

The following questions were received in response to the RFP Reusable to-go container digital customer interface and backend asset management, for one-month pilot program (<u>SEE HERE</u>)

Question: Is their [sic] a preference for non plastic reusables such as stainless steel? Answer: Thus far the pilot has been material agnostic. Draft results of Sustainability Life Cycle Assessments show that stainless steel materials is preferable.

Question: Is each reusable vessel required to be tracked?

Answer: This is preferable. If you have an alternative method to ensure that containers/vessels do not end up in landfill, please include as part of your proposal.

Question: How many containers are sought for this pilot?

Answer: Please propose how many containers can be provided within the budget of \$10,000. If additional budget is required for additional containers, please include in your proposal.

Question: Are restaurants open to having an additional scanner and training on the system for implementation leading up to the pilot, or is that included within the pilot timeline? Restaurants are open to having an additional scanner and training on the system. Budget is included to reimburse employees with a gift cards to local grocery stores.

Question: Would the vessels prefer to be put into circulation temporarily and recollected at the end? Answer: The one-month pilot is intended to test the method as a community-wide reuse scheme within a resort town. A tight collection loop is preferred. Otherwise, Park City is open to creative ideas for an effective container reuse system that can be scaled as solution that truly diverts waste from landfill and benefits the local economy.

Question: Are the restaurants looking for monetization of this program?

Answer: This has not yet been discussed with restaurants, thought I imagine they would like additional income. Thus far they are more interested in low/no-cost solutions.

Question: Is their [sic] a 3rd party partner for sanitization and redistribution?

Answer: There is a local partner that has been engaged as a possibility, but no details are sorted. Some restaurants have capacity to wash their own containers, some are willing to share space for after hours washing of extra containers, some have no kitchen. One restaurant has been identified as a possibility for washing extras after hours; details of hiring extra help or compensation have yet to be agreed. If you have a proposal for engagement of a 3rd party partner for sanitization and redistribution of containers, please include in your response.

Question: Is the program intended to be free to the public/consumer?

Answer: Please include in your response what the cost(s) to the public/consumer would be. The pilot is to test the concept, price point, and container collection and reuse rate, with the overall intention of scaling community-wide.

Question: Is their [sic] a preference for a subscription based system?

Answer: Park City is a resort town with many events that draw people from all over the world. This fact makes a subscription-based system difficult, as the potential for container leakage, with the potential of



landfill disposal, is high. If you have a proposal for a subscription based system within the local context, please propose.

Question: Is their [sic] a proposed consumer price range for the program?

Answer: Park City prefers a price point that considers a wide range of incomes. One of Park City's Critical

Priorities is Equity, so exclusivity based on price-point is not encouraged.

Question: What are the participating parties in the program specifically? Which restaurants? Which

retailers?

Answer: Please see map embedded within the RFP on page 3.

Question: I'm confused about the budget available, is it strictly 10,000 available or \$20,000? Answer: The budget for the RFP is \$10,000. Additional project costs include consultant fees, stipends for Sustainability Life Cycle Assessments, container samples, etc. Approximately \$8,250 of the project budget of \$20,000 has been allocated, not including container costs for the pilot.