

Department of Community Development Engineering • Building Inspection • Planning

September 2, 1997

Doug Clyde Powdr Corp P O Box 39 Park City, UT 84060

NOTICE OF PLANNING COMMISSION ACTION

Project Name: Park City Mountain Resort

Project Description: Large Scale Master Plan

Date of Meeting: June 25, 1997

Action Taken By Planning Commission: Approved the Park City Mountain Resort Large Scale Master Plan with the following findings of fact, conclusions of law, and conditions of approval:

Master Planned Development Findings:

 There are 31.19 acres of Recreation Commercial Zoning at the Park City Mountain Resort Base. The existing development occupies 6.27 acres of that total. There are, therefore, 24.92 acres of property zoned Recreation Commercial (RC) under consideration in this application. The permitted density in the RC zone for Master Planned Developments is 1 unit equivalent for each 2,000 square feet of land area on the site (Section 10.16 of the Park City LMC).

A portion of the area zoned RC is within the Sensitive Lands Overlay Zone. Based upon the total area of the site, and taking into consideration the Sensitive Area Overlay Zone, the maximum density permitted would be 491.78 Unit Equivalents.

- 2. The Park City Mountain Resort Large Scale Master Plan includes:
 - demolition and replacement of the Gondola Building with a hotel/timeshare stepping up the hill
 - construction of new buildings on all of the current surface parking lots
 - · addition of skier parking in underground structures
 - construction of a new plaza oriented primarily toward the day skier
 - improvement of the existing plaza to better serve skiers staying on site
 - installation of skiing improvements

00513070 BK01166 PG00554

Exhibit E

Park City Municipal Corporation • 445 Marsac Avenue • P.O. Box 1480 • Park City, UT 84060-1480 Community Development (801) 645-5020 • Engineering 645-5020 • Building 645-5040 Planning 645-5021 • FAX (801) 645-5078 Doug Clyde Page 2 September 2, 1997

- construction of employee housing
- realignment of Lowell Avenue and modifications to Empire Avenue

The Master Plan consists of 5 parcels which are fully described in a booklet entitled Concept Master Plan dated June 10, 1997. That document is referenced as a part of this approval.

Density

The densities and square footages proposed are as follows:

	Parcel Square Footage Allowance Table						
Parcel	Gross	Residential	Accessory	Retail/	Total (2)		
	Residential	Support	Use to	Commercial			
	Sq. Ft.	Commercial	Resort				
		& Accessory	Operation				
		Use @ 10%					
A	287000	28700	35000	(1)	350810		
В	294000	29400		(1)	323519		
С	159000	15900	18000	(1)	192963		
D	93000	9300		(1)	102338		
E	<u>141000</u>	14100	32000	(1)	<u>187157</u>		
Total	974000	97400	85000		1156787		

(1) If there are retail/commercial uses other than Support Commercial or Accessory Uses they will require a proportionate reduction in the square footage that is allocated for the other uses in this table.

(2) Building square footage does not include mechanical or storage space that may be located below grade.

The residential development is intended to occur in the form of condominiums, hotels and timeshares and is intended to serve the visitor.

The square footage numbers that are shown in the Parcel Square Footage Allowance Table are the maximums that can be built within each category. Three separate factors control the size of the individual buildings, and in each case the most restrictive of these factors will

Doug Clyde Page 3 September 2, 1997

control the size of the building. The size and configuration of each building is limited by the gross square footage listed in the Parcel Square Footage Table, and the overall building envelope as set out in the Volumetrics, neither of which can be exceeded. In addition the entire project is limited by the total Unit Equivalents that are available within the MPD. The project is entitled to a total of 492 unit equivalents.

Mechanical space, maintenance and storage space that is located underground is not included in the total building square footage and is allowed in addition to the total Parcel Square Footage Allowance. Public Convention and Meeting Space that is likewise underground would be allowed in addition to the total Parcel Square Footage Allowance.

3. The commercial uses proposed in the Park City Mountain Resort MPD are consistent with the RC zone and support the residential bed base and skiing activity. The commercial uses are defined as follows:

RESORT ACCESSORY USES:

The following uses are accessory uses for the Resort's Winter and Summer operations. These uses meet the definition of "Accessory" by being: (1) clearly incidental to, and customarily found in connection with, the principal building or use, and (2) operated and maintained for the benefit or convenience of the owners, occupants, employees, customers or visitors to the principal use or building. Accessory uses do not require the use of Unit Equivalents. Other uses that are not listed here may also qualify as "Accessory".

