Other Financial Support: The organization must have the following: (1) A clear description of how public funds will be used and accounted for; (2) Other funding sources that can be used to leverage resources; (3) A sound financial plan that demonstrates managerial and fiscal competence.

Criteria #6: The organization can forecast at the time of application the ability to achieve direct or indirect economic/tax benefits equal to or greater than the City’s contribution.

Criteria #7: The organization should show a positive contribution to diversifying the local economy by increasing year-round business opportunities, creating new jobs, and increasing the local tax base.

The City’s Economic Development Program Committee will review all applications and submit a recommendation to City Council, who will have final authority in judging whether an applicant meets these criteria.

B. Economic Development Grant Fund Appropriations
The City currently allocates economic development funds from the Lower Park RDA ($20,000), the General Fund ($10,000), and the Main Street RDA ($20,000). Of these funds, no more than $50,000 per annum will be available for ED Grants. Unspent fund balances at the end of a year will not be carried forward to future years.

C. ED Grant Categories
ED Grants will be placed in three potential categories:
4. **Business Relocation Assistance:** This category of grants will be available for assisting an organization with relocation and new office set-up costs. Expenses covered through an ED Grant include but are not limited to: moving costs, leased space costs, fixtures/furnishings/ and equipment related to setting up office space within the City limits.

5. **New Business Start-up Assistance:** This category of grants will be available for assisting a new organization or business with new office set-up costs. Expenses covered through an ED Grant include but are not limited to: leased office space costs, fixtures/furnishings/ and equipment related to setting up office space within the City limits.

6. **Business Expansion Assistance:** This category of grants will be available for assisting an organization or business with expansion costs. These expansions should increase square footage, increase year-round jobs in City limits and/or increase tax revenue; and/or demonstrate a venture into an area considered a diversification of our economic base.

D. **Application Process**
Application forms may be downloaded from the City’s www.parkcity.org website, are available via email from the Economic Development Manager, or are available within the Economic Development Office of City Hall. Applications will be evaluated and awarded on a quarterly basis.

E. **Deadlines**
All applications for Economic Development Grants must be received no later than the following dates each year to be eligible for quarterly consideration:

- **1Q** – Second Friday in August for the end of the First Quarter (September 30th)
- **2Q** – Second Friday in November for the end of the Second Quarter (Dec. 31st)
- **3Q** – Second Friday in February for the end of the Third Quarter (March 31st)
- **4Q** – Second Friday in May for the end of the Fourth Quarter (June 30th)

The City Council will consider in a public meeting any application received by each of the quarterly deadlines within 6 weeks. Extraordinary requests outside the scheduled application process may be considered, unless otherwise directed by Council.

Extraordinary requests received must meet all of the following criteria to be considered:

4. The request must meet all of the normal Public Service Fund Distribution Criteria and qualify under the Economic Development Grant criteria;

5. The applicant must show that the requested funds represent an immediate fiscal need that could not have been anticipated before the deadline; and
6. The applicant must demonstrate significant consequences of not being able to wait for the next quarterly review.

F. **Award Process**
   The disbursement of the ED Grants shall be administered pursuant to applications and criteria established by the Economic Development Department, and awarded by the City Council consistent with this policy and upon the determination that the appropriation is necessary and appropriate to accomplish the economic goals of the City.

   ED Grants funds will be appropriated through processes separate from the biennial Special Service Contract and ongoing Rent Contribution and Historic Preservation process.

   The Economic Development Program Committee will review all applications on a quarterly basis, and forward a recommendation to City Council for authorization. All potential awards of grants will be publicly noticed 14 days ahead of a City Council action.

   Nothing in this policy shall create a binding contract or obligation of the City. Individual ED Grant Contracts may vary from contract to contract at the discretion of the City Council. Any award of a contract is valid only for the term specified therein and shall not constitute a promise of future award. The City reserves the right to reject any and all proposals, and to waive any technical deficiency at its sole discretion. Members of the City Council, the Economic Development Program Committee, and any advisory board, Task Force or special committee with the power to make recommendations regarding ED Contracts are ineligible to apply for such Contracts. City Departments are also ineligible to apply for ED Contracts. All submittals shall be public records in accordance with government records regulations (“GRAMA”) unless otherwise designated by the applicant pursuant to UCA Section 63-2-308, as amended.

**PART IV– Live Park City – Lite Deed Restriction Program**

The City Council may appropriate funds dedicated to the purchase of deed restrictions for housing vitality and preservation within the City limits of Park City. The Live Park City housing program purchases deed restrictions that require owner occupancy or long-term rental of the property. The disbursement of funds will be administered by the City Manager and based on the recommendation of an Advisory Board created by City Council and following program criteria established by the Housing Department and adopted by City Council. The Advisory Board has the authority to award recommendations and to enter and negotiate individual deed restrictions, subject to approval by the City Manager provided the funds being provided is less than $200,000. If the home funds in an application exceeds $200,000, the authority to approve a deed restriction and delegate funds is subject to City Council approval.

