

	Questions	Answers
1	Number of homes within Park City limits?	9,917
2	What is the estimated number of homes, multi-family-dwellings (MDU), businesses etc, that would require connectivity?	See general plan, ESRI Business Analyst tool (by signing NDA)
3	Will Park City purchase the main items (fiber, conduit, manholes, junction boxes etc.)?	This option would be considered with an overall proposal that creates significant mutual and long-term benefit. PC is looking for a partner in this effort, and would anticipate appropriate levels of cost sharing.
4	What is the Park City median household income? (2013)	85411 (U.S. Census)
5	We would like a map or detailed description of the geographical area where the Citywide fiber optic network would cover. Do you have a map showing Park City proper and what developments / businesses are included inside the area of Park City Municipal?	See provided map.
6	Could you provide us with a water meter count? How many accounts does Park City bill for water meters?	5,193 Active accounts
7	Referring to 1.6.3 on the RFP document: Can you provide us with more detail on available grants / funds that are in place or could be available for this project?	PCMC is currently not aware of available grants. However, structuring a solution that accounts for future possibility would be desirable. In addition, the State of Utah has a robust fiber and broadband effort which city officials would attempt to leverage ( <a href="http://broadband.utah.gov/">http://broadband.utah.gov/</a> ) as well as other Federal program such as Community Connect Grants, etc.
8	How long has the conduit been in the ground?	Conduit conditions and age vary greatly, but the oldest sections range from 1998 -2001 (most was the result of facilitating the 2001 Salt Lake City Winter Olympics).
9	Is there anything in the conduit to prove it?	Not all sections of conduit have tracing wire, but the provided maps show active fiber and conduit paths.
10	How much of the distribution could be done overhead versus underground?	While we prefer underground fiber delivery solution, we would consider an overhead delivery system in order to leverage cost effectiveness and construction efficiencies.

11	Do you know the percentage of rock in the areas that would be built out?	Park City topology varies from each location.
12	What is the average drop distance for residential and business?	TBD.
13	Would Park City (City) be open to a City owned infrastructure leased to a private operator? Or is it joint or operator ownership only?	PCMC would be willing to consider all options that meet the RFP's legal requirements and provide the most value to the taxpayers and consumers. However, the City prefers joint or operator ownership.
14	In Section 1.4 Overview ; could Park City revise the requirement from "guaranteed minimum 1 Gbps dedicated symmetrical transmission speed" to read 98% of 1 Gbps throughput for GigE due to overhead?	Network overhead is an expected and acceptable component of the overall design.
15	Does "covers 100% of homes, condos, hotels, ski resort bases, businesses,..." imply every structure or every door?	Each building should receive a fiber connection with additional connectivity (fiber pairs) being made available based on larger square footage. However, some areas may need options to account for distance/terrain.
16	The above objective directly conflicts with the objective to "implement an operationally progressive, demand driven basis,..." and "rollout will be based upon demand by community residents..." Could you explain?	PCMC is onboard with a roll out based on demand. However, the expectation is that fiber is available to all within 5 years.
17	Are the City's "private fiber segments" owned or leased? How much fiber is available?	All fiber/conduit maps reflect City owned assets. Available fiber pairs vary from 12 to 96 pairs.
18	The RFI states that IP services are the preferred platform but then requires availability of physical, wavelength and L2 services. Could you explain?	Please propose a network design that best meets cost and performance.
19	Under 2.2 Scope of Work, are some of the additional services envisioned as remitted services to the City?	PCMC is willing to offer municipal resources to reduce the cost and time of deployment. Final considerations would need to be contractually agreed.
20	Are the [RFP] responses going to be made public, on a website, etc?	Yes. Wednesday, May 28, 2014.
21	Will the intention be that the Fibre network proposed cover the Park City extended communities as well? e.g. Kimball Junction, Silver Creek, Silver Summit, Jeremy Ranch etc.?	Just Park City proper. However, in order to defray costs and/or create economies of scale, Park City officials are happy to approach/liaison/solicit additional interest and welcome opportunities for further deployment with other partners and entities, such as those you suggest.

22	Estimates on the number of PC homes, MDU's, businesses, etc., that would require connectivity if we went to each and every home/business with fiber?	See above.
23	Can I also get GIS information from either the city or county with the parcel information?	Available by visiting Summit County website.
24	Is Park City expecting a specific cost estimate in the response to the RFP? Or are you expecting more general information about the project and how it can be accomplished?	PCMC is seeking a high level overview of both costs and implementation to establish a baselines of needs, wants and capabilities.
25	The RFP mentions the Provider will bear all of the costs for the Network, including but not limited to impact fees,... What impact fees should the Provider anticipate paying for?	PCMC will reduce/eliminate impact fees within our juristictional power and as contractual agreed.
26	While the construction and offering of the Gbps service is, in general and nontechnical terms possible, no Provider can truly guarantee a minimum 1 Gbps dedicated symmetrical transmission speed to every premise. Could you provide further information on this?	With regard to network speed, PCMC seeks a 1Gbps network design proposal that is a realistic balance of cost, performance, longevity and expandibility.