

Anya Grahn

From: James Tedford <weskipc@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 29, 2017 7:22 PM
To: Anya Grahn
Subject: KAC Deck

Anya,

Your decision to approve the destruction of one of the barrel vaults in the roof of the old KAC building was the direct cause of the current appeal and is very disturbing. I don't know if this was your decision alone, or if Hannah was involved, or if you were told by Bruce to approve it. The statement, D1.1, in the HDDG that states "Maintain the original roof form" is absolutely black and white. It doesn't say anything about a compromise. It doesn't say anything about whether it can be seen (which it can). Mr. Elliot is a master of getting around our laws. This is not the first time. He strategically took a picture from a location where it could not be seen. I walked around that building and it can clearly be seen from Heber Ave. and Main St. The fact that they are taking roof beams from the destroyed roof to reinforce the remaining vault is totally irrelevant. If you hadn't approved the destruction of the barrel vault there would not have been any deck and no exterior functions with minimal controls.

I read in the paper today that "City Hall" recommends the appeal be denied because of "a series of conditions on the approval meant to guard against impacts on the neighborhood". These "conditions" are ridiculous. Limiting events to 480 people on an outdoor deck from 8am until midnight and no speakers after 10pm is meaningless. If in fact, the HDDG did allow this deck, more realistic conditions would be "no outdoor speakers or music anytime, and deck closed at 10pm". I am losing confidence in the Planning Department and your interpretations of the LMC and the HDDG.

Jim Tedford