**PART V - Landscaping Incentive**
Each year, the City Council may appropriate a specific dollar amount to be used to offer a cash incentive per square foot of turf grass removed and replaced with non-turf Water Wise Landscaping as defined in the Land Management Code. The City Council will appropriate the funding for these expenditures during the annual budget process. The funding source for this category is the Water Fund. The City Council hereby authorizes the Landscaping Incentive Program. The disbursement of the funds shall be administered according to the Landscaping Incentive Program under applications and criteria established by the Public Utilities Department and awarded by the Public Utilities. Disbursements under this program shall not exceed $50,000.
CHAPTER 6.7 - OTHER POLICIES

PART I—DEBT MANAGEMENT

A. The City will not obligate the General Fund to secure long-term financing except when marketability can be significantly enhanced.

B. Direct debt will not exceed 2% of assessed valuation.

C. An internal feasibility analysis will be prepared for each long-term financing activity that analyzes the impact on current and future budgets for debt service and operations. This analysis will also address the reliability of revenues to support debt service.

D. The City will generally conduct financing on a competitive basis. However, negotiated financing may be used due to market volatility or the use of an unusual or complex financing or security structure.

E. The City will seek an investment grade rating (Baa/BBB or greater) on any direct debt and credit enhancements, such as letters of credit or insurance, when necessary for marketing purposes, availability, and cost-effectiveness.

F. The City will annually monitor all forms of debt, coincident with the City’s budget preparation and review process, and report concerns and remedies, if needed, to the Council.

G. The City will diligently monitor its compliance with bond covenants and ensure its adherence to federal arbitrage regulations.

H. The City will maintain good communications with bond rating agencies regarding its financial condition. The City will follow a policy of full disclosure on every financial report and bond prospectus.

PART II—POST-ISSUANCE COMPLIANCE PROCEDURE AND POLICY FOR TAX-EXEMPT GOVERNMENTAL BONDS

The City of Park City (the “City”) issues tax-exempt governmental bonds to finance capital improvements. As an issuer of tax-exempt governmental bonds, the City is required by the terms of Sections 103 and 141-150 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the “Code”), and the Treasury Regulations promulgated there under (the “Treasury Regulations”), to take certain actions subsequent to the issuance of such bonds to ensure the continuing tax-exempt status of such bonds. In addition, Section 6001 of the Code and Section 1.6001-1(a) of the
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Treasury Regulations, impose record retention requirements on the City with respect to its tax-
exempt governmental bonds. This Post-Issuance Compliance Procedure and Policy for Tax-
Exempt Governmental Bonds (the “Policy”) has been approved and adopted by the City to
ensure that the City complies with its post-issuance compliance obligations under applicable
provisions of the Code and Treasury Regulations.

K. Effective Date and Term. The effective date of this Policy is the date of approval by the
City Council of the City (June 16, 2011) and shall remain in effect until superseded or
terminated by action of the City Council.

L. Responsible Parties. The Finance Manager of the City shall be the party primarily
responsible for ensuring that the City successfully carries out its post-issuance compliance
requirements under applicable provisions of the Code and Treasury Regulations. The
Finance Manager will be assisted by the staff of the Finance Department of the City and
by other City staff and officials when appropriate. The Finance Manager of the City will
also be assisted in carrying out post-issuance compliance requirements by the following
organizations:

(1) Bond Counsel (the law firm primarily responsible for providing bond
counsel services for the City);

(2) Financial Advisor (the organization primarily responsible for
providing financial advisor services to the City);

(3) Paying Agent (the person, organization, or City officer primarily
responsible for providing paying agent services for the City); and

(4) Rebate Analyst (the organization primarily responsible for providing
rebate analyst services for the City).

The Finance Manager shall be responsible for assigning post-issuance compliance responsibilities
to members of the Finance Department, other staff of the City, Bond Counsel, Paying Agent, and
Rebate Analyst. The Finance Manager shall utilize such other professional service organizations
as are necessary to ensure compliance with the post-issuance compliance requirements of the City.
The Finance Manager shall provide training and educational resources to City staff that are
responsible for ensuring compliance with any portion of the post-issuance compliance
requirements of this Policy.

M. Post-Issuance Compliance Actions. The Finance Manager shall take the following post-
issuance compliance actions or shall verify that the following post-issuance compliance
actions have been taken on behalf of the City with respect to each issue of tax-exempt
governmental bonds issued by the City:

(1) The Finance Manager shall prepare a transcript of principal documents (this
action will be the primary responsibility of Bond Counsel).
(2) The Finance Manager shall file with the Internal Revenue Service (the “IRS”), within the time limit imposed by Section 149(e) of the Code and applicable Treasury Regulations, an Information Return for Tax-Exempt Governmental Obligations, Form 8038-G (this action will be the primary responsibility of Bond Counsel).

(3) The Finance Manager, in consultation with Bond Counsel, shall identify proceeds of tax-exempt governmental bonds that must be yield-restricted and shall monitor the investments of any yield-restricted funds to ensure that the yield on such investments does not exceed the yield to which such investments are restricted.

