Snow Park Village

Transportation Analysis – Shared Mobility Lane Alternative

Prepared for: Deer Valley

April 2023

UT20-2245

FEHR PEERS

Table of Contents

1. Executive Summary	7
1.1 Study Results	8
1.2 LOS Summary	9
1.3 Proposed Mitigations	11
1.4 Conclusion / Recommendations	12
2. Introduction	14
2.1 Scope	15
2.2 Analysis Methodology	18
3. Existing (2020) Background Conditions	20
3.1 Roadway System	20
3.2 Traffic Volumes	21
3.3 Level of Service Analysis	24
3.4 Mitigation Measures	25
3.5 Origin-Destination Data	25
3.6 Vehicle Occupancy Data	26
4. Project Conditions	30
4.1 Project Description	30
4.1.1 Site Access and Circulation	30
4.2 Trip Generation	33
4.3 Trip Distribution and Assignment	38
5. Existing 2020 plus Project Conditions	40
5.1 Traffic Volumes	40
5.2 Level of Service Analysis	42
5.3 Mitigation Measures	43
6. Opening Year (2024) Background Conditions	47
6.1 Traffic Volumes	47
6.2 Level of Service Analysis	47
6.3 Mitigation Measures	49
7. Opening Year (2024) Plus Project Conditions	51
7.1 Traffic Volumes	51
7.2 Level of Service Analysis	51
7.3 Mitigation Measures	53
8. Future 2040 Background Conditions	57
8.1 Traffic Volumes	57

8.2 Level of Service Analysis	57
8.3 Mitigation Measures	59
9. Future 2040 plus Project Conditions	61
9.1 Purpose	61
9.2 Traffic Volumes	61
9.3 Level of Service Analysis	61
9.4 Mitigation Measures	63
10. Roadway Analysis	67
10.1 Analysis Results	67
11. Site Circulation Analysis	69
11.1.1 Conditions and Assumptions	69
11.1.2 Analysis Results	71
12. Parking Analysis	82
12.1 Analysis Method	82
12.2 Parking Management	83
13. Transit Evaluation	84
13.1.1 Existing Transit Service	84
13.2 Proposed Transit Improvements	84
14. Transportation Demand Management	86
14.1 TDM Monitoring	87
14.2 Regional Considerations	87
15. Conclusion/Recommendations	88
Appendix	89

List of Figures

Figure 1: Project Location	16
Figure 2: Study Intersections	17
Figure 3: Existing 2020 Background Saturday AM & PM Peak Hour Traffic Conditions	23
Figure 4: Deer Valley Origin-Destination AM Incoming – PM Outgoing Trends	29
Figure 5: Conceptual Site Plan	32
Figure 6: Saturday AM & PM Peak Hour Trip Generation and Distribution	39
Figure 7: Existing 2020 plus Project Saturday AM & PM Peak Hour Traffic Conditions	41
Figure 8: Proposed Reconfiguration of Deer Valley Drive / Deer Valley Drive East / Deer Valley Drive West Intersection	45
Figure 9: Opening Year 2024 Background Saturday AM & PM Peak Hour Traffic Conditions	50
Figure 10: Opening Year 2024 plus Project Saturday AM & PM Peak Hour Traffic Conditions	56
Figure 11: Future 2040 Background Saturday AM & PM Peak Hour Traffic Conditions	60
Figure 12: Future 2040 plus Project Saturday AM & PM Peak Hour Traffic Conditions	66
Figure 13: 2040 Site Circulation Analysis Intersection LOS Results	75
Figure 14: 2040 Site Circulation Analysis Intersection Queue Results	81

List of Tables

Table 1: Snow Park Village Saturday AM and PM Peak Hour Level of Service Summary	10
Table 2: Proposed Mitigations for Snow Park Village-Generated Traffic Impacts	11
Table 3: Snow Park Traffic	14
Table 4: Level of Service Descriptions	19
Table 5: Existing 2020 Background Conditions Saturday AM & PM Peak Hour Level of Service	24
Table 6: Snow Park Village Vehicle Occupancy Summary	28
Table 7: Snow Park Village Trip Generation	37
Table 8: Existing 2020 plus Project Conditions Saturday AM & PM Peak Hour Level of Service	42
Table 9: Existing 2020 plus Project Mitigated Conditions Saturday AM & PM Peak Hour Level of Service	46
Table 10: Opening Year 2024 Background Conditions Saturday AM & PM Peak Hour Level of Service	48
Table 11: Opening Year 2024 plus Project Conditions Saturday AM & PM Peak Hour Level of Service	52
Table 12: Opening Year 2024 plus Project Mitigated Conditions Saturday AM & PM Peak Hour Level of Serv	
Table 13: Future 2040 Background Conditions Saturday AM & PM Peak Hour Level of Service	58
Table 14: Future 2040 plus Project Conditions Saturday AM & PM Peak Hour Level of Service	62
Table 15: Future 2040 plus Project Mitigated Conditions Saturday AM & PM Peak Hour Level of Service	65
Table 16: Roadway Level of Service Peak Hour Two-Way Traffic Thresholds	67
Table 17: Snow Park Village Roadway LOS Analysis Summary	68
Table 18: Future 2040 Plus Project Conditions Saturday AM & PM Peak Hour Level of Service Site Circulati Results	
Table 19: Future 2040 Plus Project Conditions Saturday AM & PM Peak Hour Queues Site Circulation Analy	•
Table 20: Snow Park Village Parking Analysis Summary	83
Table 21: Deer Valley TDM Measures	86

This page intentionally left blank.



1. Executive Summary

This Traffic Impact Study includes the results of a comprehensive traffic operations analysis for the Snow Park Village project at Deer Valley Resort in Park City, Utah. Snow Park Village is a mixed-use development that will serve as an updated base area village for Deer Valley, and includes hotel, residential, commercial, and event center uses. This report includes the full buildout of the Snow Park base that includes the parking and development both north and south of Doe Pass Road.

The scope of this study analyzes the traffic operations and impacts under the following scenarios:

- Existing (2020) Conditions
- Existing (2020) Plus Project Conditions
- Opening Year (2024) Background Conditions
- Opening Year (2024) Plus Project Conditions
- Future (2040) Background Conditions
- Future (2040) Plus Project Conditions

Existing conditions were based on the traffic counts, which were collected originally in 2020. As this process has continued, Park City Staff have accepted that 2020 counts continue to serve as the foundation for this report with adjustments made for assumed marginal increases in traffic on an annual basis. Traffic operations for these scenarios were analyzed at nine study intersections:

- 1. Doe Pass Road / Deer Valley Drive East
- 2. Doe Pass Road / Deer Valley Drive West
- 3. Deer Valley Drive East / Queen Esther Drive
- 4. Deer Valley Drive East / Solamere Drive
- 5. Deer Valley Drive / Deer Valley Drive East / Deer Valley Drive West
- 6. Deer Valley Drive / Marsac Avenue
- 7. Deer Valley Drive / Bonanza Drive
- 8. Deer Valley Drive / Park Avenue / Empire Avenue
- 9. Bonanza Drive / Monitor Drive / SR-248

This circulation plan includes a seasonal one-way Shared Mobility Lane (SML) inbound from the "Y" intersection along Deer Valley Drive West, turn onto Doe Pass Road, and directly access the proposed mobility hub. Outbound transit traffic will have the SML that has transit priority at the mobility hub, then parallels general purpose traffic around the loop to the "Y" intersection, at which point transit traffic would merge with general traffic, generally operating in a counterclockwise direction. After ski season during the





summer months, the SML will be open to bicycle traffic. Management, maintenance, and enforcement will be a City responsibility.

Study intersections 5 and 8 currently operate at Levels of Service (LOS) that do not meet Part City standards, which is LOS D. However, these intersections were analyzed as part of this study to identify Deer Valley's contributions to traffic at key intersections within Park City in support of Park City Municipal Corporation's (PCMC) goals of reducing peak-hour traffic volumes by 20% citywide.

The Plus Project traffic operations analyses include trips generated by the Snow Park Village project. The parking analysis accounts for both physical (structured) and behavioral impacts of the identified resort uses, as well as parking pricing. To present conservative, and thereby overestimated, results in this report, reductions in trip generation and parking demand stemming from proposed enhancements to local transit service, operated by Park City Transit and/or High Valley Transit, or Deer Valley's existing Transportation Demand Management (TDM) program are not included.

1.1 Study Results

In Plus project Conditions, seven of nine study intersections, with recommended mitigations in place, meet the Park City LOS standards. Under existing conditions, the intersection of Deer Valley Drive / Park Avenue / Empire Avenue operates at a LOS of E/F. Given the City's longstanding position on additional mitigations at this intersection, none are recommended. Deer Valley Drive in this area is also SR-224, and therefore managed by the Utah Department of Transportation (UDOT). This includes intersection operations. The deficiencies at the Deer Valley Drive / Bonanza Drive intersection are caused by the queue spillbacks from the upstream intersection at Deer Valley Drive / Park Avenue / Empire Avenue. Therefore, no mitigations are recommended.

Furthermore, the most impacted intersection under current conditions, the Deer Valley Drive / Deer Valley Drive East / Deer Valley Drive West intersection, which operates today at a LOS below Park City standards, achieves a LOS of D or better under 2040 Plus Project conditions by reconfiguring the intersection and adding signalized traffic control, establishing a new access pattern for visitors while providing safety for pedestrians and bicyclists. The Solamere Drive / Deer Valley Drive East and Queen Esther Drive / Deer Valley Drive East intersections operate at a LOS B with full build-out in 2040 with some lane configuration mitigations.

Parking provided as part of the Snow Park Village Proposal will be provided at full amount as required by code. Reduced parking demand however, will be achieved through the implementation of a paid parking system, and continued operation and refinement of Deer Valley's Transportation Demand Management



program by supporting non-single-occupancy vehicle trips while also actively discouraging driving alone, and through time-of-day sharing of parking for different and complementary uses.

In alignment with Park City's *Transit First* strategy, construction of Snow Park Village will prioritize active transportation and transit as modes for travel to, from, and within the village. To that end, Deer Valley will construct an on-site mobility hub with space for six buses which will be connected to the broader Park City and High Valley Transit networks. One new traffic signal is recommended, at the intersection of Doe Pass Road / Deer Valley Drive East as a mitigation which will include transit signal preemption capabilities to expedite transit service into and out of proposed the mobility hub. Additionally, off-street multi-use paths will be constructed to connect Snow Park to Park City's existing active transportation network.

1.2 LOS Summary

Table 1 reports LOS at the study intersections. For signalized intersections and roundabouts, average vehicular delay and LOS are reported. For unsignalized intersections, the worst movement delay and LOS are reported. Detailed descriptions of the intersection operations can be found in the subsequent chapters. Due to the land use program proposed for Snow Park Village, the net total trips generated by the AM peak hour is 261 trips and the PM peak hour is 322 trips.



Table 1: Snow Park Village Saturday AM and PM Peak Hour Level of Service Summary

Intersection			Ex BG	Ex+P	Ex+P Mitigated²	2024 BG	2024+P	2024+P Mitigated ²	2040 BG	2040+P	2040+P Mitigated ²
ID	Location	Period	LOS & Sec/Veh ¹	LOS & Sec/Veh ¹	LOS & Sec/Veh ¹	LOS & Sec/Veh ¹					
1	Doe Pass Rd / Deer Valley Dr	AM	-	6 / A	4 / A	-	7 / A	5 / A	-	6/A	5 / A
1	East	PM	-	7 / A	7 / A	-	7 / A	7 / A	-	65 / E	8 / A
2	Doe Pass Rd / Deer Valley Dr	AM	-	8 / A	12 / B	-	15 / B	10 / B	-	21 / C	13 / B
2	West	PM	-	16 / C	19 / C	-	24 / C	18 / C	-	32 / D	20 / C
3	Queen Esther Dr / Deer Valley	AM	6 / A	8 / A	5 / A	6/A	8 / A	5 / A	7 / A	7/A	6/A
3	Dr East	PM	9/A	11 / B	11 / B	8 / A	20 / C	10 / B	9/A	>300 / F	11 / B
	Deer Valley Dr East /	AM	7/A	8 / A	6/A	6/A	8 / A	6 / A	8 / A	10 / B	7/A
4	Solamere Dr	PM	11 / B	13 / B	9 / A	11 / B	78 / F	11 / B	15 / C	>300 / F	12 / B
_	Deer Valley Dr / Deer Valley	AM	15 / C	26 / D	9/A	14 / B	20 / C	9 / A	17 / C	29 / D	11 / B
5	Dr East / Deer Valley Dr West	PM	39 / E	128 / F	21 / C	41 / E	126 / F	22 / C	112 /F	201 / F	44 / D
_	Deer Valley Drive / Marsac	AM	11 / B	15 / B	15 / B	11 / B	16 / C	16 / C	16 / C	26 / D	26 / D
6	Avenue	PM	11 / B	15 / B	15 / B	11 / B	16 / C	16 / C	11 / B	20 / C	20 / C
_	D	AM	11 / B	11 / B	12 / B	11 / B	12 / B	12 / B	18 / B	21 / C	14 / B
7	Deer Valley Dr / Bonanza Dr	PM	21 / C	29 / C	38 / D	20 / C	67 / E	76 / E	59 / E	99 / F	117 / F
_	Deer Valley Dr / Park Ave /	AM	77 / E	75 / E	76 / E	82 / F	80 / F	78 / E	83 / F	91 / F	84 / F
8	Empire Ave	PM	84 / F	83 / F	84 / F	85 / F	88 / F	88 / F	90 / F	90 / F	89 / F
_	Bonanza Dr / Monitor Dr /	AM	12 / B	13 / B	13 / B	13 / B	14 / B	14 / B	16 / B	16 / B	15 / B
9	SR-248	PM	20 / C	20 / C	20 / C	20 / C	22 / C	22 / C	28 / C	32 / C	31 / C

Notes:

Bold text indicates intersections operating below Park City's acceptable LOS threshold.

- 1. Intersection average LOS and delay for signalized intersections and roundabouts, worst movement LOS and delay for unsignalized intersections.
- 2. Deer Valley Drive East / Deer Valley Drive West intersection analyzed as a reconfigured signalized intersection, and turn lanes/receiving lanes added to Solamere Drive and Queen Esther Drive intersections as a mitigation.
- 3. Solamere Drive performs at LOS D as a SSSC. Further analysis shows this intersection operates at LOS A as a signalized intersection, when warranted. Source: Fehr & Peers.





1.3 Proposed Mitigations

The traffic operations analyses conducted as part of the report indicate that five study intersections will operate at unacceptable LOS in comparison with Park City's standards under 2040 plus project conditions without mitigations. Community input gathered through stakeholder engagement resulted in the community-supported mitigations for identified deficiencies stemming from Snow Park Village-generated traffic shown in **Table 2**.

Table 2: Proposed Mitigations for Snow Park Village-Generated Traffic Impacts

ID	Location	Control	Deficiency ¹	Proposed Mitigations
1	Doe Pass Rd / Deer Valley Dr East	SSSC ²	N/A	Signal with transit preemption
2	Doe Pass Rd / Deer Valley Dr West	SSSC	N/A	N/A
3	Queen Esther Dr / Deer Valley Dr East	SSSC	LOS F	Southbound-to-eastbound left turn-pocket
4	Deer Valley Dr East / Solamere Dr	SSSC	LOS F	Eastbound-to-northbound left turn-pocket
5	Deer Valley Dr / Deer Valley Dr East / Deer Valley Dr West	SSSC	LOS F	Signal
6	Deer Valley Drive / Marsac Avenue	Roundabout	N/A	N/A
7	Deer Valley Dr / Bonanza Dr	Signal	LOS F	N/A
8	Deer Valley Dr / Park Ave / Empire Ave	Signal	LOS F	N/A
9	Bonanza Dr / Monitor Dr / SR- 248	Signal	N/A	N/A

Notes:

1. LOS for 2040 plus project without mitigations.

2. SSSC = Side Street Stop Control

Source: Fehr & Peers.



1.4 Conclusion / Recommendations

With proposed mitigations in place, all study intersections at which mitigations are feasible operate at acceptable levels of service under all Plus Project analysis scenarios. Through dedicated transit infrastructure, improved active transportation connections between the Project and Park City's existing active transportation network, a fully reworked parking system, extensive wayfinding and monitoring, and management of ongoing TDM offerings in addition to new measures, the Snow Park Village proposal aligns with the City's *Transit First* policy by encouraging travel by means other than driving alone.

Implementing a new traffic signal with transit preemption at the intersection of Doe Pass Road / Deer Valley Drive East will improve traffic operations and support transit. A new traffic signal at the reconfigured Y intersection of Deer Valley Drive / Deer Valley Drive East / Deer Valley Drive west facilitates safer and more efficient movement for all modes. If, and when signal warrants at study unsignalized intersections in this report are met (Solamere), as defined by the Federal Highway Administration's *Manual on Uniform Traffic Control* Devices, the implementation of new traffic signals should be considered for improved traffic circulation for all modes. Deer Valley is committed to a being a partner of the subsequent studies, and if warranted, implementation.

Implementing an off-street, multi-use path around the Deer Valley Drive loop will improve pedestrian and cyclist connectivity adjacent to the project site. Ongoing monitoring of TDM program effectiveness will maintain City-Deer Valley cooperation in pursuit of shared goals.

The traffic volumes used for this overall analysis are conservative and likely represent worst case on the worst day. For example, the assumed background growth rate is from a county-wide travel model that assumes some degree of ambient growth in and around Deer Valley beyond the proposed Snow Park project. Given that the Deer Valley loop area is essentially one big cul-de-sac and generally built out, this background growth is quite conservative.

Other measures that support the conservative nature of the analysis is the Mayflower development interconnecting with Deer Valley. An agreement is under development that will provide parking, lift access and full base amenities to skiers going to Deer Valley at Mayflower base, along US-40. This potential agreement will also provide for employee parking with a shuttle program between Mayflower and Snow Park. The analysis does not account for any trip reductions to Snow Park, which will inevitably occur to due significant travel time reductions from both the Wasatch Front and the Heber Valley.