Information/Lost and Found Maintenance Facilities Mountain Patrol Mountain Administration Mountain Patrol Medical Facilities Base Day Lodge and Food Service Public Lockers Public Restrooms Horseback Riding and Stables Mountain Bike Rental, Repair, and Sales Ski/Snowboard (etc,) Repair, Rental and Sales Ski School/Skiwee/ Kinderschule/Day Care Ticket Sales Summer Recreation Facilities Public Convention Facilities

00513070 Bx01166 Pg00556

Doug Clyde Page 4 September 2, 1997

RESIDENTIAL ACCESSORY USE AND SUPPORT COMMERCIAL:

Residential accessory uses include those facilities that are for the benefit of the building residents and do not require the use of Unit Equivalents. These uses include, but are not limited to the following:

Health Clubs and Fitness Centers Pools, Saunas and Hot Tubs Ski Lockers Lobbies Meeting Rooms Storage Laundry Employee Facilities

Residential Support Commercial are those commercial uses that are oriented towards the internal circulation of the development, for the purposes of serving the needs of the residents or users of that development and otherwise meet the definition as found in the Land Management Code. Support Commercial does not require the use of Unit Equivalents.

General Commercial and Retail activities that do not qualify as Support Commercial or Accessory use may be desirable. For example, a full service hotel would require a restaurant that would provide food service to patrons outside of the project. General Commercial or Retail will require the use of Unit Equivalents as per the Land Management Code. No square footage has be allocated to this space; consequently, it would have to come out of one of the other categories that make up the total square footage of the building.

4. In conjunction with the planning for the Village Development, a Mountain Upgrade Plan was prepared by Sno.engineering. This mountain upgrade plan calls for the construction and/or replacement of several lifts with detachable lift systems. Plans for the next 6 years result in a mountain configuration of 7 detachable chairs, and 11 fixed grip lifts. Additionally, the First Time beginner lift may also be a detachable. New lifts will include an expansion into McConkey's Bowl, a detachable that services the Bonanza run, and a new transportation lift from the new plaza and drop off area at Building E to a new restaurant site just below the summit. The new transportation lift may be a gondola or a hybrid detachable chair/gondola. If required, cabin storage will be at the top terminal with a minimal terminal at the base. Both Payday and Motherlode will be replaced with detachables.

Doug Clyde Page 5 September 2, 1997

On mountain food service will be improved and expanded. New restaurants include a large upper day lodge in the meadow just above the Assessment ski run, and some smaller restaurants in the Payday and King Con Ski Pods.

The majority of the uphill improvements are not within the City Limits of Park City. Because the improvements may impact traffic, parking, runoff, and views within Park City, the City is requesting review authority of those improvements.

- 5. The Large Scale MPD proposes over 70% open space in the form of pedestrian plazas and walkways, ski runs, and landscaped areas. Special conditions will be placed on the Master Plan to ensure the long term maintenance and quality of those open space areas and that they remain open to the public, subject to reasonable restrictions.
- 6. The applicant prepared two parking and traffic studies which were carefully evaluated by the Planning Commission. A parking management plan is proposed to minimize neighborhood impacts and to provide opportunities for creative parking solutions. The applicant is being required to upgrade roads and intersections to meet the increase traffic demand.
- 7. The site planning for the project takes into consideration separation from existing uses and has been determined to provide adequate setbacks. The setbacks proposed are at, or in excess, of those required in the RC Zone.
- 8. The Recreation Commercial Zone allows the highest density in the City and is intended to provide transient residential bed base.
- 9. The site planning criteria set forth in Section 10.9(h) of the Land Management Code were considered in the review of this Large Scale Master Plan. Specific design guidelines, building volumetrics and site planning were required in order to:
 - site building masses in the most appropriate locations, taking into consideration surrounding uses and structures;
 - cluster units in the most developable portions of the project, keeping development off of the hillsides and maintaining significant view corridors;
 - place utilities and roads in areas already disturbed whenever possible;
 - provide for significant pedestrian circulation;
 - improve the efficiency of the road and transit system;
 - provide attractive and functional landscaping and streetscape;
 - minimize the impact of construction on the neighborhood and surrounding open space areas;
 - maximize public access and usability of open space;