(4) In consultation with Bond Counsel, the Finance Manager shall determine whether the City is subject to the rebate requirements of Section 148(f) of the Code with respect to each issue of tax-exempt governmental bonds. In consultation with Bond Counsel, the Finance Manager shall determine, with respect to each issue of tax-exempt governmental bonds of the City, whether the City is eligible for any of the temporary periods for unrestricted investments and is eligible for any of the spending exceptions to the rebate requirements. The Finance Manager shall contact the Rebate Analyst (and, if appropriate, Bond Counsel) prior to the fifth anniversary of the date of issuance of each issue of tax-exempt governmental bonds of the City and each fifth anniversary thereafter to arrange for calculations of the rebate requirements with respect to such tax-exempt governmental bonds. If a rebate payment is required to be paid by the City, the Finance Manager shall prepare or cause to be prepared the Arbitrage Rebate, Yield Reduction and Penalty in Lieu of Arbitrage Rebate, Form 8038-T, and submit such Form 8038-T to the IRS with the required rebate payment. If the City is authorized to recover a rebate payment previously paid, the Finance Manager shall prepare or cause to be prepared the Request for Recovery of Overpayments Under Arbitrage Rebate Provisions, Form 8038-R, with respect to such rebate recovery, and submit such Form 8038-R to the IRS.

(5) The City has issued direct pay Build America Bonds. In consultation with the Paying Agent, the Finance Manager shall prepare or cause to be prepared the Return for Credit Payments to Issuers of Qualified Bonds, Form 8038-CP, to request subsidy payments with respect to interest payable on the bonds and submit such Form 8038-CP to the IRS.

N. Procedures for Monitoring, Verification, and Inspections. The Finance Manager shall institute such procedures as the Finance Manager shall deem necessary and appropriate to monitor the use of the proceeds of tax-exempt governmental bonds issued by the City, to verify that certain post-issuance compliance actions have been taken by the City, and to provide for the inspection of the facilities financed with the proceeds of such bonds. At a minimum, the Finance Manager shall establish the following procedures:

(1) The Finance Manager shall monitor the use of the proceeds of tax-exempt
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governmental bonds to: (i) ensure compliance with the expenditure and investment requirements under the temporary period provisions set forth in Treasury Regulations, Section 1.148-2(e); (ii) ensure compliance with the safe harbor restrictions on the acquisition of investments set forth in Treasury Regulations, Section 1.148-5(d); (iii) ensure that the investments of any yield-restricted funds do not exceed the yield to which such investments are restricted; and (iv) determine whether there has been compliance with the spend-down requirements under the spending exceptions to the rebate requirements set forth in Treasury Regulations, Section 1.148-7.

(2) The Finance Manager shall monitor the use of all bond-financed facilities in order to:

(i) determine whether private business uses of bond-financed facilities have exceeded the de minimus limits set forth in Section 141(b) of the Code as a result of leases and subleases, licenses, management contracts, research contracts, naming rights agreements, or other arrangements that provide special legal entitlements to nongovernmental persons; and

(ii) determine whether private security or payments that exceed the de minimus limits set forth in Section 141(b) of the Code have been provided by nongovernmental persons with respect to such bond-financed facilities.

(3) The Finance Manager shall undertake with respect to each outstanding issue of tax-exempt governmental bonds of the City an annual review of the books and records maintained by the City with respect to such bonds.

O. Record Retention Requirements. The Finance Manager shall collect and retain the following records with respect to each issue of tax-exempt governmental bonds of the City and with respect to the facilities financed with the proceeds of such bonds: (i) audited financial statements of the City; (ii) appraisals, demand surveys, or feasibility studies with respect to the facilities to be financed with the proceeds of such bonds; (iii) publications, brochures, and newspaper articles related to the bond financing; (iv) trustee or paying agent statements; (v) records of all investments and the gains (or losses) from such investments; (vi) paying agent or trustee statements regarding investments and investment earnings; (vii) reimbursement resolutions and expenditures reimbursed with the proceeds of such bonds; (viii) allocations of proceeds to expenditures (including costs of issuance) and the dates and amounts of such expenditures (including requisitions, draw schedules, draw requests, invoices, bills, and cancelled checks with respect to such expenditures); (ix) contracts entered into for the construction, renovation, or purchase of bond-financed facilities; (x) an asset list or schedule of all bond-financed depreciable property and any depreciation schedules with respect to such assets or property; (xi) records of the purchases and sales of bond-financed assets; (xii) private business uses of bond-financed facilities that arise subsequent to the date of issue through leases and subleases, licenses, management contracts, research contracts, naming rights agreements, or other arrangements that provide special legal entitlements to nongovernmental persons and copies of any such agreements or instruments; (xiii) arbitrage rebate reports and records of rebate and yield reduction payments; (xiv) resolutions or other actions taken by the governing body subsequent to the date of issue with respect to such bonds; (xv) formal elections authorized by the Code or Treasury Regulations that are taken with respect to such bonds; (xvi) relevant correspondence relating to such bonds; (xvii) documents related to guaranteed
investment contracts or certificates of deposit entered into subsequent to the date of issue; (xviii) copies of all Form 8038-Ts, 8038-CPs and Form 8038-Rs filed with the IRS; and (xix) the transcript prepared with respect to such tax-exempt governmental bonds.