Last, Deer Valley is committed to supporting other regional traffic mitigation efforts. This includes considerations such as contributing to transit, and robust travel demand reduction program, and paid parking at Snow Park once the project is built. The proposed transit amenities include the mobility hub, a



dedicated Shared Mobility Lane, state-of-the-art wayfinding, and a monitoring program all combine to support the City's transportation goals.



2. Introduction

This study documents the potential transportation-related impacts on local traffic from the proposed Snow Park Village project. The project location is shown in **Figure 1**.

This report is largely unchanged from what was presented in the most recent submittal (November 2022), save for some minor but impactful updates:

- 1. Analyzed traffic conditions with no reduction in parking supply, providing full parking required by the Park City Land Management Code (LMC). The trip generation was increased from the November 2022 submittal to reflect added peak hour traffic.
- 2. Traffic distribution assumptions at the Deer Valley Drive East / Deer Valley Drive West intersection were updated to follow traffic patterns similar to current conditions for analysis.
- 3. Assumptions in the VISSIM simulation model were modified to account for more accurate pick-up/drop-off dwell times, and calibrated vehicle travel times.

Table 3 below shows the in/out traffic for existing and plus project with the proposed development.

Table 3: Snow Park Traffic

	Daily			AM Peak Hour			PM Peak Hour		
	In Out Total		ln	Out	Total	ln	Out	Total	
Existing Traffic	5,221	5,329	10,550	770	249	1,019	333	903	1,236
New Trips	1,808	1,808	3,616	176	85	261	115	207	322
Total Trips	7,029	7,137	14,166	946	334	1,280	448	1,110	1,558

The scope of this study analyzes the traffic operations and impacts under the following scenarios:

- Existing (2020) Conditions
- Existing (2020) Plus Project Conditions
- Opening Year (2024) Background Conditions
- Opening Year (2024) Plus Project Conditions
- Future (2040) Background Conditions
- Future (2040) Plus Project Conditions

Traffic operations at key intersections, described below in the Scope section, were analyzed under the six scenarios listed above during Saturday AM and PM peak-hour travel periods. Given the nature of ski areas operating as recreational destinations, Saturdays consistently experience the highest traffic volumes, and





focusing on Saturdays for traffic analyses in this report present the most conservative results. The Plus Project analyses include trips generated by the proposed project.

The project team knows that it is important to work with the community to help them better understand the complexity of building out the remaining entitled density at Snow Park and its relation to traffic, and ensuring that the Deer Valley community can contribute to the planning process. Throughout the project's planning process, and with renewed emphasis since the beginning of 2022, Deer Valley has engaged with most of the lower Deer Valley neighborhoods and that communication continues today. Early outreach was done with the Trails End neighborhood in relation to the right of way vacation to gain their support. After the community voiced their opinion in March 2022, the project team opted to hold individual meetings with various homeowner's associations (HOAs) to address concerns and gather feedback. The community's main concerns were the then-proposed bus-only lanes, removal of on-street bike paths, the proposed routing of most traffic on Deer Valley Drive East, construction of new traffic signals, and pedestrian circulation. Coordination meetings with the community continued with nearly one dozen meetings in summer and fall 2022, with more scheduled. This revised traffic circulation plan as submitted is based on the community's input and support, augmented by City staff requests.

2.1 Scope


This study analyzes the traffic impacts of the project in conjunction with nearby intersections. Impacts are specifically addressed at the following study intersections:

- 1. Doe Pass Road / Deer Valley Drive East (side-street stop-controlled)
- 2. Doe Pass Road / Deer Valley Drive West (side-street stop-controlled)
- 3. Deer Valley Drive East / Queen Esther Drive (side-street stop-controlled)
- 4. Deer Valley Drive East / Solamere Drive (side-street stop-controlled)
- 5. Deer Valley Drive / Deer Valley Drive East / Deer Valley Drive West (side-street stop-controlled)
- 6. Deer Valley Drive / Marsac Avenue (roundabout)
- 7. Deer Valley Drive / Bonanza Drive (signalized)
- 8. Deer Valley Drive / Park Avenue / Empire Avenue (signalized)
- 9. Bonanza Drive / Monitor Drive / SR-248 (signalized)

For the purposes of consistency, this report refers to two key roadways as Deer Valley Drive East (sometimes called Deer Valley Drive North) and Deer Valley Drive West (sometimes called Deer Valley Drive South). Given that Doe Pass Road carries minimal traffic in its existing configuration, study intersections 1 and 2 are only analyzed under Plus Project scenarios.

Study intersections are shown in **Figure 2**.







2.2 Analysis Methodology

"Level of service" (LOS) is a term that describes the operating performance of an intersection or roadway. LOS is measured quantitatively and reported on a scale from A to F, with A representing the best performance and F the worst. **Table 4** provides a brief description of each LOS letter designation and an accompanying average delay per vehicle for both signalized and unsignalized intersections. Traffic operations were modeled in SimTraffic, a microsimulation traffic analysis software. SimTraffic results were evaluated under the Highway Capacity Manual 6th Edition (HCM 2016) methodology in this study to remain consistent with "state of the practice" professional standards, and with earlier iterations of this report. Since this study began, a new edition of the Highway Capacity Manual has been published, though application to analyses conducted as part of this study would not change results. For study intersection 4, Deer Valley Drive / Marsac Avenue, the SIDRA analysis software was used as it is accepted as state-of-the-practice for roundabout operations analysis. For signalized intersections and roundabouts, the LOS is provided for the overall intersection (weighted average of all approach delays). Park City Municipal Corporation has an established threshold of acceptable traffic operations as LOS of D for all intersections under its control.



Table 4: Level of Service Descriptions

LOS	Docquintion	Signalized Intersections	Unsignalized Intersections	Roundabouts
LUS	Description	Avg. Delay (sec/veh) ¹	Avg. Delay (sec/veh)²	Avg. Delay (sec/veh)³
Α	Free Flow / Insignificant Delay Extremely favorable progression. Individual users are virtually unaffected by others in the traffic stream.	< 10.0	< 10.0	< 10.0
В	Stable Operations / Minimum Delays Good progression. The presence of other users in the traffic stream becomes noticeable.	> 10.0 to 20.0	> 10.0 to 15.0	> 10.0 to 15.0
С	Stable Operations / Acceptable Delays Fair progression. The operation of individual users is affected by interactions with others in the traffic stream	> 20.0 to 35.0	> 15.0 to 25.0	> 15.0 to 25.0
D	Approaching Unstable Flows / Tolerable Delays Marginal progression. Operating conditions are noticeably more constrained.	> 35.0 to 55.0	> 25.0 to 35.0	> 25.0 to 35.0
E	Unstable Operations / Significant Delays Can Occur Poor progression. Operating conditions are at or near capacity.	> 55.0 to 80.0	> 35.0 to 50.0	> 35.0 to 50.0
F	Forced, Unpredictable Flows / Excessive Delays Unacceptable progression with forced or breakdown of operating conditions.	> 80.0	> 50.0	> 50.0

^{1.} Overall intersection LOS and average delay (seconds/vehicle) for all approaches.

Source: Fehr & Peers descriptions, based on *Highway Capacity Manual*, 6th Edition.

^{2.} Worst approach LOS and delay (seconds/vehicle) only.

^{3.} Overall intersection LOS and average delay (seconds/vehicle) for all approaches.



3. Existing (2020) Background Conditions

The Existing (2020) Background Conditions analysis examines the study intersections and roadways during the AM and PM peak-hours existing traffic and geometric conditions. The existing conditions analyses were performed using traffic data collected in 2020. Subsequent rounds of analysis have used adjusted counts to assume marginal increases in traffic, with growth factors taken from a regional travel model. Through this analysis, existing traffic operational deficiencies can be identified, and potential mitigation measures recommended.

3.1 Roadway System

The primary roadways that will provide access to the project, and their existing configurations, are described below.

- **Deer Valley Drive (SR-224)** is a state-owned and managed facility and is classified as a principal arterial road and has a posted speed limit of 35 mph from Park Avenue to about halfway between Bonanza Drive and Marsac Avenue, and 40 mph to the Marsac Avenue roundabout. SR-224 has a five-lane cross section with two travel lanes in each direction with a two-way left-turn lane north of the Marsac Avenue roundabout.
- Marsac Avenue (SR-224) is also a state-owned facility and is classified as a principal arterial road and has a posted speed limit of 25 mph. Marsac Avenue has a two-lane cross section with one travel lane in each direction near the project area.
- **Deer Valley Drive West** is classified as a major collector road and has a posted speed limit of 25 mph. Deer Valley Drive West has a two-lane cross section with one travel lane in each direction near the project area.
- **Deer Valley Drive East** this loop section of Deer Valley Drive is classified as a collector road and has a posted speed limit of 25 mph. Deer Valley Drive East has a two-lane cross section with one travel lane in each direction near the project area.
- Queen Esther Drive is classified as a collector road and has a posted speed limit of 25 mph.
 Queen Esther Drive has a two-lane cross section with one unstriped travel lane in each direction near the project area.
- **Solamere Drive** is classified as a collector road and has a posted speed limit of 25 mph. Solamere Drive has a two-lane cross section, with one travel lane in each direction and a landscaped median near the project area.





• **Doe Pass Road** is classified as a collector road and has a posted speed limit of 25 mph. Doe Pass Road has a two-lane cross section with one unstriped travel lane in each direction near the project area.

3.2 Traffic Volumes

Intersection turning movement counts were collected at the following study intersections to establish a baseline of existing conditions and operations for this study's original scope of work:

- Deer Valley Drive / Deer Valley Drive East / Deer Valley Drive West
- Deer Valley Drive / Marsac Avenue
- Deer Valley Drive / Bonanza Drive

Intersection turning movement counts were collected at the Deer Valley Drive / Deer Valley Drive East / Deer Valley Drive West intersection on Saturday, February 15, 2020 (President's Day weekend) and Saturday, February 29, 2020 for the Saturday AM peak period (7:45 AM – 9:45 AM) and the Saturday PM peak period (3:30 PM – 5:30 PM). Counts collected on February 29, 2020 showed higher peak-hour traffic volumes, and were therefore used as existing traffic volumes for the analysis presented in this study. While it is highly unusual to analyze operations during absolute peak conditions, due to the risk of over-building infrastructure and exaggerating typical issues, this was the request of the City.

Intersection turning movement counts were collected at the Deer Valley Drive / Marsac Avenue roundabout and the Bonanza Drive / Deer Valley Drive intersection on December 19, 2020 for the Saturday AM and PM peak periods.

The original, City-approved scope for this study included study intersections 5, 6, and 7. As a result of requests from the City and their reviewers for expanded traffic operations analysis beyond that included in the original study. As a result, counts were sourced from other, existing work and adjusted to present conservative results.

Roadway vehicle counts are provided by the Utah Department of Transportation (UDOT) Continuous Count Stations (CCS). Data from the past five years as collected at two CCSs in the vicinity of the project site (one on SR-224 just south of Kimball Junction and one on SR-248 just west of Quinn's Junction) were reviewed to determine when during the ski season peak traffic volumes occur. It was observed from the data that the month of January experienced the highest Average Daily Traffic (ADT) volumes of any month of the year. This is likely due to increases in traffic caused by events in the area including the Sundance Film Festival. While January is likely the busiest month for traffic on the outskirts of Park City, traffic volumes in February are nearly as high, and Presidents' Day Weekend is among the busiest weekend of the year for skier traffic.



To account for this, the intersection volumes collected in December were adjusted by a factor of 1.05 (5% higher) to replicate February conditions.

For study intersections 8 and 9, which were not included in this study's original scope, intersection counts were sourced from previous studies with adjustment factors. For the intersection of Deer Valley Drive / Park Avenue / Empire Avenue, counts were sourced from the *Park City Mountain Resort Traffic Impact Study* (August, 2019). Counts for this study were collected on February 18, 2017 and were adjusted by a factor of 1.14 (14% higher) to account for a peak winter day, as described in the August 2019 study. These adjusted counts were used for this study. For the intersection of Bonanza Drive / Monitor Drive / SR-248, no Saturday counts were available. To overcome this challenge, weekday counts collected on February 6, 2018 as part of the *Park City Arts District Traffic Analysis* (September 2019) were used as a foundation. Through reviewing two years of CCS data, weekday-to-weekend adjustment factors of 0.63 (37% lower) for the AM peak hour, and 0.85 (15% lower) for the PM peak hour were applied for this study.


To address comments from City Staff and community members, turning movement counts were collected at study intersections 3 and 4 to better understand how project-generated traffic might affect local intersections not included in the original study scope. The turning movement counts were collected on Thursday-Saturday, March 3-5, 2022, for the AM and PM peak periods. The highest turning movement counts among the three days at each location were used for conservative results.


Given that they were not included in the original scope of this study, and the substantial changes proposed along Doe Pass Road, no counts for the intersections of Deer Valley Drive East / Doe Pass Road and Deer Valley Drive West / Doe Pass Road were available, and these intersections were only evaluated in the Plus Project conditions.


The existing 2020 background Saturday AM and PM peak hour volumes are shown in Figure 3.

Fehr & Peers also collected Saturday daily roadway counts on February 15, 2020 (President's Day weekend) on the internal Deer Valley Drive roadways at the following locations:

- Deer Valley Drive West between Royal Street and drop-off/pick-up area
- Deer Valley Drive West south of the Deer Valley Drive East / Deer Valley Drive West intersection
- Deer Valley Drive East between Queen Esther Drive and parking lot
- Deer Valley Drive East east of the Deer Valley Drive East / Deer Valley Drive West intersection









198 (129) 553 (419)

263 (754) 151 (651)



3.3 Level of Service Analysis

Using SimTraffic simulation software (for signalized and unsignalized intersections) and SIDRA software (for the roundabout) and the HCM 6 delay thresholds provided in the Introduction, the existing background Saturday AM and PM peak hour LOS were computed for each study intersection. The results of this analysis for the Saturday AM and PM peak hours are reported in **Table 5** (see Appendix for the detailed LOS report). These results serve as a base for the analysis of the impacts of the proposed Snow Park Village development.

Table 5: Existing 2020 Background Conditions Saturday AM & PM Peak Hour Level of Service

	Intersection	Worst Movement ¹			Overall Intersection ²			
ID	Location	Period	Control	Movement ³	Delay Sec/Veh	LOS	Avg. Delay Sec/Veh	LOS
1	Doe Pass Rd / Deer Valley Dr	AM	SSSC ⁴	-	-	-	-	-
	East	PM	3330	-	-	-	-	-
2	Doe Pass Rd / Deer Valley Dr	AM	SSSC	_	-	-	-	-
	West	PM	3330	-	-	-	-	-
3	Queen Esther Dr / Deer	AM	SSSC	WB Left	6	Α	-	-
5	Valley Dr East	PM	3330	WB Left	9	Α	-	-
4	Deer Valley Dr East /	AM		SB Left	7	Α	-	-
4	Solamere Dr	PM	SSSC	SB Left	11	В	-	-
5	Deer Valley Dr / Deer Valley	AM	CCCC	WB Left	15	С	-	-
5	Dr East / Deer Valley Dr West	PM	SSSC	WB Left	39	E	-	-
	Deer Valley Drive / Marsac	AM	D	-	-	-	11	В
6	Avenue	PM	Roundabout	-	-	-	11	В
7	Davidella Davida de Davida	AM	C' I	-	-	-	11	В
7	Deer Valley Dr / Bonanza Dr	PM	Signal	_	-	-	21	С
0	Deer Valley Dr / Park Ave /	AM		-	-	-	77	E
8	Empire Ave	PM	Signal	-	-	-	84	F
_	Bonanza Dr / Monitor Dr /	AM	<u> </u>	-	-	-	12	В
9	SR-248	PM	Signal	-	-	-	20	С

Notes:

Bold text indicates intersections operating below Park City's acceptable LOS threshold.

- 1. This represents the worst approach LOS and delay (seconds/vehicle) and is only reported for unsignalized intersections.
- 2. This represents the overall intersection LOS and delay (seconds/vehicle) and is only reported for signalized intersections and roundabouts.
- B. NB=Northbound, SB=Southbound, EB=Eastbound, WB=Westbound
- 4. Side-street stop control.

Source: Fehr & Peers.





As shown in **Table 5**, all study intersections operated within acceptable LOS (LOS D or better), with the exception of the following locations:

- Deer Valley Drive East / Deer Valley Drive West: LOS E in the PM peak hour
 - This is caused by the high volumes of vehicles exiting the Deer Valley Resort area making a
 westbound right turn onto Deer Valley Drive West. The westbound approach is stopcontrolled, making it difficult for vehicles to find a gap and turn onto Deer Valley Drive West.
- <u>Deer Valley Drive / Park Avenue / Empire Avenue:</u> LOS E in the AM peak hour, LOS F in the PM peak hour
 - This is caused by congestion at the signal due to high volumes accessing various ski resorts and downtown Park City.

It should be noted that while the Bonanza Drive / Deer Valley Drive intersection operates within acceptable LOS, it is often impacted by vehicle queues spilling back to this intersection from the upstream intersection at Deer Valley Drive / Park Avenue / Empire Avenue in the PM peak hour.

3.4 Mitigation Measures


The concept master plan for Snow Park Village shows reconfiguration and signalization of the Deer Valley Drive / Deer Valley Drive East / Deer Valley Drive West intersection, which will alter the westbound LOS at this intersection. Therefore, Fehr & Peers does not recommend any mitigation measures for existing background conditions.

3.5 Origin-Destination Data


To understand the distribution of origins from which travelers access Deer Valley, Fehr & Peers employed origin-destination data provided by StreetLight Data. StreetLight Data collects samples of trips using anonymized mobile phone data (location-based services, or LBS) and aggregates it to provide estimates of travel between origin-destination pairs. In this study, trips to and from surrounding areas (Kamas-Richardson, Kimball-Jeremy, Midway-Heber, North Summit County, Wasatch Front, and Park City Old Town/Mountain Resort) were examined. The data sample used in this study was based on 2019 and 2020 observed travel patterns on weekend days during morning and afternoon peak periods (8:00am-10:00am and 3:00pm-5:00pm, respectively) in January and February (peak ski months). The figure below displays the distributions of origins for visitors of the Deer Valley Resort, as also shown in **Figure 4**.