Doug Clyde Page 6 September 2, 1997

- ensure that the buildings are attractive and compatible with existing structures and architectural styles in Park City;
- provide adequate facade variation.
- 10. Because of significant existing vegetation on the site, limits of disturbance and construction staging will be required to manage construction activity.
- 11. The adjacent neighborhood is unique in that it includes a variety of land uses and occupancies. In order for the impact of construction on the adjacent neighborhoods to be minimized, a construction mitigation plan is required.
- 12. The Park City Mountain Resort Master Plan will result in a significant demand for new employees as detailed in employee generation studies conducted by both the applicant and the City. The City Council has stated that employee generation should be addressed in resort expansion. The Park City Mountain Resort has agreed to provide seasonal housing for 80 employees, which constitutes 10% of the employees generated. In addition, the Park City Mountain Resort provides an employee shuttle from Salt Lake City, Provo and Heber and will commit to continue this service.
- 13. Parking requirements for the residential developments will be dependent on the final unit configuration and will conform to the current requirements for parking as set out in Chapter 10 of the Land Management Code. Those requirements are based on unit type, zone and project size. The classification that applies to this project is RC³ (projects having more than 24 development credits) and is as follows:

Unit Type	Unit Square Footage (not to exceed)	Parking Spaces Required
Hotel Room/Suite	650	0.66
Studio Apt.	1,000	0.66
One Bedroom Apt.	1,000	0.66
Two or more Bedroom Apt.	1,500	1
Apt. greater then 1,500 sq. ft.	2,000	1.5
Apt. greater then 2,000 sq. ft.	2,500	2
Apt. in excess of 2,500 sq. ft.	none	2

Total skier parking for the ski area is 1700 stalls, of which 1200 exist in the surface parking lots. These 1200 surface stalls will be replaced by 1800 underground stalls for the exclusive use of the Resort. It is anticipated that all Resort parking will be paid parking.

Doug Clyde Page 7 September 2, 1997

Parking for the Resort's Accessory Uses and or Support Commercial to the Resort are included in the 600 additional parking stalls that will be built for the Resort uses. Parking for employees of the new Accessory Uses to the ski area are provided for at the rate of 1 space per 400 sq. ft.. Parking for the replacement of the Commercial in the Gondola building is included in the existing parking for the Resort.

Resort employees are generally parked off site and will be transported by: local busing to proposed employee housing, the continuation of the Employee parking program on the Munchkin Lane site, and the Resort's Employee busing program which services Provo, SLC and the Heber areas.

Commercial uses other than Accessory or Support may require additional parking if these uses generate parking demand that conflicts with the peak Resort parking demand. These parking requirements will be determined when the use of the space is declared at the CUP level.

- 14. It has been represented by Powdr Corporation that this plan is the complete plan for new development on the undeveloped lands currently owned by Powdr Corp or its subsidiaries, at the base of the resort.
- 15. The conceptual elements of the basic fire protection and life safety plan for the Master Plan have been set out in correspondence from Rolf Jensen and Associates to Ron Ivie dated December 11, 1996. Several overall life safety requirements will apply project wide with specific fire protection requirements for Building A. Building A requires fire protection systems in excess of the minimums as set forth in the Uniform Fire Code in order to gain approval. The balance of the project will be of standard design based upon the rating of the building. Specific plans for the implementation of the fire protection elements will be a condition precedent to any Conditional Use Approval.

Doug Clyde Page 8 September 2, 1997

Findings for Recommending the Requested Height Variation to the City Council:

The applicant has requested a height variation as provided for in the Section 10.9 of the Land Management Code. The heights proposed are described and regulated by the Concept Master Plan Book dated June 10, 1997 and are summarized on pages 10, 10B, 11 and 11B, copies of which are attached to this approval.

In many cases, the Planning Commission required significant changes to the project, or extraordinary conditions based upon review of the criteria outlined in Section 10.9(f) of the Land Management Code.

The Planning Commission has considered the site specific review standards outlined in Section 10.9(f) <u>Variations in Height Requirements</u> and recommends a variation in height based upon the following findings:

- The Planning Commission carefully considered the extent of the RC zone, and has determined that clustering the density around a new skier plaza at the base of the ski runs is preferable to spreading the density up the hill to the extent of the RC zone. The clustering preserves open space, allows for the separation of buildings, and provides opportunities for view corridors.
- The applicant provided extensive visual analysis, including shadow studies, to determine the effect of the proposed height variation on views and solar access. Building layout and massing were modified based upon those studies. The majority of the mass and height of the proposed buildings was placed toward the hill, away from existing residential uses.
- Specific building volumetrics were developed by the applicant to define where building masses should and should not occur. The volumetrics provide massing transitions to the adjacent existing buildings and streets, and maintain important view corridors.
- The clustering of density increases the potential effectiveness of public transportation. The Planning Commission reviewed circulation and transit plans. The project, when built, will result in significant traffic circulation and transit improvements.
- The Planning Commission has determined that the location of the proposed buildings is appropriate for density, bed base and commercial uses contained in the Master Plan.
- A major element of the Planning Commission review included landscaping, streetscape and building design details, which reduce the apparent mass of the structures and to provide some pedestrian scale at sidewalks and plaza areas.
- Because of the clustering of density, over 70% of the site will remain in open space. The Planning Commission requires that the open space be preserved in perpetuity, through easement restrictions, zoning or other means deemed to be appropriate by the applicant and City.