The records collected by the Finance Manager shall be stored in any format deemed appropriate by the Finance Manager and shall be retained for a period equal to the life of the tax-exempt governmental bonds with respect to which the records are collected (which shall include the life of any bonds issued to refund any portion of such tax-exempt governmental bonds or to refund any refunding bonds) plus three (3) years.

**P.** Remedies. In consultation with Bond Counsel, the Finance Manager shall become acquainted with the remedial actions under Treasury Regulations, Section 1.141-12, to be utilized in the event that private business use of bond-financed facilities exceeds the *de minimus* limits under Section 141(b)(1) of the Code. In consultation with Bond Counsel, the Finance Manager shall become acquainted with the Tax Exempt Bonds Voluntary Closing Agreement Program described in Notice 2008-31, 2008-11 I.R.B. 592, to be utilized as a means for an issuer to correct any post issuance infractions of the Code and Treasury Regulations with respect to outstanding tax-exempt bonds.

**Q.** Continuing Disclosure Obligations. In addition to its post-issuance compliance requirements under applicable provisions of the Code and Treasury Regulations, the City has agreed to provide continuing disclosure, such as annual financial information and material event notices, pursuant to a continuing disclosure certificate or similar document (the “Continuing Disclosure Document”) prepared by Bond Counsel and made a part of the transcript with respect to each issue of bonds of the City that is subject to such continuing disclosure requirements. The Continuing Disclosure Documents are executed by the City to assist the underwriters of the City’s bonds in meeting their obligations under Securities and Exchange Commission Regulation, 17 C.F.R. Section 240.15c2-12, as in effect and interpreted form time to time (“Rule 15c2-12”). The continuing disclosure obligations of the City are governed by the Continuing Disclosure Documents and by the terms of Rule 15c2-12. The Finance Manager is primarily responsible for undertaking such continuing disclosure obligations and to monitor compliance with such obligations.

**R.** Other Post-Issuance Actions. If, in consultation with Bond Counsel, Financial Advisor, Paying Agent, Rebate Analyst, the City Manager, the City Attorney, or the City Council, the Finance Manager determines that any additional action not identified in this Policy must be taken by the Finance Manager to ensure the continuing tax-exempt status of any issue of governmental bonds of the City; the Finance Manager shall take such action if the Finance Manager has the authority to do so. If, after consultation with Bond Counsel, Financial Advisor, Paying Agent, Rebate Analyst, the City Manager, the City Attorney, or the City Council, the Finance Manager and the City Manager determine that this Policy
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must be amended or supplemented to ensure the continuing tax-exempt status of any issue of governmental bonds of the City, the City Manager shall recommend to the City Council that this Policy be so amended or supplemented.

S. — Taxable Governmental Bonds. Most of the provisions of this Policy, other than the provisions of Section 7 and Section 3(e), are not applicable to governmental bonds the interest on which is includable in gross income for federal income tax purposes. On the other hand, if an issue of taxable governmental bonds is later refunded with the proceeds of an issue of tax-exempt governmental refunding bonds, then the uses of the proceeds of the taxable governmental bonds and the uses of the facilities financed with the proceeds of the taxable governmental bonds will be relevant to the tax-exempt status of the governmental refunding bonds. Therefore, if there is any reasonable possibility that an issue of taxable governmental bonds may be refunded, in whole or in part, with the proceeds of an issue of tax-exempt governmental bonds then, for purposes of this Policy, the Finance Manager shall treat the issue of taxable governmental bonds as if such issue were an issue of tax-exempt governmental bonds and shall carry out and comply with the requirements of this Policy with respect to such taxable governmental bonds. The Finance Manager shall seek the advice of Bond Counsel as to whether there is any reasonable possibility of issuing tax-exempt governmental bonds to refund an issue of taxable governmental bonds.

T. — IRS Examination. In the event the Internal Revenue Service (“IRS”) commences an examination of an obligation, the Finance Manager shall inform the City Manager, City Attorney and City Council of such event and is authorized to respond to inquiries of the IRS and, if necessary, to hire outside, independent professional counsel to assist in the response to the examination.

PART III — TRAFFIC CALMING POLICY (ADOPTED JULY 15, 2002)

The Traffic Calming Policy and adopted traffic calming programs will provide residents an opportunity to evaluate the requirements, benefits, and tradeoffs of using various traffic calming measures and techniques within their own neighborhood. The policy outlines the many ways residents, businesses and the City can work together to help keep neighborhood streets safe.