Traffic to Deer Valley from... (AM Peak)



Traffic from Deer Valley to... (PM Peak)



The Wasatch Front contributes the majority of visitors to and from Deer Valley Resort with 42% and 41% in the AM peak and PM peak, respectively. The Kimball-Jeremy area contributes the second-greatest percentage of visitors with 34% and 35% in the AM peak and PM peak, respectively. The vehicular traffic to and from the Kimball-Jeremy area are good candidates to encourage shifting to transit or other modes, especially if improved transit service accessing Deer Valley Resort is provided.

This data represents existing travel patterns and do not account for potential changes in travel following the construction of Snow Park Village; trip distribution and assignment as shown in section 4.4 of this report primarily focuses on new project trips. Furthermore, StreetLight Data can not ditinguish between single-occupancy vehicles and high-occupancy/transit vehicles, and therefor does not account for current carpooling or transit usage.

3.6 Vehicle Occupancy Data

In addition to traffic counts and StreetLight Data, Fehr & Peers collected vehicle occupancy counts for AM peak-period, inbound traffic for the Deer Valley Resort. Vehicle occupancy counts were collected for the following three days:

- Saturday, February 13, 2021
- Tuesday, February 23, 2021
- Saturday, February 27, 2021



Table 6 presents a summary of vehicle occupancy data, calculated from data collected during the three days listed above. It should be noted that the vehicle occupancy counts were collected during the global COVID-19 pandemic, and the data shown in **Table 6** could be skewed because people are less likely to carpool with individuals outside of their immediate home due to risks presented by Covid-19.


In summary, the average vehicle occupancy for Snow Park Village was observed to be 2.02 occupants/vehicle on Saturday (weighted average of the two sample Saturdays), and 1.90 occupants/vehicle on a weekday (from a single weekday). Also, the percent of single-occupant vehicles was observed to be about 36% on Saturday (weighted average of the two sample Saturdays), and about 38% on a weekday (from a single weekday). Vehicle occupancy is a useful metric to have available for baseline conditions, as it can be used in evaluating how future implementation of potential transportation demand management (TDM) strategies and broader transit network improvements could impact travel behavior. It should be noted that, due to the global Covid-19 pandemic, carpooling may be lower than pre-pandemic levels. However, a return to higher rates of carpooling is expected to be achievable in the near future.



Table 6: Snow Park Village Vehicle Occupancy Summary

Time Period	Total Vehicle Count	Average Occupancy	Single Occupant Vehicles	Percent Single Occupant Vehicles
		Saturday, February	13, 2021	
7:45 – 8:00	45	1.76	19	42%
8:00 – 8:15	58	1.84	23	40%
8:15 - 8:30	59	2.12	17	29%
8:30 - 8:45	68	2.09	19	28%
8:45 - 9:00	74	2.04	26	35%
9:00 – 9:15	26	2.12	12	46%
9:15 – 9:30	22	1.95	10	45%
9:30 – 9:45	20	1.95	7	35%
Sum	372	-	133	-
Weighted Average	-	1.99	-	36%
		Tuesday, February 2	23, 2021	
7:45 – 8:00	15	1.60	6	40%
8:00 – 8:15	32	1.50	22	69%
8:15 - 8:30	48	1.65	24	50%
8:30 - 8:45	56	1.91	17	30%
8:45 - 9:00	63	2.00	23	37%
9:00 – 9:15	48	1.92	16	33%
9:15 – 9:30	43	2.23	11	26%
9:30 – 9:45	24	2.17	5	21%
Sum	329	-	124	-
Weighted Average	-	1.90	-	38%
		Saturday, February	27, 2021	
7:45 – 8:00	41	1.66	20	49%
8:00 – 8:15	77	2.04	24	31%
8:15 – 8:30	100	1.91	38	38%
8:30 – 8:45	93	2.11	28	30%
8:45 – 9:00	120	2.28	40	33%
9:00 – 9:15	133	1.98	61	46%
9:15 – 9:30	129	1.97	39	30%
9:30 – 9:45	38	2.13	10	26%
Sum	731	-	260	-
Weighted Average	-	2.03	-	36%

Source: Fehr & Peers.





4. Project Conditions

The Project conditions analysis evaluates the type and intensity of proposed development. This provides the basis for trip generation, distribution, and assignment of project trips to the surrounding study intersections defined in the Introduction. Additionally, Snow Park includes many proposed updates to the roadway network immediately adjacent to the site.

4.1 Project Description

The first phase of the proposed Snow Park Village development will be located at the south parcel of the Deer Valley Resort. The parcel is currently surface parking lots for Deer Valley. Deer Valley resort is in a culde-sac type of location, and all trips will access the development through the Deer Valley Drive / Deer Valley Drive East/ Deer Valley Drive West intersections. As a reminder, this traffic report accounts for all future development of the current surface parking lots.

4.1.1 Site Access and Circulation

The Snow Park Village proposal includes mitigations at key intersections to provide better transit access, especially at the transit hub, and improve the traffic flow for visitors traveling by all modes. This circulation plan includes a seasonal one-way Shared Mobility Lane (SML), which prioritizes transit. It will function in a counterclockwise manner. After ski season, the SML will be open to bicycle traffic. Management and enforcement, year-round, will be a City responsibility.

Deer Valley Drive West will be largely left as it is today. The main entrance for day skiers is the western access off Doe Pass Road into the P2 level. The northbound approach at the Doe Pass Road / Deer Valley Drive West intersection will be stop-controlled. To improve pedestrian and bicycle connections, a continuous multiuse path will be constructed along the west curb to connect Snow Park Village to multimodal facilities along Deer Valley Drive and the broader Park City active transportation network. Adjacent to the Snow Park Village site, Deer Valley Drive West will be gated to control access to the Trails End development and to discourage use of the southern terminus of Deer Valley Drive West as a skier drop off area.

Doe Pass Road will be reconfigured to provide access to the parking structure and mobility hub entrances. Doe Pass Road will include two-way general traffic lanes to allow for the movement of public and private vehicles. A continuous sidewalk will be provided on the south side of Doe Pass Road, which will be connected to the multiuse path along the west curb of Deer Valley Drive West by controlled crossings. Two parking accesses, to levels P1 and P2, will be provided on Doe Pass Road. The parking structure will have



internal ramping to allow access between P2 and P3. Both driveways will be controlled with parking management technology, and Deer Valley staff as needed.

Deer Valley Drive East Two general traffic lanes and one transit flex lane will be provided on Deer Valley Drive East. A continuous multiuse path will be provided along the west side, which connects to other similar facilities around the Deer valley Drive loop. Deer Valley Drive East will act as the primary route by which day-skiers depart Snow Park Village, which will be supported by the reconfiguration of the Deer Valley Drive / Deer Valley Drive East / Deer Valley Drive West intersection and through intuitive, real-time wayfinding. South of its intersection with Doe Pass Road, Deer Valley Drive East will provide access to P2, P3 and P4 parking levels which will primarily serve day skiers. Driveways to these parking levels will be similarly managed through parking technology and Deer Valley staff during periods of peak demand. At its southern terminus, Deer Valley Drive East will be reconfigured into a turnaround drop-off area for day-skier traffic. This drop-off area will be heavily managed, particularly at peak drop-off and pick-up periods with Deer Valley staff directing traffic to ensure smooth operations and safe conditions for users.

A conceptual site plan, showing driveway locations and conceptual roadway configurations is shown in **Figure 5**.







4.2 Trip Generation

Much research and case studies have been performed to better understand the transportation benefits of mixed-use development and transit-oriented development (TOD) over the past decade. "D" factors affect the way mixed-use developments generate trips. The "D" factors include:

- Density (dwellings, jobs per acre)
- Diversity (mix of housing, jobs, retail)
- Design (connectivity, walkability)
- Destinations (regional accessibility)
- Distance to Transit (rail and bus proximity)
- Development Scale (population, jobs)
- Demographics (household size, income)

Because of the "D" factors, mixed-use developments and TOD have a much higher distribution of mode split (split between walk, bike, transit, and vehicle) and generally result in lower single-occupant vehicle trips and parking demand. Research has shown that mixed-use developments and TOD generate one-third to two-thirds fewer trips than typical state-of-the-practice trip generation methodologies.

Trip generation for the proposed Snow Park Village was obtained from the *Institute of Transportation Engineers – 10th Edition Trip Generation Manual* (ITE Manual) and Fehr & Peers' mixed-use development (MXD+) methodology via MainStreet, a Fehr & Peers web application that captures the traffic benefits of developments by looking at interactions among the mixture of land uses and patron usage of alternative modes (i.e. transit, bicycling, and/or walking). Since the beginning of this effort, a new edition of the *Trip Generation Manual* has been published, however, analyses presented in this report rely on 10th Edition trip generation rates. This is to be consistent with previous drafts, and rates presented in the updated *Trip Generation Manual* would likely lead to marginal ("noise") reductions in trip generation estimates. MXD+ outputs are included in the appendix of this report.

The MXD+ trip generation methodology more accurately captures the trip-reducing benefits of mixed-use development projects and is used throughout the United States to help developers, agencies, and the public to quantify these trip reductions. The MXD+ trip generation model is promoted by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and has been adopted by the American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE), American Planning Association (APA), and many others as a recommended resource for trip generation of smart-growth developments. The MXD+ model uses ITE trip generation rates and applies additional variables to those trip generation rates. Some of the additional variables include:

Employment





- (Population + Employment) per square mile
- Land area
- Total jobs / population diversity
- Number of intersections per square mile
- Employment within a mile; within
- Employment within a 30-minute trip by transit
- Average household size
- Vehicles owned per capita

Trip generation for the project was computed using trip generation rates published in the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) Trip Generation, 10th Edition, 2017, with trip reductions based on Fehr & Peers' MXD+ methodology to account for the project's many complementary land uses and availability of transit. These reductions were further informed by inputs from the Summit County Travel Demand Model to better tailor results to local travel behavior. Snow Park Village is proposed to include following land uses (taken from the land use program dated October 2021):

- 30,900 square feet of ballroom/event center space
- 143 multifamily housing units
- 193 hotel rooms
- 25,900 square feet of commercial/retail space

The development is proposed to support the current Deer Valley Resort and other land uses in adjacent to the resort. It should be noted that the land uses supporting the ski resort will not be substantial traffic generators; rather, the ski resort will be the primary generator of traffic, and the support land uses serve as accessories to the resort. The current traffic accessing the ski resort were assumed to cover the trip generation for the ski resort and the support land uses independent of the Snow Park Village proposal. **Table 7** presents the Saturday daily, AM peak-hour, and PM peak-hour trip generation estimates for the entirety of the proposed Snow Park Village Project on both parcels north and south of Doe Pass Road, not only the proposed first phase (Village) south of Doe Pass Road.

4.2.1.1 Resort Hotel Trip Generation Rates

Trip Generation estimates for the hotel uses included in the Snow Park Village proposal are based on observed trip generation rates recorded during the development of the 2018 Canyons Village Transportation Master Plan. While there are a handful of key factors that might result in trip generation rates closer to those in the original Snow Park Village Traffic Impact Study, including proximity to the interstate and other complementary land uses, estimates in this memorandum used the local rates recorded at the Canyons.

FEHR PEERS



4.2.1.2 Assumed Mode Shift

To avoid double-counting potential reductions, the trip generation estimates in this memorandum rely solely on mode shift derived from the MXD methodology and underlying assumptions from the regional travel demand model. These reductions, which are shown in the columns titled "% Walk/Bike" and "% Transit," are applied to all proposed land uses. Snow Park Village is proposing to provide full parking supply required by the Park City LMC with no reductions. To account for the availability of parking and potential added incentive to drive rather than use other modes, the reductions for shift to other modes were minimized, assuming half of what was presented in the November 2022 submittal.

4.2.1.3 Reduction in Vehicle Trips due to Implementation of Paid Parking

Charging for parking is a reliable method by which to influence mode choice, and Deer Valley intends to implement paid parking as part of the Snow Park Village proposal. Reductions in trip generation due to the implementation of paid parking at Deer Valley have been scaled back to present a more conservative estimate of how parking pricing will affect trip generation. While many Deer Valley clientele may be much less sensitive to additional costs associated with a day's skiing than the general population, almost 45% of existing trips to and from Deer Valley start and end at points along the Wasatch Front, residents of which are more likely to alter their behavior based on willingness to pay. Lastly, reductions in trip generation due to the implementation of parking pricing are applied only to the resort hotel-, shopping center-, and event center-generated trips, since proposed residential uses at the site are unlikely to require that residents pay for parking on a daily basis.

4.2.1.4 Trip Internalization Derived from MXD

A fundamental element of the Snow Park Village proposal is to provide amenities, services, and entertainment options that complement each other and the ski resort itself. This means that peak-hour trips that might occur without complementary land uses are either delayed (so that they do not occur during the peak hours) or do not require a vehicle trip due to proximity of different uses. Trip internalization rates, presented in **Table 7** under the column heading "% Internal Capture" are applied only to the residential-, resort hotel-, and recreational community center-generated trips, and present a more conservative rate of internalization than presented in the original Snow Park Village traffic impact study.

4.2.1.5 Trip Internalization Derived from Squaw Valley (Palisades Tahoe)

While the residential, hotel, and community center uses are expected to be destinations unto themselves that will generate a measurable number of peak-hour vehicle trips, the food service and retail uses (shown



in **Table 7** as "Shopping Center") are expected to almost exclusively serve guests already at Deer Valley rather than guests traveling to Deer Valley explicitly for those services.

To support this assumption, trip generation estimates for the shopping center uses in this memorandum rely on trip internalization estimates derived from an origin-destination survey conducted at the Squaw Valley, California resort in 2011. Surveys conducted showed that 95-97% of customers at dining and retail uses in a similar context (ski resort base village) were already at the village for other purposes, and did not travel solely for the dining/retail use. Reductions based on the data from Squaw Valley are presented under the column heading "% Resort Int. Capt." And are applied only to the shopping center uses. We assume that employees for these uses will almost exclusively arrive and depart during off-peak periods, resulting in lower reductions for daily trips generated by the shopping center uses.

Trip generation for Snow Park Village is covered in greater detail in **Attachment A**. Detailed MXD+ outputs are also included in the appendix.



Table 7: Snow Park Village Trip Generation

	Number of	Unit	Rate ²	Daily	%	%	% Walk/	%	% Paid	% Internal	% Resort	Trips	Trips	New Daily
Land Use ¹	Units	Type	Rate	Trip Generation ³	Entering⁴	Exiting ⁴	Bike ⁵	Transit ⁵	Parking ⁷	Capture ⁶	Int. Capt.9	Entering	Exiting	Trips
(220) - Multifamily Housing Low-Rise	143	Dwelling Unit	8.14	1,164	50%	50%	2.3%	1.5%	-	1.9%	-	549	549	1,098
(330) - Resort Hotel	193	Rooms	6.27	1,210	50%	50%	2.3%	1.5%	7.5%	1.9%	-	526	526	1,052
(820) - Shopping Center	25.9	1,000 Sq. Ft	46.12	1,195	50%	50%	2.3%	1.5%	7.5%	-	90.0%	53	53	106
(495) Recreational Community Center	30.9	1,000 Sq. Ft	9.10	281	50%	50%	2.3%	1.5%	7.5%	1.9%	-	123	123	246
Day Skiers ¹⁰	150	Stalls	7.42	1,113	50%	50%	-	-	-	-	-	557	557	1,114
Net Weekday Trips				4,963							_	1,808	1,808	3,616
	Number of	Unit	Rate ²	AM Peak Hour	%	%	% Walk/	%	% Paid	% Internal	% Resort	Trips	Trips	New AM Peak
Land Use ¹	Units	Type	Rate	Trip Generation ³	Entering⁴	Exiting ⁴	Bike ⁵	Transit ⁵	Parking ⁷	Capture ⁶	Int. Capt.8	Entering	Exiting	Hour Trips
(220) - Multifamily Housing Low-Rise	143	Dwelling Unit	0.46	66	23%	77%	2.8%	1.0%	-	3.7%	-	15	47	62
(330) - Resort Hotel	193	Rooms	0.41	79	72%	28%	2.8%	1.0%	7.5%	3.7%	-	49	19	68
(820) - Shopping Center	25.9	1,000 Sq. Ft	0.94	24	62%	38%	2.8%	1.0%	7.5%	-	96.2%	1	1	2
(495) Recreational Community Center	30.9	1,000 Sq. Ft	1.76	54	62%	38%	2.8%	1.0%	7.5%	3.7%	-	29	18	47
Day Skiers ¹⁰	150	Stalls	0.54	82	100%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	82	0	82
Net Saturday AM Peak Hour Trips				306								176	85	261
	Number of	Unit	Rate ²	PM Peak Hour	%	%	% Walk/	%	% Paid	% Internal	% Resort	Trips	Trips	New PM Peak
Land Use ¹	Units	Туре	Kate	Trip Generation ³	Entering⁴	Exiting ⁴	Bike ⁵	Transit⁵	Parking ⁷	Capture ⁶	Int. Capt.8	Entering	Exiting	Hour Trips
(220) - Multifamily Housing Low-Rise	143	Dwelling Unit	0.70	100	60%	40%	1.7%	1.5%	-	10.6%	-	52	35	87
(330) - Resort Hotel	193	Rooms	0.70	135	43%	57%	1.7%	1.5%	7.5%	10.6%	-	46	61	107
(820) - Shopping Center	25.9	1,000 Sq. Ft	4.50	117	52%	48%	1.7%	1.5%	7.5%	-	96.2%	3	2	5
(495) Recreational Community Center	30.9	1,000 Sq. Ft	1.07	33	52%	48%	1.7%	1.5%	7.5%	10.6%	-	14	13	27
Day Skiers ¹⁰	150	Stalls	0.64	96	0%	100%	-	-	-	-	-	0	96	96
Net Saturday PM Peak Hour Trips				481								115	207	322

^{1. (}XXX) Indicates ITE Land Use Code. Land Use Code from the Institute of Transportation Engineers - 10th Edition Trip Generation Manual (ITE Manual)

FEHR / PEERS

^{2.} ITE Trip Generation Rates. Hotel rates derived from data collected on Saturday, February 17, 2018, for the Canyons Village Management Association Transportation Master Plan. Day skier rates calculated from existing vehicles/stalls.