Doug Clyde Page 9 September 2, 1997

- The increase in height requested does not result in increased density beyond that which is allowed by the RC zoning.
- The requested height variations are deemed appropriate by the Planning Commission as they provide an opportunity to enhance the appearance of the buildings through significant vertical and horizontal articulation. The articulation is defined in the building volumetrics, which are an integral component of the plan, and are incorporated by reference to this approval.

Conclusions of Law:

- 1. The proposed Large Scale Master Plan, as conditioned, is consistent with the criteria set forth in Chapter 10 of the Land Management Code.
- 2. The proposed plan is consistent with the 1985 Comprehensive Plan for Park City and with Phase 1 of the 1996 Park City General Plan.
- 3. The Planning Commission has considered the criteria for a height variation as specified in Section 10.9(f) and recommends the variation be approved by the City Council.
- 4. The uses proposed in the Large Scale Master Plan are consistent with the intent of the RC zone. The uses are intended to be nightly rental, operating as hotels, timeshare, or condos available for nightly rental.
- 5. The nature of the commercial uses has been limited to support the purpose of this area as outlined in the Comprehensive Plan for Park City and the 1996 General Plan.

Conditions of Approval:

1. This approval includes and incorporates the "Concept Master Plan" dated June 10, 1997. The Concept Master Plan details volumetrics, horizontal and vertical articulation, maximum square footage of each building, streetscapes, and architectural and design guidelines, all of which are integral to this plan. This Large Scale Master Plan approval is conceptual in nature. Each parcel and building is subject to conditional use review by the Planning Commission. Site specific proposals must substantially conform to the approved Concept Master Plan. The square footages and unit equivalents are intended to be maximums which the Planning Commission may consider during site specific conditional use review. The maximum square footages and the volumetrics as described in the Concept Master Plan shall be the maximums permitted for each development parcel. The overall project shall not exceed the permitted density of 491.78 Unit Equivalents. If the Planning Commission approves less than the maximum square footages outlined in the Master Plan for any given parcel, that square footage will not be allowed to be transferred to another parcel.

Doug Clyde Page 10 September 2, 1997

- 2. The volumetrics outlined in the Concept Master Plan are intended to communicate to potential developers that building height and facade variation are critical components of this project. The volumetrics represent maximums that can be achieved on any given parcel. The vertical and horizontal articulations that are specified in the volumetrics are minimums that must be met. If the proposed building does not fill the volumetrics, the minimum roof and facade shifts set out in the Design Guidelines and Volumetrics must be present in the reduced structure.
- 3. Final site planning is required which shall include landscaping, streetscape details and finalization of the design guidelines for the buildings. Lighting standards shall be consistent with the standards in effect at the time of application for building permits. If the architectural design guidelines (such as materials, color and fenestration) for Park City become more restrictive in the future than those for this project, the more restrictive guidelines shall apply, but not to the extent that they negatively effect the structural engineering of the project. The final site planning shall orient delivery, service and trash access away from existing residential uses whenever possible. The bridges shown on the preliminary site plan are conceptual only and are not granted specific approval at this time. Planning Commission may be decide that alternative methods for providing the necessary pedestrian links are more desirable.
- 4. This Large Scale Master Plan approval is contingent upon City Council approval of the recommended height variation, as required in Section 10.9(f) of the Park City Land Management Code. If the height exception, and therefore the Master Planned Development, is approved by the City Council, the applicant must apply for the necessary change in the zoning map and resubdivision of the property. Planning Commission and City Council shall review and take action on these applications. The approval and construction of the Master Plan can only move forward if and when the height exception, zone modification, and resubdivision are approved by the City Council.
- 5. The City does not fully own the current Bus Drop Off Area at the Resort Center. As a part of the process for this approval, the City, the Resort Center and the Park City Mountain Resort discussed transit alternatives, which includes the City obtaining control of the Bus Drop Off Area. That area is being required to be improved as a part of this Large Scale Master Plan. The ownership and maintenance issues must be resolved prior to, or concurrent with any plat approval for this Large Scale Master Plan. If this cannot be achieved, the circulation and transit plan will be reevaluated.