A. Goals

1. Improve the quality of life in neighborhoods
2. Improve conditions for pedestrians and all non-motorized movements
3. Create safe and attractive streets
4. Reduce accidents
5. Reduce the impact of motorized vehicles within a neighborhood
6. Balance the transportation needs of the various land uses in and around a neighborhood
7. Promote partnerships with Summit County, UDOT, and all other agencies involved with traffic calming programs

B. Objectives

1. Encourage citizen involvement in traffic calming programs
2. Slow the speeds of motor vehicles
3. Improve the real and perceived safety for non-motorized users of the street
4. Incorporate the preference and requirements of the people using the area
5. Promote pedestrian, cycle, and transit use
6. Prioritize traffic calming requests

C. Fundamental Principals

1. Reasonable automobile access should be maintained. Traffic calming projects should encourage and enhance the appropriate behavior of drivers, pedestrian, cyclists, transit, and other users of the public right-of-way without unduly restricting appropriate access to neighborhood destinations.
2. Reasonable emergency vehicle access must be preserved.
3. The City shall employ the appropriate use of traffic calming measures and speed enforcement to achieve the Policy objectives. Traffic calming devices (speed humps, medians, curb extensions, and others) shall be planned and designed in keeping with sound engineering and planning practices. The Public Works departments shall direct the installation and maintenance of traffic control devices (signs, signals, and markings) as needed to accomplish the project, in compliance with the municipal code and pertinent state and federal regulations.
4. To implement traffic calming programs, certain procedures shall be followed by the City in processing requests according to applicable codes and related policies within the limits of available resources. At a minimum, the procedures shall provide for:
   a. A simple process to propose traffic calming measures
   b. A system for staff to evaluate proposals
   c. Citizen participation in program development and evaluation
   d. Communication of any test results and specific findings to area residents and affected neighborhood organizations
   e. Strong neighborhood support before installation of permanent traffic management devices
   f. Using passive traffic controls as a first effort to solve most neighborhood speed problems
5. Time frames—All neighborhood requests will be acknowledged within 72 hours from the initial notification of the area of traffic concern. Following that, the time required by all parties involved will be dependent on the issue brought forward. It is expected that both City Staff and the requesting parties will act in a responsive and professional manner.

C. Communication Protocols

Park City Municipal Corporation will identify a Traffic Calming Project Manager to
facilitate the communications and program steps deemed appropriate. The Project Manager will be the point person for all communications with the requesting neighborhood and internally with a Traffic Calming Program Review Committee. The Traffic Calming Program Review Committee will evaluate and recommend the action steps to be taken. The Review Committee will be comprised of the following people:

1. Public Works Director  
2. City Engineer  
3. Police Department Representative—appointed by the Police Chief  
4. Traffic Calming Project Manager—appointed by the Public Works Director

All coordination efforts, enforcement measures, and follow through responsibilities will be under the supervision of the Traffic Calming Project Manager.

D. Eligibility

All city streets are eligible to participate in a Traffic Calming Program. Any traffic management techniques desired to be used on Utah Department of Transportation (UDOT) owned streets must be approved by UDOT.

E. Funding Alternatives

1. 100% Neighborhood Funding  
2. Capital Improvement Program  
3. Neighborhood Matching Grants  
4. City Traffic Calming Program Funds

F. Procedures

Phase I: Phase I consists of implementing passive traffic controls.

1. **Initiation:** Neighborhood complaint must include petition signed by at least 5 residents or businesses in the area to initiate Phase I of a traffic calming program.

2. **Phase I First Meeting:** Neighborhood meeting is held to determine goals of a traffic calming program, initiate community education, initiate staff investigation of non-intrusive traffic calming measures, discuss options, estimate of cost, timing, and process.

3. **Phase I Implementation:**
   a. The Traffic Calming Program Review Committee reviews signing, striping, and general traffic control measures. Minimum actions include Residential Area signs, speed limit signs, review of striping, review of stop sign placement, review of turn
restrictions, and review of appropriate traffic control devices.

b. Community watch program initiated. This program includes neighbors calling police to request increased speed limit enforcement, neighbors disseminating flyers printed by the City reminding the community to slow down, community watch for commercial or construction vehicles, etc.
c. Targeted police enforcement will begin to include real time speed control.

4. Phase I Evaluation: Evaluation of Phase I actions will occur over a 3 to 9 month period. Evaluation will include visual observations by residents and staff.

5. Phase I Neighborhood Evaluation Meeting: Phase I evaluation meeting will be held to discuss results of Phase I. It will be important that the City staff and the current residents also contact the relevant property owners to obtain their opinions and thoughts prior to taking any next steps.

Phase II:

1. Phase II Initiation: Twenty-five percent (25%) of the residents within the proposed neighborhood area can request the initiation of Phase II.

2. Define Neighborhood Boundary: A neighborhood will include all residents or businesses with direct access on streets to be evaluated by Phase II implementation. Residents or businesses with indirect access on streets affected by Phase II implementation will be included in neighborhood boundary only at the discretion of staff.