^{3.} Traffic Generated by the development according to trip generation rates provided in the ITE Manual (custom rates for Hotel).

^{4.} Percentage of trips Entering and Exiting the development according to the ITE Manual.

^{5.} Percentage of trips that shift to active transportation or transit modes based on data collected by U.S. Census Bureau, 2013-2017 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates.

^{6.} Percentage of trips that are captured internally to the site based on rates published in ITE Manual.

^{7.} Percentage of trips that shift to transit due to parking costs based on Fehr & Peers's Parking Cost Tool. The tool estimates close to 20%; 7.5% assumed for conservative results.

^{8.} Percentage of trips that are captured internally to the site for retail/restaurant based on Squaw Valley winter overnight visitor survey conducted in 2011, for weekend AM and PM peak hours.

^{9.} Daily retail/restaurant internal capture percentage was assumed to be lower than AM and PM peak hours due to employees, which daily travel patterns are not as affected as much as peak hours.

^{10.} Day skiers not included in ITE. The rates for day skiers were derived by calculating the number of existing vehicles with the available 1350 existing stalls. Source: Fehr & Peers



4.3 Trip Distribution and Assignment

Project traffic was assigned to the roadway network based on the proximity to major streets and freeways, population densities, and local and regional attractions. Existing travel patterns revealed in the Streetlight data, Continuous Count Station (CCS) data collection from UDOT, and observed during data collection also provided helpful guidance to establish these distribution percentages, especially close to the site.


The CCS data from UDOT informed the distribution of trips arriving via SR-224 and SR-248. Closer to the project site, Streetlight data informed the distribution of trips arriving via Marsac Avenue and Deer Valley Drive. Overall, the project-generated trips were distributed to and from these directions in the Existing analysis, in the corresponding percentages:


- 50% North (using SR-224)
- 20% East (using SR-248 via Bonanza Drive)
- 15% West (using any of the accesses along Deer Valley Drive between Bonanza and Marsac)
- 5% West (using the Transit Hub access at the Marsac Roundabout)
- 10% South (using Marsac Avenue)


This trip distribution does not fully align with the origin-destination data presented in **Figure 4** due to the expected differences in trip purpose stemming from the change in land use at Snow Park. The distribution and assignment of new, project-generated trips reflects the assumption that residents and guests of Snow Park Village's hotel and residential uses are more likely to and from Old Town for dining, shopping, or entertainment purposes.


These trip distribution assumptions were used to distribute project-generated traffic to the study area intersections and are shown in **Figure 6**.















5. Existing 2020 plus Project Conditions


The Existing (2020) Plus Project conditions analysis evaluates the impact of the proposed development-generated traffic on the surrounding roadway network under existing conditions. To analyze this impact, the Saturday peak-hour background traffic volumes were combined with volumes generated by the proposed Project during its Saturday peak hours. Intersection LOS analyses were then performed and compared to the results of the background traffic volumes. This comparison shows the impact of the proposed project.

5.1 Traffic Volumes

Vehicle trips in and out of the existing Deer Valley resort are assumed to be for the ski resort users and were not subtracted out from the background volumes. Project-generated traffic for the additional land uses and development was added to the background volumes to yield Existing (2020) Plus Project peak-hour volumes. The Saturday AM and PM peak-hour traffic volumes at the study intersections are shown in **Figure 7**.











5.2 Level of Service Analysis

Using SimTraffic simulation software (for signalized and unsignalized intersections) and SIDRA software (for the roundabout) and the HCM 6 delay thresholds provided in the Introduction, the existing 2020 plus project Saturday AM and PM peak hour LOS were computed for each study intersection. The results of the analysis are reported in **Table 8** (see Appendix for the detailed LOS report).

Table 8: Existing 2020 plus Project Conditions Saturday AM & PM Peak Hour Level of Service

	Intersection	l		Worst	: Movemen	t¹	Overall Intersection ²		
ID	Location	Period	Control	Movement ³	Delay Sec/Veh	LOS	Avg. Delay Sec/Veh	LOS	
1	Doe Pass Rd / Deer Valley Dr	AM	Signal	-	-	-	6	Α	
	East	PM	Signal	-	-	-	7	Α	
2	Doe Pass Rd / Deer Valley Dr West	AM	SSSC ⁴	NB Left	8	Α	-	-	
2		PM	333C.	NB Left	16	С	-	-	
3	Queen Esther Dr / Deer	AM	ccc	WB Left	8	Α	-	-	
3	Valley Dr East	PM	SSSC	WB Left	11	В	-	-	
	Deer Valley Dr East / Solamere Dr	AM	SSSC	SB Left	8	Α	-	-	
4		PM		SB Left	13	В	-	-	
_	Deer Valley Dr / Deer Valley	AM	SSSC	WB Left	26	D	-	-	
5	Dr East / Deer Valley Dr West	PM		WB Left	128	F	-	-	
	Deer Valley Drive / Marsac	AM		-	-	-	15	В	
6	Avenue	PM	Roundabout	-	-	-	15	В	
		AM		-	-	-	11	В	
7	Deer Valley Dr / Bonanza Dr	PM	Signal	-	-	-	29	С	
	Deer Valley Dr / Park Ave /	AM		-	-	-	75	Е	
8	Empire Ave	PM	Signal	-	-	-	83	F	
	Bonanza Dr / Monitor Dr /	AM		-	-	-	13	В	
9	SR-248	PM	Signal	-	-	-	20	С	

Notes:

Bold text indicates intersections operating below Park City's acceptable LOS threshold.

- 1. This represents the worst approach LOS and delay (seconds/vehicle) and is only reported for unsignalized intersections.
- 2. This represents the overall intersection LOS and delay (seconds/vehicle).
- 3. NB=Northbound, SB=Southbound, EB=Eastbound, WB=Westbound
- 4. Side-street stop control.

Source: Fehr & Peers.



As shown in **Table 8**, all study intersections operated within acceptable LOS (LOS D or better), with the exception of the following locations:

- Deer Valley Drive East / Deer Valley Drive West: LOS F in the PM peak hour
 - This is caused by the high traffic volumes exiting the Deer Valley Resort on the westbound approach onto Deer Valley Drive. The westbound approach is stop-controlled, making it difficult for vehicles to find a gap and turn onto Deer Valley Drive West.
- Deer Valley Drive / Park Avenue / Empire Avenue: LOS E in the AM peak hour, LOS F in the PM peak hour
 - This is caused by high congestion at the signal due to high volumes accessing various ski resorts and downtown Park City.

It should be noted that the proposed Snow Park Village development introduces various support land uses intended to attract resort users to stay on-site after the ski resort peak hour. This will help distribute the peaking of traffic, reducing delays at the study intersections and roadways. Therefore, the results shown in **Table 8** are likely overstated.

5.3 Mitigation Measures

The Snow Park Village site plan includes realignment of the Deer Valley Drive / Deer Valley Drive East / Deer Valley Drive West intersection. The intersection is currently a "T"-intersection with free-flow movement north/south along Deer Valley Drive West / Deer Valley Drive, and a stop-control on the approach of Deer Valley Drive East. The proposed plan adds a signal at the intersection, as shown in **Figure 8**. Deer Valley Drive West will serve as a primary transit and auto route to access the proposed transit hub and the main P2 parking level entrance on Doe Pass Road and serve private vehicles accessing Royal Street and the Trail's End community. Deer Valley Drive East will serve as the secondary vehicular route to access the Snow Park drop-off/pick-up area and parking structure accesses that includes day skier spaces, hotel, and residences.

To evaluate how the study intersections would operate if driving behaviors do not change despite development, the traffic distribution of the background traffic at the Deer Valley Drive East / Deer Valley Drive West intersection was not modified, and project traffic was added. This was assumed to account for the historical use patterns and direct routes to the parking garages. This resulted in traffic splits similar to existing conditions at the Deer Valley Drive East / Deer Valley Drive West intersection with roughly 25% using Deer Valley Drive East and roughly 75% using Deer Valley Drive West inbound in the AM peak hour, and roughly 40% using Deer Valley Drive East and roughly 60% using Deer Valley Drive West outbound in the PM peak hour.



Park City has a longstanding position of not mitigating certain deficient intersections within its boundaries due to the impacts of road widening and other potential mitigations to the community. As a result, potential mitigations at the intersections of Deer Valley Drive / Park Avenue / Empire Avenue, Bonanza Drive / Monitor Drive / SR-248 were not analyzed as part of this study, and are therefore not included as recommendations. Further, deficiencies shown at the intersection of Deer Valley Drive / Bonanza Drive are not a result of project-generated trips or operations of the intersection itself; instead they stem from vehicle queues from the intersection of Deer Valley Drive / Park Avenue / Empire Avenue. As a result, mitigations at the intersection of Deer Valley Drive / Bonanza Drive are not recommended as part of this study. As stated earlier, Deer Valley Drive between the roundabout and SR-224 intersection is a UDOT facility. Any efforts to improve traffic will be led by UDOT.

The analysis results with the reconfigured Deer Valley Drive East / Deer Valley Drive West intersection are shown in **Table 9** (see Appendix for the detailed LOS report). As shown in **Table 9**, the Deer Valley Drive / Deer Valley Drive East / Deer Valley Drive West intersection operates at LOS A and LOS C in the AM and PM peak hours, respectively.

With increased traffic due to the development, the Deer Valley Drive East / Solamere Drive and Deer Valley Drive East / Queen Esther Drive intersections experience increased delays. As a mitigation, the Snow Park Village site plan includes new left-turn pockets at both the Deer Valley Drive East / Solamere Drive and Deer Valley Drive East / Queen Esther Drive intersections to improve traffic operations during peak periods and better facilitate inbound left turns, as well as a receiving lane to allow for two-stage left turns out of Solamere Drive and Queen Esther Drive.






Table 9: Existing 2020 plus Project Mitigated Conditions Saturday AM & PM Peak Hour Level of Service

	Intersection			Worst	: Movemen	t ¹	Overall Intersection ²		
ID	Location	Period	Control	Movement ³	Delay Sec/Veh	LOS	Avg. Delay Sec/Veh	LOS	
1	Doe Pass Rd / Deer Valley Dr	AM	Signal	-	-	-	4	Α	
	East	PM	Signal	-	-	-	7	Α	
2	Doe Pass Rd / Deer Valley Dr West	AM	SSSC ⁴	NB Left	12	В	-	-	
		PM	3330	NB Left	19	С	-	-	
2	Queen Esther Dr / Deer	AM	CCCC	WB Left	5	Α	-	-	
3	Valley Dr East	PM	SSSC	WB Left	11	В	-	-	
	Deer Valley Dr East / Solamere Dr	AM	SSSC	SB Left	6	Α	-	-	
4		PM		SB Left	9	Α	-	_	
_	Deer Valley Dr / Deer Valley	AM	Signal	-	-	-	9	Α	
5	Dr East / Deer Valley Dr West	PM		-	-	-	21	С	
	Deer Valley Drive / Marsac	AM		-	-	-	15	В	
6	Avenue	PM	Roundabout	-	-	-	15	В	
_	D 1/ II D / D	AM	6: 1	-	-	-	12	В	
7	Deer Valley Dr / Bonanza Dr	PM	Signal	-	-	-	38	D	
	Deer Valley Dr / Park Ave /	AM		-	-	-	76	E	
8	Empire Ave	PM	Signal	-	-	-	84	F	
	Bonanza Dr / Monitor Dr /	AM		-	-	-	13	В	
9	SR-248	PM	Signal	-	-	-	20	С	

Notes

Bold text indicates intersections operating below Park City's acceptable LOS threshold.

- 1. This represents the worst approach LOS and delay (seconds/vehicle) and is only reported for unsignalized intersections.
- 2. This represents the overall intersection LOS and delay (seconds/vehicle).
- 3. NB=Northbound, SB=Southbound, EB=Eastbound, WB=Westbound.
- 4. Side-street stop control.

Source: Fehr & Peers.



6. Opening Year (2024) Background Conditions

The purpose of the Opening Year (2024) Background conditions analysis is to evaluate the study intersections during the peak travel periods of the day under projected 2024 traffic volumes, when the development is projected to open. This analysis provides a baseline condition for the year 2024, which can be used to determine future Project impacts.

6.1 Traffic Volumes

Traffic volumes for 2024 were estimated using traffic counts and forecasted volumes from the Summit/Wasatch Travel Demand Model (September 2020 version) for 2024. This is a regional forecasting model developed with UDOT support to help plan for major infrastructure in the Wasatch Back region. The Summit/Wasatch Travel Demand Model shows a lower annual growth rate in the future by accounting for a higher mode split for non-drive alone modes of transportation – higher usage of transit, walking, and biking than previous versions of travel demand models. The following annual growth rates were used on the following roadways to project 2024 background weekday volumes as shown in **Figure 9**.

- 0.5% on Deer Valley Drive (SR-224) north of Bonanza Drive
- 0.5% on Deer Valley Drive (SR-224) south of Bonanza Drive
- 0.5% on Deer Valley Drive (SR-224) north of Marsac Avenue
- 0.6% on Deer Valley Drive (SR-224) east of Marsac Avenue
- 0.6% on Deer Valley Drive (SR-224) north of Deer Valley Drive West
- 0.4% on Deer Valley Drive (SR-224) south of Deer Valley Drive West
- 1.7% on Bonanza Drive
- 0.3% on Marsac Avenue

6.2 Level of Service Analysis

Using SimTraffic simulation software (for signalized and unsignalized intersections) and SIDRA software (for the roundabout) and the HCM 6 delay thresholds provided in the Introduction, opening year 2024 background weekday peak hour LOS was computed for each study intersection. The results of this analysis for the Saturday AM and PM peak hour are reported in **Table 10** (see Appendix for the detailed LOS report).



Table 10: Opening Year 2024 Background Conditions Saturday AM & PM Peak Hour Level of Service

	Intersection			Worst	: Movemen	t ¹	Overall Intersection ²		
ID	Location	Period	Control	Movement ³	Delay Sec/Veh	LOS	Avg. Delay Sec/Veh	LOS	
1	Doe Pass Rd / Deer Valley Dr	AM	SSSC ⁴	-	-	-	-	-	
	East	PM	3330	-	-	-	-	-	
2	Doe Pass Rd / Deer Valley Dr	AM	SSSC	-	-	-	-	-	
	West	PM	3330	-	-	-	-	-	
3	Queen Esther Dr / Deer	AM	SSSC	WB Left	6	Α	-	-	
3	Valley Dr East	PM	333C	WB Left	8	Α	-	-	
4	Deer Valley Dr East / Solamere Dr	AM	CCCC	SB Left	6	Α	-	-	
4		PM	SSSC	SB Left	11	В	-	-	
5	Deer Valley Dr / Deer Valley	AM	SSSC	WB Left	14	В	-	-	
5	Dr East / Deer Valley Dr West	PM		WB Left	41	E	-	-	
_	Deer Valley Drive / Marsac	AM	D	-	-	-	11	В	
6	Avenue	PM	Roundabout	_	-	-	11	В	
	D	AM	C' I	-	-	-	11	В	
7	Deer Valley Dr / Bonanza Dr	PM	Signal	_	-	-	20	С	
•	Deer Valley Dr / Park Ave /	AM	6: 1	-	-	-	82	F	
8	Empire Ave	PM	Signal	-	-	-	85	F	
_	Bonanza Dr / Monitor Dr /	AM	C' I	-	-	-	13	В	
9	SR-248	PM	Signal	-	-	-	20	С	

Notes:

Bold text indicates intersections operating below Park City's acceptable LOS threshold.

- 1. This represents the worst approach LOS and delay (seconds/vehicle) and is only reported for unsignalized intersections.
- 2. This represents the overall intersection LOS and delay (seconds/vehicle) and is only reported for signalized intersections and roundabouts.
- 3. NB=Northbound, SB=Southbound, EB=Eastbound, WB=Westbound
- 4. Side-street stop control.

Source: Fehr & Peers.

As shown in **Table 10**, all study intersections operated within acceptable LOS (LOS D or better), with the exception of the following locations:

- <u>Deer Valley Drive East / Deer Valley Drive West</u>: LOS E in the PM peak hour
 - This is caused by the high volumes of vehicles exiting the Deer Valley Resort area making a
 westbound right turn onto Deer Valley Drive West. The westbound approach is stopcontrolled, making it difficult for vehicles to find a gap and turn onto Deer Valley Drive West.





- <u>Deer Valley Drive / Park Avenue / Empire Avenue</u>: LOS F in both AM and PM peak hours
 - This is caused by high congestion at the signal due to high volumes accessing various ski resorts and downtown Park City.


It should be noted that while the Bonanza Drive / Deer Valley Drive intersection operates within acceptable LOS, it is often impacted by vehicle queues spilling back to this intersection from the upstream intersection at Deer Valley Drive / Park Avenue / Empire Avenue in the PM peak hour.

6.3 Mitigation Measures

The concept master plan for Snow Park Village shows re-alignment and signalization of the Deer Valley Drive East / Deer Valley Drive West intersection, which will alter the westbound LOS at this intersection. Therefore, Fehr & Peers does not recommend any mitigation measures for opening year background conditions.









7. Opening Year (2024) Plus Project Conditions

The purpose of the opening year 2024 plus project conditions analysis is to evaluate the impact of the proposed development traffic on the surrounding roadway network in the year 2024, the proposed opening year of the development. To analyze this impact, the projected 2024 Saturday AM and PM peak hour background traffic volumes were combined with volumes generated by the development for the Saturday AM and PM peak hours. Intersection LOS analyses were then performed and compared to the results of the background traffic volumes. This comparison shows the impact of the proposed project in opening year 2024.