Doug Clyde Page 11 September 2, 1997

- 6. The applicant has submitted a draft phasing plan. Prior to, or concurrent with the review of the first CUP, a detailed phasing plan for the entire Large Scale MPD is required. That plan shall include, but not be limited to, the following:
 - timing and phasing of development
 - phasing of parking to ensure adequate skier parking is available during each phase
 - schedule for construction and completion of public improvements including plazas, pedestrian walkways and trails, streets, transit improvements, utilities, landscaping, and lighting.
 - a plan to address the improvements to be completed by the 2002 Olympics
 - timing of construction of the employee units
- 7. As a part of the draft phasing plan, the applicant has proposed construction management practices. A more comprehensive construction mitigation plan is required and specific construction mitigation plans will be required as a part of each CUP. That plan shall address, at minimum, the following:
 - Days of the week and hours when construction is permissible
 - Routing of construction traffic so that adjacent residential streets are not affected
 - Material stockpiling and staging on site
 - Parking of construction vehicles
 - Maintenance of pedestrian ways and trails during construction
 - Recycling of construction waste, including the minimizing of off-site soil/material transport.

A financial security will be required to ensure compliance with the agreed to Construction Mitigation Plan, consistent with existing practices.

- 8. A Master Owners Association will be formed for this Large Scale MPD prior to or concurrent with any subdivision or condominium plat approval. The Association shall be responsible for maintenance of all landscaping, streetscape and plaza improvements, pedestrian pathways and trails and other public amenities that are a part of this Master Plan. The Master Association shall coordinate recycling, snow removal and maintenance with the existing associations in the resort center project.
- 9. The developer shall upgrade utilities as deemed reasonably necessary by the City Engineer. These upgrades shall be consistent with the application of these standards throughout the City.
- 10. Concurrent with the review of the CUP for each building, the applicant shall satisfy fire protection requirements as specified by the Chief Building Official and the Park City Fire

Doug Clyde Page 12 September 2, 1997

Service District. If building height or square footage is required to be decreased as a result of meeting the fire protection requirements, that square footage shall not be allowed to be transferred to another parcel.

- 11. The proposed employee housing will be required to meet the standards guidelines adopted by the City Council (such as rental limitations and sizes) at the time of site specific approval. The specific location, design and restrictions on the housing requires the appropriate review by the Planning Commission.
- 12. Prior to any construction commencing on this project, or Planning Commission action on any CUP related to this project, the Park City Mountain Resort, Property Owner(s), City and County shall enter into an annexation or interlocal agreement which gives the City review authority over improvements to the Park City Mountain Resort. If an interlocal agreement is executed, the City's review will specifically include:
 - The impact of any improvement on parking, traffic and transportation systems.
 - Environmental or visual impact on Park City consistent with the provisions outlined in the Sensitive Lands Ordinance.
 - Water quality and erosion prevention and revegetation.
 - Lighting
- 13. Prior to any construction commencing on this project, or Planning Commission final action on any CUP related to this project, the traffic mitigation plan submitted by the applicant shall be finalized, to the satisfaction of the City Engineer, Public Works Director and Police Chief, which shall address, but not be limited to:
 - Traffic control during peak hours of peak ski season.
 - Timing and financial responsibility for required improvements to Empire and Lowell Avenues and for the intersections of Deer Valley Drive and Park Ave and Deer Valley Drive and Bonanza.

In general, Lowell Avenue waterline work shall be constructed between October and May to minimize conflicts with irrigation demands, but not done at times that would impede skier traffic flow through the area.

- 14. Prior to any construction commencing on this project, or Planning Commission final action on any CUP related to this project, a parking mitigation plan shall be submitted by the applicant, to the satisfaction of the City Engineer. This plan shall include:
 - A plan to prohibit and enforce no parking zones in adjacent neighborhoods and an agreement as to the financial responsibility for that enforcement. The applicant is

Doug Clyde Page 13 September 2, 1997

expected to be responsible for parking enforcement costs beyond that which would normally be provided by Park City.