3. Phase II Data Collection and Ranking: Staff performs data collection to evaluate and rank neighborhood problems and the ability to solve problems. Data collection will include the following and will result in a quantitative ranking.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Points</th>
<th>Basis Point Assignment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Speed data (48 hour)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Extent by which the 85th percentile traffic speed exceeds the posted speed limit (2 points per 1 mph)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume data (48 hour)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Average daily traffic volumes (1 point per 100 vehicles, minimum of 500 vpd)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accident data (12 month)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Accidents caused by speeding (8 points per accident)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### POLICIES & OBJECTIVES

| Proximity to schools or other active public venues | 5 | Points assigned if within 300 feet of a school or other active public venue |
| Pedestrian crossing, bicycle routes, & proximity of pedestrian generators | 5 | Points assigned based on retail, commercial, and other pedestrian generators. |
| Driveway spacing | 5 | For the study area, if large spaces occur between driveways, 5 points will be awarded. If more than three driveways fall within a 100-foot section of the study area, no points will be provided. |
| No sidewalks | 10 | Total points assigned if there is no continuous sidewalk on either side of the road. |
| Funding Availability | 50 | 50 points assigned if the project is in the CIP or 100% funding by the neighborhood. Partial funding of 50% or more by the neighborhood 25 points, partial funding of 10 to 50% by the neighborhood 10 points. |
| Years on the list | 25 | 5 points for each year |
| **Total Points Possible** | **175** | maximum points available |

4. **Phase II Implementation Recommendation:** The Traffic Calming Project Review Committee proposes Phase II traffic calming implementation actions and defines a project budget.

5. **Phase II Consensus Meeting:** A neighborhood meeting is held to present a Phase II implementation proposal including project budget, possible time frame, discuss temporary installation, etc. The estimated time frame is one to three years depending on funding availability.

6. **Phase II Petition:** Residents and businesses in neighborhood boundary are mailed or hand delivered a petition by the City identifying Phase II actions, cost, and explanation of implications of vote. Petition provides ability to vote yes, no, or not return petition. Unreturned petitions count as no votes. Resident support for traffic calming is defined as 67 percent positive response. No more than four weeks is allowed for the return of a petition.

7. **Phase II Implementation:** Permanent installation will be implemented after the approval of funding by the City Council. Implemented actions will be continually monitored based on visual observation and accident data.

8. **Post Project Evaluation:** City staff will review impacts on traffic to determine if goals were met. Neighborhoods will have an opportunity to review data and provide comment.
9. **Removal (if required):** The Traffic Calming Program Review Committee will authorize removal of improvements upon receiving a petition showing 75 percent support by the neighborhood. Removal costs in all or part may be assessed to the defined neighborhood boundaries.

**G. Traffic Management Devices (Definitions)**

1. **Passive Controls** consist of traffic control mechanisms that are not self-regulating. To be effective it is necessary for drivers to abide by traffic control devices.
   - **a. Stop Signs** - used to assign right-of-ways at intersections and where irremovable visibility restrictions exist.
   - **b. Speed Limit Signs** - sometimes installed as traffic calming mechanism. Numerous speed limit signs reinforce the posted speed.
   - **c. Turn Prohibition Signs** - used to prevent traffic from entering a street, thereby reducing traffic volumes.
   - **d. Neighborhood Announcement Signs** - used to advise the entering vehicles that they are moving through a particular type of neighborhood. Specific supplementary messages can also be placed here.

2. **Positive Physical Controls:**
   - **a. Medians Islands** - used to constrict travel lane width and provide an area for additional landscaping and signage.
   - **b. Bulb-Outs (Chokers/Curb Extensions)** - physical constrictions constructed adjacent to the curb at both intersections and mid-block locations making pedestrian crossings easier and space for additional landscaping and signage.
   - **c. Speed Humps** - are vertical changes in the pavement surface that force traffic to slow down in order to comfortably negotiate that portion of the street.
   - **d. Chicanes** - are a set of two or three landscaped curb undulations that extend out into the street. Chicanes narrow the street encouraging drivers to drive more slowly.
   - **e. Traffic Circles and Roundabouts** - circular islands located in the middle of street intersections that force traffic to deflect to the right, around a traffic island, in order to perform any movement through the intersection tending to slow the traffic speeds.
   - **f. Rumble Strips** - changes in the elevation of the pavement surface and/or changes in pavement texturing which are much less pronounced than speed humps.
   - **g. Diverters** - physical obstructions in intersections which force motorists to turn from the traveled way onto an adjacent intersecting street thereby reducing volume.
3. Driver Perception/Psychology:
   a. Landscaping—the most effective way to change the perception of a given street environment.
   b. Crosswalks—can be used to alter the perception of a street corridor and at the same time enhance the pedestrian environment.
   Flashing Warning Beacons—can be used to alter driver psychology.
   Real-time Speed Display—used to inform drivers of actual speed they are traveling.
   c. Increased Enforcement—additional enforcement of regulations either by law enforcement personnel or citizen volunteer groups.
   d. Pavement Markings—used to guide motorists, delineate on-street parking areas or create the impression of a narrowed roadway, all in an effort to slow traffic speeds.