7.1 Traffic Volumes

Project-generated traffic (**Figure 6**) was added to the opening year 2024 background volumes (**Figure 9**) to yield Opening Year (2024) Plus Project Saturday AM and PM peak-hour traffic volumes at the study intersections as shown in **Figure 10**.

7.2 Level of Service Analysis

Using SimTraffic simulation software (for signalized and unsignalized intersections) and SIDRA software (for the roundabout) and the HCM 6 delay thresholds provided in the Introduction, opening year 2024 plus project Saturday AM and PM peak hour LOS were computed for each study intersection. The results of the analysis are reported in **Table 11** (see Appendix for the detailed LOS report).



Table 11: Opening Year 2024 plus Project Conditions Saturday AM & PM Peak Hour Level of Service

	Intersection			Worst	: Movemen	t ¹	Overall Intersection ²		
ID	Location	Period	Control	Movement ³	Delay Sec/Veh	LOS	Avg. Delay Sec/Veh	LOS	
1	Doe Pass Rd / Deer Valley Dr	AM	Cianal	-	-	-	7	Α	
'	East	PM	Signal	-	-	-	7	Α	
2	Doe Pass Rd / Deer Valley Dr West	AM	SSSC ⁴	NB Left	15	В	-	-	
		PM	222C.	NB Left	24	С	-	-	
3	Queen Esther Dr / Deer Valley Dr East	AM	CCCC	WB Left	8	Α	-	-	
3		PM	SSSC	WB Right	20	С	-	-	
_	Deer Valley Dr East / Solamere Dr	AM	SSSC	SB Left	8	Α	-	-	
4		PM	3330	SB Right	78	F	-	-	
5	Deer Valley Dr / Deer Valley	AM	SSSC	WB Left	20	С	-	-	
5	Dr East / Deer Valley Dr West	PM		WB Right	126	F	-	-	
_	Deer Valley Drive / Marsac	AM	D 11 .	-	-	-	16	С	
6	Avenue	PM	Roundabout	_	-	-	16	С	
_	D	AM	C:I	-	-	-	12	В	
7	Deer Valley Dr / Bonanza Dr	PM	Signal	-	-	-	67	E	
_	Deer Valley Dr / Park Ave /	AM	C: l	-	-	-	80	F	
8	Empire Ave	PM	Signal	-	-	-	88	F	
_	Bonanza Dr / Monitor Dr /	AM	C:I	-	-	-	14	В	
9	SR-248	PM	Signal	-	-	-	22	С	

Notes

Bold text indicates intersections operating below Park City's acceptable LOS threshold.

- 1. This represents the worst approach LOS and delay (seconds/vehicle) and is only reported for unsignalized intersections.
- 2. This represents the overall intersection LOS and delay (seconds/vehicle).
- 3. NB=Northbound, SB=Southbound, EB=Eastbound, WB=Westbound
- 4. Side-street stop control.

Source: Fehr & Peers.

As shown in **Table 11**, all study intersections operated within acceptable LOS (LOS D or better), with the exception of the following locations:

- Deer Valley Drive East / Solamere Drive: LOS F in the PM peak hour
 - This is caused by the queues at the stop-controlled westbound approach at the Deer Valley
 Drive East / Deer Valley Drive West intersection extending past Solamere Drive, making it
 difficult for the southbound vehicles to turn onto Deer Valley Drive East.
- <u>Deer Valley Drive East / Deer Valley Drive West</u>: LOS F in the PM peak hour



- This is caused by the high traffic volumes exiting the Deer Valley Resort area making a
 westbound right turn onto Deer Valley Drive. The westbound approach is stop-controlled,
 making it difficult for vehicles to find a gap and turn onto Deer Valley Drive West.
- Deer Valley Drive / Bonanza Drive: LOS E in the PM peak hour
 - This is caused by vehicle queues spilling back to this intersection from the upstream intersection at Deer Valley Drive / Park Avenue / Empire Avenue.
- Deer Valley Drive / Park Avenue / Empire Avenue: LOS F in both AM and PM peak hours
 - This is caused by high congestion at the signal due to high volumes accessing various ski resorts and downtown Park City

It should be noted that the proposed Snow Park Village development introduces various support land uses intended to attract resort users to stay on-site after the ski resort peak hour. This will help distribute the peaking of traffic, reducing delays at the study intersections and roadways. Therefore, the results shown in **Table 11** are likely overstated.

7.3 Mitigation Measures

The Snow Park Village site plan includes realignment of the Deer Valley Drive / Deer Valley Drive East / Deer Valley Drive West intersection. The intersection is currently a "T"-intersection with free-flow movement north/south along Deer Valley Drive West / Deer Valley Drive, and a stop-control on the approach of Deer Valley Drive East. The proposed plan adds a signal at the intersection as shown in **Figure 8.** Deer Valley Drive West will serve as a primary transit and auto route to access the proposed transit hub and the main P2 parking level entrance on Doe Pass Road, and serve private vehicles accessing Royal Street and the Trail's End community. Deer Valley Drive East will serve as the secondary vehicular route to access the Snow Park drop-off/pick-up area and parking structure accesses that includes day skier spaces, hotel, and residences.

To evaluate how the study intersections would operate if driving behaviors do not change despite development, the traffic distribution of the background traffic at the Deer Valley Drive East / Deer Valley Drive West intersection was not modified, and project traffic was added. This was assumed to account for the historical use patterns and direct routes to the parking garages. This resulted in traffic splits similar to existing conditions at the Deer Valley Drive East / Deer Valley Drive West intersection with roughly 25% using Deer Valley Drive East and roughly 75% using Deer Valley Drive West inbound in the AM peak hour, and roughly 40% using Deer Valley Drive East and roughly 60% using Deer Valley Drive West outbound in the PM peak hour.



Park City has a longstanding position of not mitigating certain deficient intersections within its boundaries due to the impacts of road widening and other potential mitigations to the community. As a result, potential mitigations at the intersections of Deer Valley Drive / Park Avenue / Empire Avenue, Bonanza Drive / Monitor Drive / SR-248 were not analyzed as part of this study, and are therefore not included as recommendations. Further, deficiencies shown at the intersection of Deer Valley Drive / Bonanza Drive are not a result of project-generated trips or operations of the intersection itself; instead they stem from vehicle queues from the intersection of Deer Valley Drive / Park Avenue / Empire Avenue. As a result, mitigations at the intersection of Deer Valley Drive / Bonanza Drive are not recommended as part of this study. As stated earlier, Deer Valley Drive between the roundabout and SR-224 intersection is a UDOT facility. Any efforts to improve traffic will be led by UDOT.

The analysis results with the reconfigured Deer Valley Drive East / Deer Valley Drive West intersection are shown in **Table 12** (see Appendix for the detailed LOS report). As shown in **Table 12**, the Deer Valley Drive / Deer Valley Drive East / Deer Valley Drive West intersection operates at LOS A and LOS C in the AM and PM peak hour, respectively.

With increased traffic due to the development, the Deer Valley Drive East / Solamere Drive and Deer Valley Drive East / Queen Esther Drive intersections experience increased delays. As a mitigation, the Snow Park Village site plan includes new left-turn pockets at both the Deer Valley Drive East / Solamere Drive and Deer Valley Drive East / Queen Esther Drive intersections to improve traffic operations during peak periods and better facilitate inbound left turns, as well as a receiving lane to allow for two-stage left turns out of Solamere Drive and Queen Esther Drive.



Table 12: Opening Year 2024 plus Project Mitigated Conditions Saturday AM & PM Peak Hour Level of Service


	Intersection			Worst	Movemen	t ¹	Overall Intersection ²		
ID	Location	Period	Control	Movement ³	Delay Sec/Veh	LOS	Avg. Delay Sec/Veh	LOS	
1	Doe Pass Rd / Deer Valley Dr	AM	Signal	-	-	-	5	Α	
	East	PM	Signal	-	-	-	7	Α	
2	Doe Pass Rd / Deer Valley Dr West	AM	SSSC ⁴	NB Left	10	В	-	-	
		PM	3330	NB Left	18	C	-	-	
3	Queen Esther Dr / Deer	AM	ccc	WB Right	5	Α	-	-	
3	Valley Dr East	PM	SSSC	WB Left	10	В	-	-	
4	Deer Valley Dr East / Solamere Dr	AM	SSSC	SB Left	6	Α	-	-	
4		PM	3330	SB Left	11	В	-	-	
5	Deer Valley Dr / Deer Valley	AM	Signal	-	-	-	9	Α	
5	Dr East / Deer Valley Dr West	PM		_	-	-	22	С	
_	Deer Valley Drive / Marsac	AM	D 11 .	-	-	-	16	С	
6	Avenue	PM	Roundabout	_	-	-	16	С	
	D	AM	C' l	-	-	-	12	В	
7	Deer Valley Dr / Bonanza Dr	PM	Signal	-	-	-	76	E	
_	Deer Valley Dr / Park Ave /	AM	6: 1	-	-	-	78	E	
8	Empire Ave	PM	Signal	-	-	-	88	F	
_	Bonanza Dr / Monitor Dr /	AM	C' I	-	-	-	14	В	
9	SR-248	PM	Signal	-	-	-	22	С	


Notes:


Bold text indicates intersections operating below Park City's acceptable LOS threshold.

- 1. This represents the worst approach LOS and delay (seconds/vehicle) and is only reported for unsignalized intersections.
- 2. This represents the overall intersection LOS and delay (seconds/vehicle).
- 3. NB=Northbound, SB=Southbound, EB=Eastbound, WB=Westbound.
- 4. Side-street stop control.

Source: Fehr & Peers.











8. Future 2040 Background Conditions

The purpose of the future 2040 background conditions analysis is to evaluate the study intersections during peak travel periods under projected 2040 traffic volumes. This analysis provides a baseline condition for the year 2040, which can be used to determine future project impacts.

8.1 Traffic Volumes

Traffic volumes for 2040 were estimated using traffic counts and forecasted volumes from the Summit/Wasatch Travel Demand Model (September 2020 version) for 2040. The Summit/Wasatch Travel Demand Model shows a lower annual growth rate in the future by accounting for a higher mode split of transportation – higher usage of transit, walking, and biking than previous versions of travel demand models. The following annual growth rates used on the following roadways to project 2040 background weekday volumes as shown in **Figure 11**.

- 0.3% on Deer Valley Drive (SR-224) north of Bonanza Drive
- 0.7% on Deer Valley Drive (SR-224) south of Bonanza Drive
- 0.6% on Deer Valley Drive (SR-224) north of Marsac Avenue
- 0.9% on Deer Valley Drive (SR-224) east of Marsac Avenue
- 1.0% on Deer Valley Drive (SR-224) north of Deer Valley Drive West
- 0.8% on Deer Valley Drive (SR-224) south of Deer Valley Drive West
- 1.2% on Bonanza Drive
- 0.4% on Marsac Avenue

Based on the understanding that much of the lower Deer Valley is effectively built out, traffic volumes on Solamere Drive and Queen Esther Drive were not increased for future scenarios.

8.2 Level of Service Analysis

Using SimTraffic simulation software (for signalized and unsignalized intersections) and SIDRA software (for the roundabout) and the HCM 6 delay thresholds provided in the Introduction, future 2040 background weekday peak hour LOS was computed for each study intersection. The results of this analysis for the AM & PM peak hour are reported in **Table 13** (see Appendix for the detailed LOS report).



Table 13: Future 2040 Background Conditions Saturday AM & PM Peak Hour Level of Service

	Intersection			Worst	: Movemen	t ¹	Overall Intersection ²		
ID	Location	Period	Control	Movement ³	Delay Sec/Veh	LOS	Avg. Delay Sec/Veh	LOS	
1	Doe Pass Rd / Deer Valley Dr	AM	SSSC ⁴	-	-	-	-	-	
	East	PM	3330	-	-	-	-	-	
2	Doe Pass Rd / Deer Valley Dr West	AM	SSSC	-	-	-	-	-	
2		PM	333C	-	-	-	-	-	
_	Queen Esther Dr / Deer	AM	CCCC	WB Left	7	Α	-	-	
3	Valley Dr East	PM	SSSC	WB Left	9	Α	-	-	
	Deer Valley Dr East / Solamere Dr	AM	6666	SB Left	8	Α	-	-	
4		PM	SSSC	SB Left	15	С	-	-	
_	Deer Valley Dr / Deer Valley	AM	SSSC	WB Left	17	С	-	-	
5	Dr East / Deer Valley Dr West	PM		WB Right	112	F	-	-	
	Deer Valley Drive / Marsac	AM		-	-	-	16	С	
6	Avenue	PM	Roundabout	-	-	-	11	В	
_		AM	6. 1	-	-	-	18	В	
7	Deer Valley Dr / Bonanza Dr	PM	Signal	-	-	-	59	E	
	Deer Valley Dr / Park Ave /	AM		-	-	-	83	F	
8	Empire Ave	PM	Signal	-	-	-	90	F	
	Bonanza Dr / Monitor Dr /	AM	6	-	-	-	16	В	
9	SR-248	PM	Signal	-	-	-	28	С	

Notes:

Bold text indicates intersections operating below Park City's acceptable LOS threshold.

- 1. This represents the worst approach LOS and delay (seconds/vehicle) and is only reported for unsignalized intersections.
- 2. This represents the overall intersection LOS and delay (seconds/vehicle) and is only reported for signalized intersections and roundabouts.
- 3. NB=Northbound, SB=Southbound, EB=Eastbound, WB=Westbound
- 4. Side-street stop control.

Source: Fehr & Peers.

As shown in **Table 13**, all study intersections operated within acceptable LOS (LOS D or better), with the exception of the following locations:

- <u>Deer Valley Drive East / Deer Valley Drive West</u>: LOS F in the PM peak hour
 - This is caused by the high volumes of vehicles exiting the Deer Valley Resort area making a
 westbound right turn onto Deer Valley Drive West. The westbound approach is stopcontrolled, making it difficult for vehicles to find a gap and turn onto Deer Valley Drive West.





- Deer Valley Drive / Bonanza Drive: LOS E in the PM peak hour
 - This is caused by vehicle queues spilling back to this intersection from the upstream intersection at Deer Valley Drive / Park Avenue / Empire Avenue.
- <u>Deer Valley Drive / Park Avenue / Empire Avenue</u>: LOS F in both AM and PM peak hours
 - This is caused by high congestion at the signal due to high volumes accessing various ski resorts and downtown Park City.


8.3 Mitigation Measures

The site plan for the concept master plan for Snow Park Village shows re-alignment and signalization of the Deer Valley Drive East / Deer Valley Drive West intersection, which will alter the westbound LOS at this intersection. Therefore, Fehr & Peers does not recommend any mitigation measures for future 2040 background conditions.

FEHR PEERS









225 (150) 700 (530)



9. Future 2040 plus Project Conditions

9.1 Purpose

The purpose of the future 2040 plus project conditions analysis is to evaluate the impact of the proposed development traffic on the surrounding roadway network in the year 2040. To analyze this impact, the projected 2040 Saturday AM and PM peak hour background traffic volumes were combined with volumes generated by the conceptual development for the Saturday AM and PM peak hours. Intersection LOS analyses were then performed and compared to the results of the background traffic volumes. This comparison shows the impact of the conceptual project in 2040.

9.2 Traffic Volumes

Project-generated traffic (**Figure 7**) was added to the future 2040 background volumes (**Figure 11**) to yield "future 2040 plus project" Saturday AM and PM peak hour traffic volumes at the study intersections as shown in **Figure 12**.

9.3 Level of Service Analysis

Using SimTraffic simulation software (for signalized and unsignalized intersections) and SIDRA software (for the roundabout) and the HCM 6 delay thresholds provided in the Introduction, future 2040 plus project Saturday AM and PM peak hour LOS were computed for each study intersection for the conceptual site development. The results of the analysis are reported in **Table 14** (see Appendix for the detailed LOS report).



Table 14: Future 2040 plus Project Conditions Saturday AM & PM Peak Hour Level of Service

	Intersection			Worst	Movemen	t ¹	Overall Intersection ²		
ID	Location	Period	Control	Movement ³	Delay Sec/Veh	LOS	Avg. Delay Sec/Veh	LOS	
1	Doe Pass Rd / Deer Valley Dr	AM	Cianal	-	-	-	6	Α	
'	East	PM	Signal	-	-	-	65	E	
2	Doe Pass Rd / Deer Valley Dr	AM	SSSC ⁴	NB Left	21	C	-	-	
2	West	PM	222C.	NB Left	32	D	-	-	
3	Queen Esther Dr / Deer	AM	SSSC	WB Left	7	Α	-	-	
3	Valley Dr East	PM	222C	WB Right	>300	F	-	-	
4	Deer Valley Dr East / Solamere Dr	AM	SSSC	SB Left	10	В	-	-	
4		PM	3330	SB Right	>300	F	-	-	
_	Deer Valley Dr / Deer Valley	AM	cccc	WB Left	29	D	-	-	
5	Dr East / Deer Valley Dr West	PM	SSSC	WB Left	201	F	-	-	
_	Deer Valley Drive / Marsac	AM	D 11 .	-	-	-	26	D	
6	Avenue	PM	Roundabout	_	-	-	20	С	
_	D	AM	C:I	-	-	-	21	С	
7	Deer Valley Dr / Bonanza Dr	PM	Signal	-	-	-	99	F	
•	Deer Valley Dr / Park Ave /	AM	6: 1	-	-	-	91	F	
8	Empire Ave	PM	Signal	-	-	-	90	F	
•	Bonanza Dr / Monitor Dr /	AM	C' l	-	-	-	16	В	
9	SR-248	PM	Signal	-	-	-	32	С	

Notes

Bold text indicates intersections operating below Park City's acceptable LOS threshold.

- 1. This represents the worst approach LOS and delay (seconds/vehicle) and is only reported for unsignalized intersections.
- 2. This represents the overall intersection LOS and delay (seconds/vehicle).
- 3. NB=Northbound, SB=Southbound, EB=Eastbound, WB=Westbound
- 4. Side-street stop control.

Source: Fehr & Peers.