- A parking operations plan, including specifics of the pay for parking system.
- A parking structure design, circulation plan to ensure safe, convenient circulation for vehicles and pedestrians.
- Contingency plans for satellite large vehicle and overflow parking.
- A condition that if adequate parking is not provided to handle peak day parking requirements, the City shall have the authority to require the Resort to limit ticket sales until the parking mitigation plan is revised to address the issues. The intent is that any off-site parking solution include a coordinated and cooperative effort with the City, other ski areas, the Park City School District, Summit County, and the Park City Chamber/Bureau to provide creative solutions for peak day and special event parking.

This plan shall be reviewed and modified, if necessary, as a part of the CUP for each phase to evaluate transit alternatives and demonstrated parking needs.

- 15. The Staff, applicant and property owners shall prepare documentation (preferably deed restrictions) necessary to ensure that development does not occur in the future in the areas shown as open space in the Park City Mountain Resort Master Plan and that the area is maintained to a mutually acceptable standard.
- 16. The City and the applicant will concurrently enter into a development agreement which includes language necessary to implement the Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Conditions of Approval of this Large Scale MPD.

Sincerely,

Nova L Seltenid

Nora Seltenrich, AICP Special Projects Manager

NS/rr

00513070 BK01166 PG00566

BEFORE THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE PARK CITY MUNICIPAL CORPORATION

Park City Mountain Resort Height)	FINDINGS OF FACT AND
Variation)	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW GRANTING
-)	PCMR HEIGHT VARIATION

This matter, having come on for Council work session on July 17,1997 for public hearing on August 14, 1997, and for public input, Council deliberation, and action on August 21, 1997, and having reviewed the planning files and records herein, supplemented by a site visit on August 14, 1997 and new computer graphics of the proposed Concept Master Plan, the Council enters the following findings of fact, conclusions of law and conditions of approval:

FINDINGS OF FACT:

- 1. The Planning Commission carefully considered the proposed Master Planned Development, and properly determined that clustering density around a new skier plaza at the base of the ski runs is preferable to spreading the density up the hill to the extent of the applicant's land within the RC zone. The clustering preserves open space, allows for the separation of buildings, and provides opportunities for view corridors.
- 2. The applicant provided extensive visual analysis, including shadow studies, to determine the effect of the proposed height variation on views and solar access. Building layout and massing were modified throughout the process, based upon those studies to accommodate neighboring uses. The majority of the mass and height of the proposed buildings was placed toward the hill, away from existing residential uses.
- 3. Specific building volumetrics were developed by the applicant to define where building masses will and will not occur. The volumetrics provide massing transitions to the adjacent existing buildings and streets, large setbacks and pedestrian amenities, and important view corridors. Beneficial modifications to the plan have been incorporated into the April 23, 1997 Concept Master Plan including revisions through August 21, 1997.
- Clustered density increases the potential effectiveness of public transportation. The Planning Commission reviewed circulation and transit plans. The project, when built, will result in significant traffic circulation and transit improvements.
- 5. The locations and heights of the proposed buildings are appropriate for the density, bed base and commercial uses contained in the Master Plan.

- 6. Landscaping, streetscape and building design details, which reduce the apparent mass of the structures and provide pedestrian scale at sidewalks and plazas, help minimize the apparent height of the structures.
- 7. Clustering the density in relatively tall structures ensures that over 70% of the site will remain in open space. That open space will be preserved in perpetuity, through easement restrictions, zoning or other means deemed to be appropriate by the applicant and the City.
- 8. The increase in height requested does not result in increased density beyond that which is allowed by the RC zoning.
- 9. The requested height variations provide an opportunity to enhance the appearance of the buildings through significant vertical and horizontal articulation.

CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL:

The heights, massing, stepping, volumetrics, articulation and design of the proposed structures, as defined by the April 23, 1997 Concept Master Plan, and revised by the August 21, 1997 Concept Master Plan (which shall be further revised to delete signage at the intersection of Three Kings and Lowell Avenue, the loading dock on Three Kings Drive, and pedestrian bridges over Lowell Avenue), are integral components of the plan, are incorporated by reference to, and a condition of, this approval.

CONCLUSION OF LAW:

The applicant has met its burden of proof pursuant to LMC §10.9(f).

ORDER

Consistent with the Planning Commission's June 25, 1997 conditional approval of the Park City Mountain Resort Large Scale Master Plan, modified by the August 21, 1997 revisions to the Concept Master Plan (with itemized deletions), the Council hereby grants the requested height variations.

Dated this 21st day of August, 1997

rk City Municipal Corporation dlev A. Olch.

00513070 BK01166 PG00568

Attest:

Jan Scott, City Recorder

Approved as to form:

odi Hoffman, City Attorney



00513070 Bk01166 P600569