PART IV—SPECIAL EVENTS SERVICES

The City’s role in supporting special events encompasses a wide range of services. Depending on the size and impact of a given special event the City may be required to provide:

- Police Services (Crowd, Traffic and Access control),
- Transit Services (Enhanced frequency or capacity),
- Parks Services (Field maintenance, Grounds maintenance, Trash),
- Streets Services (Street Sweeping, Electronic signage, Barricades),
- Parking Services (Special use of parking, Parking enforcement),
- Building Services (Inspections and Code enforcement),
- Special Events and Facilities Services (Facility leases).

Some of these services can be provided without incremental cost or loss of revenues. However, most special events services do have an impact on departmental budgets in the form of overtime labor, equipment, materials, or foregone revenue. The purpose of this policy is to ensure departments are properly funded to provide the special event support they are tasked with providing.

A. Procedures for Amending Departmental Budgets

For budgeting purposes special events can be categorized into two groups:

1. Those events that are managed under multi-year contracts with the City
2. Those year to year or one-time events whose size and scope do not justify long-term contracts.
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B. Events Managed Under Multi-Year Contracts
   For these events, Departments shall request budget adjustments during the first budget process after these agreements are signed. These budget adjustments will be based upon the level of services outlined in the special event contract and will remain in the budget only for the term of the contract.

C. Year to Year or One Time Events
   For those events for which long term agreements do not exist the costs for providing services shall be estimated and included within Council’s or the City Manager’s review of the application. If through the approval process fees are waived these calculations will then serve as the justification for a one-time budget adjustment during the next budget process.

D. Funding Mechanisms for Special Event Budget Increases
   The City uses a three tiered approach to fund special event services. Those three tiers are:
   1. Special Event Fees
   2. Economic Benefit Offset
   3. Other General Fund Resources

E. Special Event Fees
   Pre-approved fees will be set to recoup the incremental cost of providing the City services detailed in an event Master Festival or Special Event application. If an event requests and receives approval for a waiver of any or all fees, the City will first look to an Economic Benefit Offset to provide funding in lieu of the waived fees.

F. Economic Benefit Offset (EBO):
   The economic benefit offset (EBO) of a given event can only be calculated for those events which are known to have a significant impact on sales tax collections and have at least one year of history to analyze. The EBO of an event is calculated using historic sales tax collection data to measure incremental sales tax growth attributable to that event. In the past Council has indicated a willingness to waive fees for up to half the incremental sales tax gained from major special events. The SEBC recommends that Council formally adopt this 50 percent waiver limit. If the Economic Benefit Offset is inadequate (on a fund specific basis) to offset waived fees, the City will then look to other General Fund sources to provide funding in lieu of waived fees.

G. Other General Fund Resources
   When the economic benefit of a special event (on a fund specific basis) cannot be calculated or is inadequate to offset the amount of waived fees, the SEBC recommends the City identify other general fund sources to offset any waived
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fees. Staff will communicate available sources to Council or the City Manager when presenting Master Festival or Special Event applications that contain a fee waiver request.

PART V—GASB 54 FUND BALANCE

PURPOSE

This Fund Balance Policy establishes procedures for reporting fund balance classifications and establishes a hierarchy of fund balance expenditures for governmental type funds. The policy also authorizes and directs the Finance Manager to prepare financial reports, which accurately categorize fund balance per Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 54: Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions (GASB 54).

I. FUND BALANCE COMPONENTS

Fund balance is essentially the difference between the assets and liabilities reported in a governmental fund. GASB 54 establishes the following five components of fund balance, each of which identifies the extent to which the City is bound to honor constraints on the specific purposes for which amounts can be spent.

F. Non-spendable Fund Balance

The non-spendable fund balance classification includes amounts that cannot be spent because they are either (a) not in a spendable form or (b) legally or contractually required to be maintained intact. The “not spendable form” criterion includes items that are not expected to be converted to cash, for example, inventories and prepaid amounts. It also includes the long-term amount of loans and notes receivable.

G. Restricted Fund Balance

The restricted fund balance classification includes amounts that reflect constraints placed on the use of resources (other than non-spendable items) that are either (a) externally imposed by creditors (such as through bonded debt reserve funds required pursuant to debt covenants), grantors, contributors, or laws or regulations of other governments; or (b) imposed by law through constitutional provisions or enabling legislation.

H. Committed Fund Balance

The committed fund balance classification includes amounts that can only be used for specific purposes pursuant to constraints imposed by formal action of the government’s highest level of decision-making authority. Those committed amounts cannot be used for any other purpose unless the government removes or changes the specific use by taking the same type of action (for example ordinance) it employed to previously commit those amounts. Committed fund balance also should incorporate contractual obligations to the extent that existing resources in the fund have been specifically committed for use in satisfying those contractual requirements. City Council action of passing an ordinance to commit fund balance needs to occur within the fiscal reporting period; however, the
amount can be determined subsequently.