As shown in **Table 14**, all study intersections operated within acceptable LOS (LOS D or better), with the exception of the following locations:

- Doe Pass Road / Deer Valley Drive East: LOS E in the PM peak hour
 - The delays at this intersection stem from the queues extending from the Deer Valley Drive East / Deer Valley Drive West, causing northbound delays at this signal.
- Queen Esther Drive / Deer Valley Drive East: LOS F in the PM peak hour



- This is caused by the queues at the stop-controlled westbound approach at the Deer Valley
 Drive East / Deer Valley Drive West intersection extending past Queen Esther Drive, making it
 difficult for the southbound vehicles to turn onto Deer Valley Drive East.
- <u>Deer Valley Drive East / Solamere Drive</u>: LOS F in the PM peak hour
 - This is caused by the queues at the stop-controlled westbound approach at the Deer Valley
 Drive East / Deer Valley Drive West intersection extending past Solamere Drive, making it
 difficult for the southbound vehicles to turn onto Deer Valley Drive East.
- <u>Deer Valley Drive East / Deer Valley Drive West</u>: LOS F in the PM peak hour
 - This is caused by the high volumes of vehicles exiting the Deer Valley Resort area making a
 westbound right turn onto Deer Valley Drive West. The westbound approach is stopcontrolled, making it difficult for vehicles to find a gap and turn onto Deer Valley Drive West.
- <u>Deer Valley Drive / Bonanza Drive</u>: LOS F in the PM peak hour
 - This is caused by vehicle queues spilling back to this intersection from the upstream intersection at Deer Valley Drive / Park Avenue / Empire Avenue.
- <u>Deer Valley Drive / Park Avenue / Empire Avenue</u>: LOS F in both AM and PM peak hours
 - This is caused by congestion at the signal due to high volumes accessing various ski resorts and downtown Park City.

It should be noted that the proposed Snow Park Village development introduces various support land uses intended to attract resort users to stay on-site after the ski resort peak hour. This will help distribute the peaking of traffic, reducing delays at the study intersections and roadways. Therefore, the results shown in **Table 14** are likely overstated.

9.4 Mitigation Measures

The Snow Park Village site plan includes realignment of the Deer Valley Drive / Deer Valley Drive East / Deer Valley Drive West intersection. The intersection is currently a "T"-intersection with free-flow movement north/south along Deer Valley Drive West / Deer Valley Drive, and a stop-control on the approach of Deer Valley Drive East. The proposed plan adds a signal at the intersection, as shown in **Figure 8**. Deer Valley Drive West will serve as a primary transit and auto route to access the proposed transit hub and the main P2 parking level entrance on Doe Pass Road and serve private vehicles accessing Royal Street and the Trail's End community. Deer Valley Drive East will serve as the secondary vehicular route to access the Snow Park drop-off/pick-up area and parking structure accesses that includes day skier spaces, hotel, and residences.





To evaluate how the study intersections would operate if driving behaviors do not change despite development, the traffic distribution of the background traffic at the Deer Valley Drive East / Deer Valley Drive West intersection was not modified, and project traffic was added. This was assumed to account for the historical use patterns and direct routes to the parking garages. This resulted in traffic splits similar to existing conditions at the Deer Valley Drive East / Deer Valley Drive West intersection with roughly 25% using Deer Valley Drive East and roughly 75% using Deer Valley Drive West inbound in the AM peak hour, and roughly 40% using Deer Valley Drive East and roughly 60% using Deer Valley Drive West outbound in the PM peak hour.

Park City has a longstanding position of not mitigating certain deficient intersections within its boundaries due to the impacts of road widening and other potential mitigations to the community. As a result, potential mitigations at the intersections of Deer Valley Drive / Park Avenue / Empire Avenue, Bonanza Drive / Monitor Drive / SR-248 were not analyzed as part of this study and are therefore not included as recommendations. Further, deficiencies shown at the intersection of Deer Valley Drive / Bonanza Drive are not a result of project-generated trips or operations of the intersection itself; instead they stem from vehicle queues from the intersection of Deer Valley Drive / Park Avenue / Empire Avenue. As a result, mitigations at the intersection of Deer Valley Drive / Bonanza Drive are not recommended as part of this study. As stated earlier, Deer Valley Drive between the roundabout and SR-224 intersection is a UDOT facility. Any efforts to improve traffic will be led by UDOT.

The analysis results with the reconfigured Deer Valley Drive East / Deer Valley Drive West intersection are shown in **Table 15** (see Appendix for the detailed LOS report). As shown in **Table 15**, the Deer Valley Drive / Deer Valley Drive East / Deer Valley Drive West intersection operates at LOS B and LOS D in the AM and PM peak hour, respectively.

With increased traffic due to the development, the Deer Valley Drive East / Solamere Drive and Deer Valley Drive East / Queen Esther Drive intersections experience increased delays. As a mitigation, the Snow Park Village site plan includes new left-turn pockets at both the Deer Valley Drive East / Solamere Drive and Deer Valley Drive East / Queen Esther Drive intersections to improve traffic operations during peak periods and better facilitate inbound left turns, as well as a receiving lane to allow for two-stage left turns out of Solamere Drive and Queen Esther Drive.



Table 15: Future 2040 plus Project Mitigated Conditions Saturday AM & PM Peak Hour Level of Service


	Intersection	Worst	: Movemen	t ¹	Overall Intersection ²			
ID	Location	Period	Control	Movement ³	Delay Sec/Veh	LOS	Avg. Delay Sec/Veh	LOS
1	Doe Pass Rd / Deer Valley Dr	AM	Signal	-	-	-	5	Α
'	East	PM	Signal	-	-	-	8	Α
2	Doe Pass Rd / Deer Valley Dr	AM	SSSC ⁴	NB Left	13	В	-	-
2	West	PM	333C.	NB Left	20	С	-	-
3	Queen Esther Dr / Deer Valley Dr East	AM	5555	WB Left	6	Α	-	-
3		PM	SSSC	WB Left	11	В	-	-
	Deer Valley Dr East /	AM	cccc	SB Left	7	Α	-	-
4	Solamere Dr	PM	SSSC	SB Left	12	В	-	-
_	Deer Valley Dr / Deer Valley	AM	Signal	-	-	-	11	В
5	Dr East / Deer Valley Dr West	PM		_	-	-	44	D
	Deer Valley Drive / Marsac	AM		-	-	-	26	D
6	Avenue	PM	Roundabout	_	-	-	20	С
_	D	AM	6. 1	-	-	-	14	В
7	Deer Valley Dr / Bonanza Dr	PM	Signal	_	-	-	117	F
	Deer Valley Dr / Park Ave /	AM	6: 1	-	-	-	84	F
8	Empire Ave	PM	Signal	-	-	-	89	F
_	Bonanza Dr / Monitor Dr /	AM	6. 1	-	-	-	15	В
9	SR-248	PM	Signal	-	-	-	31	С


Notes:


Bold text indicates intersections operating below Park City's acceptable LOS threshold.

- 1. This represents the worst approach LOS and delay (seconds/vehicle) and is only reported for unsignalized intersections.
- 2. This represents the overall intersection LOS and delay (seconds/vehicle).
- 3. NB=Northbound, SB=Southbound, EB=Eastbound, WB=Westbound
- 4. Side-street stop control.

Source: Fehr & Peers.











10. Roadway Analysis

The purpose of the roadway analysis is to document the Saturday peak hour roadway volumes to determine the LOS of the internal project roadways.

10.1 Analysis Results

The roadway LOS was calculated based on planning level generalized peak hour two-way volumes for roadway capacities, as shown in **Table 16**. These volumes are published by the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) based on planning applications of the HCM and are widely used for planning level evaluation of roadway capacity. **Table 16** shows the peak hour two-way capacity estimates for a 2-lane roadway in areas over 5,000 population not in urbanized areas.

Table 16: Roadway Level of Service Peak Hour Two-Way Traffic Thresholds

Level of Service	Peak Hour Traffic Capacity Estimates
Level of Service	2 Lanes
LOS B or better	≤ 820
LOS C	821 – 1,550
LOS D	1,551 – 2,190
LOS E or worse	> 2,190

Source: Fehr & Peers, based on FDOT Generalized Peak Hour Two-Way Volumes for areas over 5,000 not in urbanized areas.

The same assumption used for previous analyses (similar traffic splits at the Deer Valley Drive East / Deer Valley Drive West intersection as current conditions) were applied for the roadway volumes.

Table 17 shows the peak hour roadway LOS analysis for each scenario. As shown in **Table 17**, all internal roadways are expected to operate at LOS C or better with the current 2-lane configuration for all scenarios.



Table 17: Snow Park Village Roadway LOS Analysis Summary

Scenario	Saturday Peak Hour	Deer Valley Dr W (S Intersection		Deer Valley Dr E (East of Y- Intersection)		
	Peak Hour	Two-Way Volume ¹	LOS	Two-Way Volume ¹	LOS	
Fullation or	AM	650	A/B	400	A/B	
Existing	PM	800	A/B	620	A/B	
Evicting plus Dyniast	AM	930	С	490	A/B	
Existing plus Project	PM	970	С	800	A/B	
Opening Veer 2024 plus Project	AM	950	С	500	A/B	
Opening Year 2024 plus Project	PM	990	С	810	A/B	
Future 2010 plus Project	AM	1,090	С	570	A/B	
Future 2040 plus Project	PM	1,130	С	920	С	

^{1.} Rounded up to the nearest 10. Source: Fehr & Peers.

Existing roadway count sheets are included in the Appendix.



11. Site Circulation Analysis

The January 2022 Transportation Analysis reported conditions at external intersections, as well as the two proposed intersections on Doe Pass Road at Deer Valley Drive East and Deer Valley Drive West, which were analyzed in SimTraffic simulation software and SIDRA software. Furthermore, microsimulation analysis was conducted to evaluate on-site circulation as part of the proposed Snow Park Village. Due to the limitations of SimTraffic software in evaluating multimodal conditions and garage access operations, VISSIM microsimulation software was used for on-site circulation analysis.

11.1.1 Conditions and Assumptions

The parameters described below were used for analysis as assumptions in the VISSIM model:

11.1.1.1 Volumes

The following high-level assumptions were used to assign volumes to individual driveways and approach routing:

- 2040 Peak-hour volumes as presented in Section 9 of this study
- Trip generation as presented in Section 4 of this study
- Assumed roughly 75%/25% split of traffic using Deer Valley Drive West versus Deer Valley Drive East inbound in the AM peak hour (current patterns)
- Assumed roughly 60%/40% split of traffic using Deer Valley Drive West versus Deer Valley Drive East outbound in the PM peak hour (current patterns)
- Proportion of parking supply by garage level

The assumed intersection and driveway volumes are shown in **Figure 13**. Note that the lane configurations shown on the figure reflect proposed conditions, except for at the P2 and P3 garage accesses, which are proposed to have flex lanes that can be ingress or egress, depending on the peak hour and volume demand.

11.1.1.2 Parking Garage Gate Transaction

Based on input received from WGI, the parking garage design and operations consultant, the following parking garage gate transaction times were assumed in the model:

- Average of 4 seconds/vehicle for entry (this was assumed for conservative results, as the development is aiming for a system that would allow free-flow entry)
- Average of 10 seconds/vehicle for exit




11.1.1.3 Pick-up/Drop-off


The following assumptions were made for the model regarding the proposed new pick-up/drop-off loop in front of Snow Park Lodge at the southern terminus of Deer Valley Drive East:

- 200 vehicles were allocated to use the pick-up/drop-off in both AM and PM peak hours
 - 100 vehicles as pick-up/drop-off
 - 50 vehicles as Transportation Network Company (TNC) users
 - 50 vehicles as Valet users

Video observations were recorded at the current Snow Park Lodge pick-up/drop-off as part of data collection for curbside and pedestrian activity in January 2022. These videos were used to observe a sample of dwell times for the pick-up/drop-off users to assist with the simulation modeling. The charts below show the dwell times for a sample of 100 vehicles and 95 vehicles in the weekend AM and PM peak hour, respectively. The AM peak hour dwell times ranged from 7 seconds to 1 hour 26 minutes 11 seconds, with a median of 1 minute 45 seconds. The PM peak hour dwell times ranged from 14 seconds to 1 hour 1 minute 9 seconds, with a median of 3 minutes 10 seconds. The VISSIM model was modified to reflect the dwell times from these samples at the proposed new pick-up/drop-off zone.







11.1.1.4 Other Considerations

To evaluate conditions under the most conservative analysis scenario, 2040 weekend AM and PM peak hours were analyzed.

11.1.2 Analysis Results

Intersection delay, Level of Service (LOS), and queueing results were evaluated in the VISSIM model at the following locations, as shown in **Figure 13**.

- 1. Doe Pass Road / P2 Parking Garage Access
- 2. Doe Pass Road / P1 Parking Garage Access
- 3. Doe Pass Road / Mobility Hub Entrance
- 4. Doe Pass Road / Mobility Hub Exit
- 5. P2 Parking Garage Access / Deer Valley Drive East
- 6. P3 Parking Garage Access / Deer Valley Drive East
- 7. P4 Parking Garage Access / Deer Valley Drive East
- 8. Snow Park Lodge Pick-up/Drop-off

The same analysis methodology (as described in the previous sections) was used for this analysis.



Table 18 below (see Appendix for the detailed LOS reports) shows the intersection delay and LOS results from the VISSIM simulation model. As shown in **Table 18**, all study intersections operate at acceptable LOS with the exception of the following locations:

- Doe Pass Road / Mobility Hub Exit: LOS E in the PM peak hour
 - This is caused by the stop control for the buses exiting the mobility hub onto Doe Pass Road.
- Snow Park Lodge Pick-up/Drop-off: LOS E in both AM and PM peak hours
 - This is caused by delays at the pick-up/drop-off zone that the VISSIM simulation has limitations in simulating efficient operations. This can likely be mitigated by efficient operations assisted by Deer Valley staff.

FEHR PEERS



Table 18: Future 2040 Plus Project Conditions Saturday AM & PM Peak Hour Level of Service Site Circulation Results

	Intersection			Worst	Movement	¹	Overall Interse	ction ²
ID	Location	Period	Control	Movement ³	Delay Sec/Veh	LOS	Avg. Delay Sec/Veh	LOS
1	Dog Dogs Dd / D2 Dayleing	AM	SSSC	EB Right	12	В	-	-
	Doe Pass Rd / P2 Parking	PM	333C	NB Left	11	В	-	-
2	Dog Page Dd / D1 Dayling	AM	SSSC	NB Left	9	Α	-	-
2	Doe Pass Rd / P1 Parking	PM	333C	NB Left	10	В	-	-
3	Doe Pass Rd / Mobility Hub	AM	cccc	WB Left	2	Α	-	-
3	Entrance	PM	SSSC	EB Right	3	Α	-	-
4	Doe Pass Rd / Mobility Hub	AM	SSSC	NB Right	33	D	-	-
4	Exit	PM	333C	NB Left	37	E	-	-
5	P2 Parking / Deer Valley Dr	AM	SSSC	EB Left	9	Α	-	-
5	East	PM	333C	EB Left	6	Α	-	-
_	P3 Parking / Deer Valley Dr	AM		SB Through	5	Α	-	-
6	East	PM	SSSC	EB Left	9	Α	-	-
	P4 Parking / Deer Valley Dr	AM	cccc	SB Through	17	С	-	-
7	East	PM	SSSC	EB Right	23	С	-	-
•	Snow Park Lodge Pick-	AM		SB Through	44	E	-	-
8	up/Drop-off	PM	-	SB Through	44	E	-	-

Notes:

Bold text indicates intersections operating below Park City's acceptable LOS threshold.

- 1. This represents the worst approach LOS and delay (seconds/vehicle) and is only reported for side-street stop controlled intersections.
- 2. This represents the overall intersection LOS and delay (seconds/vehicle) and is only reported for signalized intersections and all-way stop controlled intersections.
- 3. NB=Northbound, SB=Southbound, EB=Eastbound, WB=Westbound
- 4. Side-street stop control.

Source: Fehr & Peers.

11.1.2.1 Sensitivity Analysis


As described throughout this report, assumptions of traffic distribution at the Deer Valley Drive East / Deer Valley Drive West were made based on patterns similar to current conditions. It is likely that based on driver behavior and expectation, the actual traffic distributions will be different at the time of opening and in subsequent weeks, months, and years as preferences are established and transportation options evolve.


A sensitivity analysis shows that in the AM peak hour, the P2 access on Doe Pass Road becomes a constraint that potentially causes congestion, with inbound queues backing up onto Deer Valley Drive West under traffic conditions similar to the existing conditions (roughly 80% entering via Deer Valley Drive West).




Operations at this key driveway also depend on the transaction time for entry (assumed to be 4 seconds for the purpose of microsimulation analysis in this report, which was validated by a national parking operations consultant). As this entry transaction time is reduced due to improved technology or adjustments to when and how parking is paid for and validated, traffic distributions at the "Y" intersection have less effect on traffic operations.

To provide efficient and safe traffic circulation on-site and on the Deer Valley Drive Loop, Deer Valley and Snow Park Village will be committed to provide extensive wayfinding and traffic monitoring, especially to improve inbound operations where visitors will be informed whether to travel on Deer Valley Drive West or Deer Valley Drive East.












Table 19 (see Appendix for the detailed queue report) below shows the average maximum queue for each approach at the study intersections. The following lists locations that the average maximum queue is expected to exceed the storage length in the AM peak hour:

- Doe Pass Road / P2 Parking
 - Eastbound queues occasionally extend past the Doe Pass Road / Deer Valley Drive West intersection. This queue is caused by queue spillback beginning at the gate to enter the P2 Parking Garage Access and the high inbound volumes in the AM peak hour.
- Doe Pass Road / Mobility Hub Entrance
 - Westbound queues occasionally extend past the mobility hub exit. The average queue, however, is 1 feet, and the queue spillback is not expected to be a common occurrence.
- Doe Pass Road / Mobility Hub Exit
 - Westbound queues occasionally extend past the Doe Pass Road / Deer Valley Drive East intersection. The average queue, however, is 2 feet, and the queue spillback is not expected to be a common occurrence.