I. **Assigned Fund Balance**
   The assigned fund balance classification includes amounts that are constrained by the government’s intent to be used for specific purposes, but that are neither restricted nor committed. Such intent needs to be established by (a) the governing body itself or (b) a body or official to which the governing body has delegated the authority to assign amounts to be used for specific purposes.

J. **Unassigned Fund Balance**
   The unassigned fund balance classification includes amounts that do not fall into one of the above four categories. This classification represents fund balance that has not been assigned to other funds and that has not been restricted, committed or assigned to specific purposes within the general fund. The general fund is the only fund that should report this category of fund balance.

II. **HEIRARCHY OF SPENDING FUND BALANCE**
   The City’s current fund balance practice provides that restricted fund balance be spent first when expenditure is incurred for which both restricted and unrestricted fund balance is available. Similarly, when expenditure is incurred for purposes for which amounts in any of the unrestricted classifications of fund balance can be used; committed amounts are to be spent first, followed by assigned amounts and then unassigned amounts. GASB 54 mandates that this hierarchy of expending fund balance be reported in new categories, using new terminology, and be formally adopted by the City Council. It should be noted that the new categories only emphasize the extent which the City is bound to honor expenditure constraints and the purposes for which amounts can be spent. The total reported fund balance would remain unchanged.

III. **COMPARISON OF PAST PRACTICE AND GASB 54 FUND BALANCE TYPES**

E. **General Fund**
   Past Practice Definition—The general fund is used to account for all financial resources not accounted for in another fund.

   GASB 54 Definition—The general fund is used to account for all financial resources not accounted for in another fund.

F. **Special Revenue Funds**
   Past Practice Definition—Special revenue funds account for proceeds of specific revenue sources that are legally restricted to expenditure for specific purposes.

   GASB 54 Definition—Special revenue funds are used to account for and report the proceeds of specific revenue sources that are restricted or committed to expenditure for
specified purposes other than debt service or capital projects. The term “proceeds of specific revenue sources” establishes that one or more specific restricted or committed revenues should be the foundation for a special revenue fund.

G. Capital Projects

Past Practice Definition — Capital project funds account for financial resources to be used for the acquisition or construction of major capital facilities.

GASB 54 Definition — Capital project funds are used to account for and report financial resources that are restricted, committed, or assigned to expenditure for capital outlays, including the acquisition or construction of capital facilities and other capital assets. Capital project funds exclude those types of capital related outflows financed by proprietary funds, or for assets that will be held in trust for individuals, private organizations, or other governments.

H. Debt Service

Past Practice Definition — Debt service funds account for the accumulation of resources for, and the payment of, general long-term debt principal and interest.

GASB 54 Definition — Debt service funds are used to account for and report financial resources that are restricted, committed, or assigned to expenditure for principal and interest.

PART VI — Live Park City — Lite Deed Restriction Program

The City Council may appropriate funds dedicated to the purchase of deed restrictions for housing vitality and preservation within the City limits of Park City. The Live Park City housing program purchases deed restrictions that require owner occupancy or long-term rental of the property. The disbursement of funds will be administered by the City Manager and based on the recommendation of an Advisory Board created by City Council and following program criteria established by the Housing Department and adopted by City Council. The Advisory Board has the authority to award recommendations and to enter and negotiate individual deed restrictions, subject to approval by the City Manager provided the funds being provided is less than $200,000. If the home funds in an application exceeds $200,000, the authority to approve a deed restriction and delegate funds is subject to City Council approval.

PART VII — Landscaping Incentive

Each year, the City Council may appropriate a specific dollar amount to be used to offer a cash incentive per square foot of turf grass removed and replaced with non-turf Water Wise Landscaping as defined in the Land Management Code. The City Council will appropriate the funding for these expenditures during the annual budget process. The funding source for this category is the Water Fund. The City Council hereby authorizes the Landscaping Incentive
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Program. The disbursement of the funds shall be administered according to the Landscaping Incentive Program under applications and criteria established by the Public Utilities Department and awarded by the Public Utilities. Disbursements under this program shall not exceed $50,000.

PART II – SETTLEMENT AUTHORITY

From time to time claims are made against the City based on contract, tort, or other state and federal laws. It is in the best interest of the City to efficiently investigate, evaluate, negotiate, and process such claims. It is not efficient or prudent to involve the Council in every decision to settle small, routine, claims made against the City.

Therefore, to effect the efficient evaluation, negotiation, and processing of such claims, it is in the best interest of the City to authorize the City Manager to process and settle certain claims as he/she deems prudent. The City Manager is authorized to settle crop loss claims made against the City in an amount not to exceed $25,000, and all other claims in an amount not to exceed $50,000.

When claims are made against the City, it is in the best interest of the City to efficiently evaluate, negotiate and process such claims. It is not efficient or prudent to involve City Council in decisions to settle small, routine claims made against the City.

Therefore, to effect the efficient evaluation, negotiation and processing of such claims, it is in the best interest of the City to authorize the City Manager to settle certain claims as they deem prudent. The City Manager may approve all settlements up to and including $100,000.