The following lists locations that the average maximum queue is expected to exceed the storage length in the PM peak hour:

- Doe Pass Road / Deer Valley Drive East
 - Eastbound queues occasionally extend past the Mobility Hub Exit. The average queue, however, is 2 feet, and the queue spillback is not expected to be a common occurrence.
- Doe Pass Road / Mobility Hub Entrance
 - Westbound queues occasionally extend past the mobility hub exit. The average queue, however, is less than 1 feet, and the queue spillback is not expected to be a common occurrence.
- Doe Pass Road / Mobility Hub Exit
 - Westbound queues occasionally extend past the Doe Pass Road / Deer Valley Drive East intersection. The average queue, however, is 2 feet, and the queue spillback is not expected to be a common occurrence.
- Deer Valley Drive East / Deer Valley Drive West



The simulation shows average maximum queues of over 500 feet for the westbound approach at the new signal. This queue however is not expected to reach the Solamere Drive intersection, especially with signal operations to assist in flushing out the heavy outbound movement via Deer Valley Drive East.



Table 19: Future 2040 Plus Project Conditions Saturday AM & PM Peak Hour Queues Site Circulation Analysis

	Intersection	Intersection						
ID	Location	Period	Approach ¹	(feet) ²				
			NB	75				
		AM	SB	175				
4			EB	100				
1	Doe Pass Rd / Deer Valley Dr East		NB	250				
		PM	SB	125				
			EB	125				
			NB	50				
		AM	EB	375				
2	Des Dess Bel / Dess Velle - De West		WB	25				
2	Doe Pass Rd / Deer Valley Dr West		NB	50				
		PM	EB	25				
			WB	75				
			NB	0				
		AM	SB	25				
2	O and Fathers De / December 19 De Foot		WB	100				
3	Queen Esther Dr / Deer Valley Dr East		NB	0				
		PM	SB	25				
			WB	100				
			SB	50				
		AM	EB	0				
4	Door Valley Du Foot / Colombus Du		WB	50				
4	Deer Valley Dr East / Solamere Dr		SB	50				
		PM	EB	0				
			WB	50				
			NB	275				
		AM	SB	300				
5	Deer Valley Dr East / Deer Valley Dr West		WB	125				
Э	Deel valley Di East / Deel valley Di west		NB	525				
		PM	SB	175				
			WB	350				
			NB	125				
6	Doe Pass Rd / P2 Parking	AM	EB	250				
			WB	0				



	Intersection	Intersection Location Period Approach ¹						
ID	Location	Period	Approach ¹	Average Maximum Queues (feet) ²				
			NB	125				
		PM	EB	75				
			WB	0				
			NB	100				
		AM	EB	0				
7	Day Barried (P1 Padity		WB	0				
7	Doe Pass Rd / P1 Parking		NB	100				
		PM	EB	0				
			WB	0				
			EB	0				
0		AM	WB	125				
8	Doe Pass Rd / Mobility Hub Entrance		EB	25				
		PM	WB	125				
			NB	150				
		AM	EB	25				
			WB	125				
9	Doe Pass Rd / Mobility Hub Exit		NB	150				
		PM	EB	25				
			WB	125				
			NB	0				
		AM	SB	25				
			EB	75				
10	P2 Parking / Deer Valley Dr East		NB	25				
		PM	SB	0				
			EB	125				
			NB	50				
		AM	SB	75				
	D2 D 1:		EB	0				
11	P3 Parking / Deer Valley Dr East		NB	75				
		PM	SB	50				
			EB	125				
			NB	0				
4.0	DAR 1: 48 - 48 - 5	AM	SB	25				
12	P4 Parking / Deer Valley Dr East		EB	100				
		PM	NB	125				



	Intersection	Average Maximum Queues		
ID	Location	Period	Approach ¹	(feet) ²
			SB	0
			EB	150
		A.N.4	NB	25
12	Consul Daylo Ladas Dielo um /Duna aff	AM	SB	100
13	Snow Park Lodge Pick-up/Drop-off	DNA	NB	225
		PM	SB	100

Notes:

- 1. NB=Northbound, SB=Southbound, EB=Eastbound, WB=Westbound
- 2. Rounded up to nearest 25'.

Source: Fehr & Peers.

It should be noted that the LOS results and queue results shown in **Table 18** and **Table 19** capture the delays and queues at the side-streets for vehicles turning onto the major road. However, it does not capture the delays and queues for vehicles experienced at the parking gate due to the assumed transaction time. The VISSIM simulation indicates that with the assumed gate transaction times, vehicles are expected to experience over 100 seconds of delay per vehicle to exit the garage in the PM peak hour, with potentially long internal queues.





12. Parking Analysis

A fundamental aspect of the Snow Park Village proposal is the implementation of a constrained, structured parking supply that will require parkers to pay a daily fee. This strategy is seen as a key disincentive to traveling in Park City by single-occupant vehicle, and aligns with the City's broader mobility goals. However, Snow Park Village proposes no reductions to the parking supply and will build to the Park City LMC requirements.

12.1 Analysis Method

For the shared parking analysis of the updated land use plan, the development is proposed to include 11 buildings which include the following land uses (taken from the land use program dated October 26, 2021):

- 30,900 square feet of ballroom/event center space
- 143 multifamily housing units
- 193 hotel rooms with 4,500 square feet of hotel support uses.
- 25,900 square feet of commercial/retail space

The development is also proposed to include the Deer Valley Ski resort and other land uses in support of the resort. It should be noted that the land uses supporting the ski resort will not be parking generators; rather, the ski resort will be the parking generator, and the support land uses serve as accessories to the resort.

In The most recent submittal (November 2022), Fehr & Peers applied reductions to the recommended parking due to paid parking and shared parking. However, Snow Park Village now proposes to build the full parking supply required by the Park City LMC. From the proposed land uses that generate parking demand as listed above, and the recommended rates from the Park City zoning code, the minimum required parking supply was calculated to be 2,236 stalls.

Table 20 outlines the number of recommended stalls with recommended rates from the Park City zoning code, and the number of stalls proposed by Snow Park Village. Parking calculations are attached in the Appendix. As shown in **Table 20**, the proposed parking supply is sufficient for the proposed land use program. It should be noted that phasing and ongoing refinement of the land use program may adjust the base parking rates and recommendations.



Table 20: Snow Park Village Parking Analysis Summary

Base Recommended Stalls	Proposed Stalls
2,236	2,262

Source: Fehr & Peers

12.2 Parking Management

An effective and efficient parking management system is essential to maintain both a high-quality user experience and to minimize traffic impacts on adjacent roadways. An essential element to improve the efficiency of structured parking is to provide real time information regarding parking availability. In addition to implementing payment technology that expedites vehicle ingress at all driveways, Deer Valley will work with relevant partners to ensure more complete information is available to parkers.

The Snow Park Parking Management Plan is included in **Attachment B.**



13. Transit Evaluation

This section includes an evaluation of existing transit service and infrastructure, proposed transit improvements, and description of how the Snow Park Village proposal aligns with Park City's *Transit First* policy.

13.1.1 Existing Transit Service

In addition to a multitude of private shuttles and buses, there are two public transit operators providing transit service to and from Deer Valley: Park City Transit and High-Valley Transit. High Valley Transit operates one route that services Deer Valley:

• 101 – Spiro / 224 Local that services Deer Valley.

Park City Transit operates six routes the service Deer Valley:

- 1 Red: Prospector Square Deer Valley
- 2 Green: Park Meadows/Thaynes Canyon Deer Valley
- 3 Blue: Thaynes Canyon/Park Meadows Deer Valley
- 5 Yellow: Prospector Square Deer Valley
- 40 Bronze: Main Street Royal Street Silver Lake Lodge
- 50 Teal: Prospector Square Deer Valley

Park City Transit Park City Transit is undergoing a short-range service plan update, with potential changes in transit service to and from Deer Valley expected in the coming year.

Local bus stops are provided along both sides of Deer Valley Drive East and Deer Valley Drive West, allowing transit riders to board buses that are Deer Valley- or Old Town-bound. At the southern end of the Deer Valley Drive loop closest to the existing Snow Park base area, there are bi-directional bus stops that can accommodate up to four buses at once. Aside from the existing bi-directional stops at Snow Park, bus stops do not include shelters. Buses providing service to Deer Valley travel in mixed traffic.

13.2 Proposed Transit Improvements

A proposed six bus-bay mobility hub at the northeast corner of Snow Park Village will provide a comfortable and appealing transit facility on-site that provides direct access to the project and relocated ski lift bases. The mobility hub will also include accommodations for cyclists and allow for electric bus charging



infrastructure. This mobility hub will allow for increased frequency of transit service which will be essential to incentivizing transit service.

To further support transit service as part of the Snow Park Village proposal, a new traffic signal with transit preemption capabilities is proposed at the Doe Pass Road / Deer Valley Drive East intersection. This will help ensure that transit vehicles accessing and exiting the proposed mobility hub with limited conflicting traffic.

Furthermore, this circulation plan includes a proposed seasonal one-way Shared Mobility Lane (SML) inbound from the Deer Valley Drive East / Deer Valley Drive West intersection along Deer Valley Drive West, accessing the mobility hub. Outbound transit traffic will have the SML which parallels general purpose traffic around the loop on Deer Valley Drive East to the Deer Valley Drive East / Deer Valley Drive West intersection. After ski season during the summer months, the SML will be open to bicycle traffic. Management, maintenance, and enforcement, year-round, will be a City responsibility.

The VISSIM simulation presented previously in chapter 11 simulates the SML and captures the impacts of the design. The simulation shows traffic circulation with minimal delays with the proposed configuration in peak ski season conditions. Because of the lack of congestion, the buses simulated in this analysis travel in near free-flow conditions. This was due to the models being calibrated to typical travel times. Bus and vehicle travel time measurements were provided by Deer Valley and Park City, which showed several outlier days with excessive travel times. However, the calibrated VISSIM model travel times were closer to the median travel times observed from the data. The Shared Mobility Lane proposed in this alternative will likely improve bus travel times in more congested conditions, such as special events, snow conditions, etc.



14. Transportation Demand Management

Park City, through its ongoing Transportation Master Plan update, has identified the laudable and ambitious goal of reducing vehicle trips by 20% throughout Park City. The City is tackling this challenge through a variety of strategies, including but not limited to the following:

- Updates to the local and regional transit system
- Coordination with partner agencies to implement greater park-and-ride capacity
- Expansion of high-quality active transportation facilities throughout Park City
- Partnerships with private developments to implement and operate comprehensive Transportation
 Demand Management (TDM) programs

Furthering the City's broader trip reduction goal, Deer Valley will continue to operate its TDM program, and expand on current offerings, to better align with the adopted PCMC TDM Plan (2016). A high-level summary of the Deer Valley TDM Plan is shown below in **Table 21**.

Table 21: Deer Valley TDM Measures

Measure	Status	Description
Transit pass subsidy	Existing Program	Subsidized UTA transit passes for Deer Valley employees living in Salt Lake Valley and Utah Valley
Bicycle Amenities and Perks	New Program	Bicycle repair tools and dedicated bicycle parking at key locations
Education and Promotion	Existing Program	Educational and promotional events to encourage travelers to use by modes other than driving alone.
Parking Management	New Program	Efficient, constrained, and priced parking to discourage drive-alone trips
Employee Transit	Existing Program	Operate designated employee transit to facilitate efficient employee commutes through an appealing alternative until such time as Park City Transit and/or High Valley Transit meets this need
Real-Time Messaging	New Program	Communicate traffic conditions in real time to travelers
Appoint a TDM Coordinator	New Program	Identify a staff member to oversee the TDM program

Source: Fehr & Peers.





14.1 TDM Monitoring

As the transportation landscape in Park City and Summit County changes, monitoring the use and effectiveness of Deer Valley's TDM program will be crucial to its success. In alignment with requests from Park City staff, Deer Valley will implement an annual monitoring program consisting of the following elements:

- One nine day period of vehicle counts at all Snow Park Village driveways, to be analyzed and summarized by a third-party consultant. This data will be analyzed and summarized by a thirdparty consultant;
- Average vehicle occupancy collected on one weekday and one weekend day, collected by a third-party vendor, to be analyzed and summarized by a third-party consultant;
- A permanent traffic count station implemented at the Deer Valley Drive / Deer Valley Drive East /
 Deer Valley Drive West intersection, installed and maintained by Deer Valley for year-round
 monitoring of traffic conditions;
- Ski season transit ridership, summarized at the stop and daily levels and provided by transit operators, to be analyzed and summarized by a third-party consultant;
- Available data regarding program utilization from the *Ride On Park City* platform, to be analyzed and summarized by a third-party consultant.

Analysis of this data will be submitted in an annual monitoring memorandum for City staff review and will be supported by semiannual coordination meetings with City staff and other major employers in Park City. This monitoring program will be used to enhance program offerings and avoid redundancy of service where public and private options overlap.

14.2 Regional Considerations

Park City Municipal Corporation has a stated goal of reducing traffic volumes by 20% from existing traffic volumes (the specific, reference time period is to-be-defined). Deer Valley has operated an effective and comprehensive TDM program for years in support of this goal, and the proposed opening of an additional portal to Deer Valley via Mayflower Resort will improve access to Deer Valley to any skiers visiting from the Wasatch Front or Back and not require a trip through Park City. While this change will not solve all of Park City's traffic challenges, it will likely divert a substantial portion of traffic destined for Deer Valley.

The Deer Valley TDM Plan is presented in full in **Attachment C**.



15. Conclusion/Recommendations

With proposed mitigations in place, all study intersections at which mitigations are feasible and supported by the community operate at acceptable levels of service under all Plus Project analysis scenarios. Through dedicated transit infrastructure, improved active transportation connections between the Project and Park City's existing active transportation network, a fully reworked parking system, and management of ongoing TDM offerings in addition to new measures, the Snow Park Village proposal aligns with the City's *Transit First* policy by encouraging travel by means other than driving alone.

Implementing a new traffic signal with transit preemption at the intersection of Doe Pass Road / Deer Valley Drive East will improve traffic operations and support transit. A new traffic signal at the reconfigured Y intersection of Deer Valley Drive / Deer Valley Drive East / Deer Valley Drive West facilitates safer and more efficient movement for all modes. Implementing an off-street, multi-use path around the Deer Valley Drive loop will improve pedestrian and cyclist connectivity adjacent to the project site. Ongoing monitoring of TDM program effectiveness will maintain City-Deer Valley cooperation in pursuit of shared goals.



Appendix

L2DataCollection.com Idaho (208) 860-7554 Utah (801) 413-2993

Study: FEHR0119

Intersection:Deer Valley/ Deer Valley N

City, State: Deer Valley, Utah

Control: Stop Sign

File Name: Deer Valley Dr & Deer Valley Dr N - D1

Site Code : Day 1 Start Date : 2/15/2020

Page No : 1

Groups Printed- General Traffic

		Deer Val	ley Drive			Deer Valle	y Drive	N					
		From No	orthwest			From	East			From So	utheast		
Start Time	Thru	Bear Left	Peds	App. Total	Bear Right	Hard Left	Peds	App. Total	Hard Right	Thru	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
07:45 AM	71	123	0	194	19	4	0	23	2	18	0	20	237
Total	71	123	0	194	19	4	0	23	2	18	0	20	237
08:00 AM	110	101	0	211	34	2	0	36	ء ا	21	1	27	274
08:15 AM	124	70	0	194	29	2 2	0	31	5 5	26	1	31	256
08:30 AM	117	55	0	172	53	10	0	63	4	29	0	33	268
08:45 AM	125	33 46	0	172	48	7	0	55 55	6	32	4	33 42	268
Total	476	272	0	748	164	21	0	185	20	108	5	133	1066
Total	470	212	U	740	104	21	U	163	20	108	3	133	1000
09:00 AM	111	35	0	146	54	7	0	61	2	31	0	33	240
09:15 AM	94	27	0	121	51	6	0	57	4	31	0	35	213
09:30 AM	77	42	0	119	55	13	0	68	4	43	0	47	234
Total	282	104	0	386	160	26	0	186	10	105	0	115	687
				4.00	l .=				۱				1
03:30 PM	81	47	0	128	67	4	0	71	13	69	0	82	281
03:45 PM	55	50	0	105	81	7	0	88	16	98	3	117	310
Total	136	97	0	233	148	11	0	159	29	167	3	199	591
04:00 PM	66	41	0	107	83	8	0	91	11	130	0	141	339
04:15 PM	46	49	6	101	73	3	0	76	18	155	0	173	350
04:30 PM	46	68	0	114	104	2	0	106	13	109	1	123	343
04:45 PM	54	58	0	112	71	5	0	76	13	91	2	106	294
Total	212	216	6	434	331	18	0	349	55	485	3	543	1326
05:00 PM	42	51	0	93	89	2	0	91	11	95	4	110	294
05:15 PM	30	55	0	85	63	4	0	67	9	78	0	87	239
Grand Total	1249	918	6	2173	974	86	0	1060	136	1056	15	1207	4440
Apprch %	57.5	42.2	0.3	2173	91.9	8.1	0	1000	11.3	87.5	1.2	1207	7770
Total %	28.1	20.7	0.3	48.9	21.9	1.9	0	23.9	3.1	23.8	0.3	27.2	

L2DataCollection.com Idaho (208) 860-7554 Utah (801) 413-2993


Study: FEHR0119 Intersection:Deer Valley/ Deer Valley N

City, State: Deer Valley, Utah

Control: Stop Sign

File Name: Deer Valley Dr & Deer Valley Dr N - D1

Site Code : Day 1 Start Date : 2/15/2020



L2DataCollection.com Idaho (208) 860-7554 Utah (801) 413-2993

Study: FEHR0119

Intersection:Deer Valley/ Deer Valley N


City, State: Deer Valley, Utah

Control: Stop Sign

File Name: Deer Valley Dr & Deer Valley Dr N - D1

Site Code : Day 1 Start Date : 2/15/2020

	Deer Valley Drive					Deer Valle	ey Drive	N					
		From N	orthwest		From East				From Southeast				
Start Time	Thru	Bear Left	Peds	App. Total	Bear Right	Hard Left	Peds	App. Total	Hard Right	Thru	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
Peak Hour Analysi	s From 0'	7:45 AM t	o 11:45 A	M - Peak 1	of 1								
Peak Hour for Entire	e Intersect	ion Begins	at 08:00	AM									
08:00 AM	110	101	0	211	34	2	0	36	5	21	1	27	274
08:15 AM	124	70	0	194	29	2	0	31	5	26	0	31	256
08:30 AM	117	55	0	172	53	10	0	63	4	29	0	33	268
08:45 AM	125	46	0	171	48	7	0	55	6	32	4	42	268
Total Volume	476	272	0	748	164	21	0	185	20	108	5	133	1066
% App. Total	63.6	36.4	0		88.6	11.4	0		15	81.2	3.8		
PHF	.952	.673	.000	.886	.774	.525	.000	.734	.833	.844	.313	.792	.973



L2DataCollection.com Idaho (208) 860-7554 Utah (801) 413-2993

Study: FEHR0119 Intersection:Deer Valley/ Deer Valley N


City, State: Deer Valley, Utah

Control: Stop Sign

File Name: Deer Valley Dr & Deer Valley Dr N - D1

Site Code : Day 1 Start Date : 2/15/2020

													_
		Deer Va	lley Drive	•		Deer Valle	ey Drive	N		Deer Val	lley Drive	•	
		From N	orthwest			Fron	ı East		From Southeast				
Start Time	Thru	Bear Left	Peds	App. Total	Bear Right	Hard Left	Peds	App. Total	Hard Right	Thru	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
Peak Hour Analysi	s From 0	7:45 AM t	o 11:45 A	M - Peak 1	of 1					·			
Peak Hour for Each	Approach	Begins at	:										_
	07:45 AM	1			08:45 AM	[08:45 AM				
+0 mins.	71	123	0	194	48	7	0	55	6	32	4	42	
+15 mins.	110	101	0	211	54	7	0	61	2	31	0	33	
+30 mins.	124	70	0	194	51	6	0	57	4	31	0	35	
+45 mins.	117	55	0	172	55	13	0	68	4	43	0	47	
Total Volume	422	349	0	771	208	33	0	241	16	137	4	157	1
% App. Total	54.7	45.3	0		86.3	13.7	0		10.2	87.3	2.5		
DITE	051	700	000	014	0.45	625	000	006	667	707	250	925	




L2DataCollection.com Idaho (208) 860-7554 Utah (801) 413-2993

Study: FEHR0119 File Name: Deer Valley Dr & Deer Valley Dr N - D1

Intersection:Deer Valley/ Deer Valley N Site Code : Day 1
City, State: Deer Valley, Utah Start Date : 2/15/2020

Control: Stop Sign Page No : 5

		Deer Val	lley Drive	;		Deer Vall	ey Drive	N		Deer Val	lley Drive	,	
		From No	orthwest		From East								
Start Time	Thru	Bear Left	Peds	App. Total	Bear Right	Hard Left	Peds	App. Total	Hard Right	Thru	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
Peak Hour Analysis	s From 12	2:00 PM to	o 05:15 P	M - Peak 1	of 1								
Peak Hour for Entire	Intersect	ion Begins	at 03:45	PM									
03:45 PM	55	50	0	105	81	7	0	88	16	98	3	117	310
04:00 PM	66	41	0	107	83	8	0	91	11	130	0	141	339
04:15 PM	46	49	6	101	73	3	0	76	18	155	0	173	350
04:30 PM	46	68	0	114	104	2	0	106	13	109	1	123	343
Total Volume	213	208	6	427	341	20	0	361	58	492	4	554	1342
% App. Total	49.9	48.7	1.4		94.5	5.5	0		10.5	88.8	0.7		
PHF	.807	.765	.250	.936	.820	.625	.000	.851	.806	.794	.333	.801	.959




L2DataCollection.com Idaho (208) 860-7554 Utah (801) 413-2993

File Name: Deer Valley Dr & Deer Valley Dr N - D1

Study: FEHR0119 Intersection:Deer Valley/ Deer Valley N Site Code : Day 1 City, State: Deer Valley, Utah Start Date : 2/15/2020

Page No : 6 Control: Stop Sign

		Deer Valley Drive				Deer Valle	y Drive	N	Deer Valley Drive				
		From No	orthwest		From East				From Southeast				
Start Time	Thru	Bear Left	Peds	App. Total	Bear Right	Hard Left	Peds	App. Total	Hard Right	Thru	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
Peak Hour Analysi	is From 12	2:00 PM to	o 05:15 P	M - Peak 1	of 1								
Peak Hour for Each	Approach	Begins at:											_
	03:30 PM	[03:45 PM				03:45 PM				
+0 mins.	81	47	0	128	81	7	0	88	16	98	3	117	
+15 mins.	55	50	0	105	83	8	0	91	11	130	0	141	
+30 mins.	66	41	0	107	73	3	0	76	18	155	0	173	
+45 mins.	46	49	6	101	104	2	0	106	13	109	1	123	
Total Volume	248	187	6	441	341	20	0	361	58	492	4	554	
% App. Total	56.2	42.4	1.4		94.5	5.5	0		10.5	88.8	0.7		
PHF	.765	.935	.250	.861	.820	.625	.000	.851	.806	.794	.333	.801	




L2DataCollection.com Idaho (208) 860-7554 Utah (801) 413-2993

File Name: Deer Valley Dr & Deer Valley Dr N - D1

Study: FEHR0119 Intersection:Deer Valley/ Deer Valley N Site Code : Day 1 City, State: Deer Valley, Utah Start Date : 2/15/2020

Control: Stop Sign Page No : 7

Image 1



L2DataCollection.com Idaho (208) 860-7554 Utah (801) 413-2993

Study: FEHR0119

Intersection:Deer Valley/ Deer Valley N

City, State: Deer Valley, Utah

Control: Stop Sign

File Name: Deer Valley Dr & Deer Valley Dr N - D2

Site Code : Day 2 Start Date : 2/29/2020

Page No : 1

Groups Printed- General Traffic


		Deer Val	ley Drive	9		Deer Valle	y Drive	N					
		From No	orthwest			From	East			From So	utheast		
Start Time	Thru	Bear Left	Peds	App. Total	Bear Right	Hard Left	Peds	App. Total	Hard Right	Thru	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
07:45 AM	53	73	0	126	21	1	0	22	2	21	0	23	171
Total	53	73	0	126	21	1	0	22	2	21	0	23	171
08:00 AM	104	59	0	163	38	0	0	38	2	19	0	21	222
08:15 AM	150	70	0	220	32	1	0	33	3	19	0	22	275
08:30 AM	160	35	0	195	36	5	0	41	5	33	0	38	274
08:45 AM	173	39	0	212	38	1	0	39	2	48	0	50	301
Total	587	203	0	790	144	7	0	151	12	119	0	131	1072
09:00 AM	144	32	0	176	50	0	0	50	5	47	1	53	279
09:15 AM	128	36	0	164	53	4	0	57	2	42	0	44	265
09:30 AM	149	35	0	184	43	5	0	48	2	31	1	34	266
Total	421	103	0	524	146	9	0	155	9	120	2	131	810
03:30 PM	66	48	0	114	103	3	1	107	10	111	0	121	342
03:45 PM	51	54	0	105	95	4	0	99	10	116	1	127	331
Total	117	102	0	219	198	7	1	206	20	227	1	248	673
04:00 PM	43	45	0	88	102	8	0	110	12	159	1	172	370
04:15 PM	63	52	0	115	76	8	2	86	9	140	0	149	350
04:30 PM	47	38	0	85	104	2	0	106	13	121	1	135	326
04:45 PM	57	61	0	118	66	2	0	68	6	97	4	107	293
Total	210	196	0	406	348	20	2	370	40	517	6	563	1339
05:00 PM	52	44	0	96	80	4	0	84	11	113	2	126	306
05:15 PM	31	49	0	80	55	1	0	56	7	89	9	105	241
Grand Total	1471	770	0	2241	992	49	3	1044	101	1206	20	1327	4612
Apprch %	65.6	34.4	0		95	4.7	0.3		7.6	90.9	1.5		
Total %	31.9	16.7	0	48.6	21.5	1.1	0.1	22.6	2.2	26.1	0.4	28.8	

L2DataCollection.com Idaho (208) 860-7554 Utah (801) 413-2993

Study: FEHR0119 Intersection:Deer Valley/ Deer Valley N File Name: Deer Valley Dr & Deer Valley Dr N - D2

Site Code : Day 2 Start Date : 2/29/2020 City, State: Deer Valley, Utah

Control: Stop Sign Page No : 2




L2DataCollection.com Idaho (208) 860-7554 Utah (801) 413-2993

Study: FEHR0119 File Name: Deer Valley Dr & Deer Valley Dr N - D2

Intersection:Deer Valley/ Deer Valley N Site Code : Day 2 City, State: Deer Valley, Utah Start Date : 2/29/2020

Control: Stop Sign Page No : 3

		Deer Val		;		Deer Valle	•	N		Deer Val	•	;	
		From No	orthwest			From	East			From So	outheast		
Start Time	Thru	Bear Left	Peds	App. Total	Bear Right	Hard Left	Peds	App. Total	Hard Right	Thru	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
Peak Hour Analysi	s From 07	7:45 AM t	o 11:45 A	M - Peak 1	of 1								
Peak Hour for Entire	Intersect	ion Begins	at 08:15	AM									
08:15 AM	150	70	0	220	32	1	0	33	3	19	0	22	275
08:30 AM	160	35	0	195	36	5	0	41	5	33	0	38	274
08:45 AM	173	39	0	212	38	1	0	39	2	48	0	50	301
09:00 AM	144	32	0	176	50	0	0	50	5	47	1	53	279
Total Volume	627	176	0	803	156	7	0	163	15	147	1	163	1129
% App. Total	78.1	21.9	0		95.7	4.3	0		9.2	90.2	0.6		
PHF	.906	.629	.000	.913	.780	.350	.000	.815	.750	.766	.250	.769	.938




L2DataCollection.com Idaho (208) 860-7554 Utah (801) 413-2993

Study: FEHR0119 Intersection:Deer Valley/ Deer Valley N File Name: Deer Valley Dr & Deer Valley Dr N - D2

Site Code : Day 2 City, State: Deer Valley, Utah Start Date : 2/29/2020

Page No : 4 Control: Stop Sign

		Deer Val	lley Drive	;		Deer Valle	y Drive	N		Deer Val	ley Drive	;	
		From No	orthwest			From	East			From So	outheast		
Start Time	Thru	Bear Left	Peds	App. Total	Bear Right	Hard Left	Peds	App. Total	Hard Right	Thru	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
Peak Hour Analysi	s From 0'	7:45 AM t	o 11:45 A	M - Peak 1	of 1								
Peak Hour for Each	Approach	Begins at:											_
	08:15 AM	1			08:45 AM	[08:30 AM				
+0 mins.	150	70	0	220	38	1	0	39	5	33	0	38	
+15 mins.	160	35	0	195	50	0	0	50	2	48	0	50	
+30 mins.	173	39	0	212	53	4	0	57	5	47	1	53	
+45 mins.	144	32	0	176	43	5	0	48	2	42	0	44	
Total Volume	627	176	0	803	184	10	0	194	14	170	1	185	
% App. Total	78.1	21.9	0		94.8	5.2	0		7.6	91.9	0.5		
PHF	.906	.629	.000	.913	.868	.500	.000	.851	.700	.885	.250	.873	




L2DataCollection.com Idaho (208) 860-7554 Utah (801) 413-2993

Study: FEHR0119 File Name: Deer Valley Dr & Deer Valley Dr N - D2

Intersection:Deer Valley/ Deer Valley N Site Code : Day 2 City, State: Deer Valley, Utah Start Date : 2/29/2020

Control: Stop Sign Page No : 5

		Deer Val	ley Drive	<u> </u>		Deer Valle	ey Drive	N		Deer Val	lley Drive	<u> </u>	
		From No	orthwest			Fron	East			From So	outheast		
Start Time	Thru	Bear Left	Peds	App. Total	Bear Right	Hard Left	Peds	App. Total	Hard Right	Thru	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
Peak Hour Analysis	s From 12	2:00 PM to	05:15 P	M - Peak 1	of 1								_
Peak Hour for Entire	Intersect	ion Begins	at 03:30	PM									
03:30 PM	66	48	0	114	103	3	1	107	10	111	0	121	342
03:45 PM	51	54	0	105	95	4	0	99	10	116	1	127	331
04:00 PM	43	45	0	88	102	8	0	110	12	159	1	172	370
04:15 PM	63	52	0	115	76	8	2	86	9	140	0	149	350
Total Volume	223	199	0	422	376	23	3	402	41	526	2	569	1393
% App. Total	52.8	47.2	0		93.5	5.7	0.7		7.2	92.4	0.4		
PHF	.845	.921	.000	.917	.913	.719	.375	.914	.854	.827	.500	.827	.941



L2DataCollection.com Idaho (208) 860-7554 Utah (801) 413-2993

File Name: Deer Valley Dr & Deer Valley Dr N - D2


Study: FEHR0119 Intersection:Deer Valley/ Deer Valley N Site Code : Day 2 City, State: Deer Valley, Utah Start Date : 2/29/2020

Control: Stop Sign Page No : 6

PHF

		Deer Va	lley Drive	;]	Deer Valle	ey Drive	N		Deer Va	lley Drive	;	
		From N	orthwest			Fron	East			From So	outheast		
Start Time	Thru	Bear Left	Peds	App. Total	Bear Right	Hard Left	Peds	App. Total	Hard Right	Thru	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
eak Hour Analysi	s From 12	2:00 PM t	o 05:15 P	M - Peak 1	of 1								
Peak Hour for Each	Approach	Begins at											_
	03:30 PM	_			03:30 PM				03:45 PM				
+0 mins.	66	48	0	114	103	3	1	107	10	116	1	127	
+15 mins.	51	54	0	105	95	4	0	99	12	159	1	172	
+30 mins.	43	45	0	88	102	8	0	110	9	140	0	149	
+45 mins.	63	52	0	115	76	8	2	86	13	121	1	135	
Total Volume	223	199	0	422	376	23	3	402	44	536	3	583	
% App. Total	52.8	47.2	0		93.5	5.7	0.7		7.5	91.9	0.5		

.847




L2DataCollection.com Idaho (208) 860-7554 Utah (801) 413-2993

Study: FEHR0119 Intersection:Deer Valley/ Deer Valley N File Name: Deer Valley Dr & Deer Valley Dr N - D2

Site Code : Day 2 City, State: Deer Valley, Utah Start Date : 2/29/2020

Control: Stop Sign Page No : 7

Image 1



L2DataCollection.com Idaho (208) 860-7554 Utah (801) 413-2993

Study: FEHR0124

Intersection: Deer Valley Dr / Marsac Av

City, State: Park City, Utah

Control: Yields

File Name : Deer Valley Dr & Marsac Ave RDBT Site Code : Saturday Start Date : 12/19/2020

Groups Printed- General Traffic	- Turns	
--	---------	--

	Deer Valley Drive Deer Valley Drive									i- Gener	arria					To C-		Ilanı (D	uses O	1)	1
			vaney om No					v aney rom Ea					sac Av om So			105		ney (B rom W		my)	
Start		FI	OIII NO	rui			F	rom Ea	ası			FI	om so	uuı			F.	rom vv	est		
Time	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
07:45 AM	1	105	141	0	247	37	2	5	0	44	3	19	0	1	23	1	3	1	0	5	319
Total	1	105	141	0	247	37	2	5	0	44	3	19	0	1	23	1	3	1	0	5	319
Total	1	103	141	U	247	37	2	3	U	44	3	19	U	1	23	1	3	1	U	3	319
08:00 AM	2	59	173	0	234	30	2	1	0	33	12	23	0	1	36	1	3	0	1	5	308
08:15 AM	3	78	171	0	252	46	3	4	0	53	12	22	0	1	35	0	3	0	2	5	345
08:30 AM	1	79	171	0	251	39	4	11	0	54	13	22	0	2	37	3	7	0	1	11	353
08:45 AM	2	74	178	0	254	55	4	6	2	67	16	26	1	3	46	3	6	1	4	14	381
Total	8	290	693	0	991	170	13	22	2	207	53	93	1	7	154	7	19	1	8	35	1387
Total	, 0	270	075	· ·	//1	170	13		_	207	1 33	,,,		,	151	,	17	•	O	33	1307
09:00 AM	3	70	140	0	213	74	4	4	2	84	8	31	0	8	47	4	3	1	6	14	358
09:15 AM	1	74	114	3	192	63	2	6	2	73	9	31	0	1	41	0	4	0	1	5	311
09:30 AM	1	66	116	0	183	75	0	2	2	79	7	35	0	0	42	0	3	1	1	5	309
Total	5	210	370	3	588	212	6	12	6	236	24	97	0	9	130	4	10	2	8	24	978
03:30 PM	5	97	155	0	257	155	2	7	0	164	18	97	0	5	120	4	0	3	0	7	548
03:45 PM	2	90	162	0	254	157	4	9	0	170	17	116	0	7	140	2	4	1	4	11	575
Total	7	187	317	0	511	312	6	16	0	334	35	213	0	12	260	6	4	4	4	18	1123
0.4.00.77.5										400			_	_			_		_		منها
04:00 PM	1	101	141	0	243	177	1	12	0	190	9	106	1	7	123	1	2	0	3	6	562
04:15 PM	1	93	129	5	228	180	3	9	1	193	16	106	1	2	125	0	3	0	2	5	551
04:30 PM	2	91	144	0	237	176	4	5	0	185	16	100	0	7	123	2	2	4	1	9	554
04:45 PM	3	83	145		231	139	3	10	0	152	16	135	1	3	155	0	4	<u>I</u>	0	5	543
Total	7	368	559	5	939	672	11	36	1	720	57	447	3	19	526	3	11	5	6	25	2210
05:00 PM	1 1	74	135	0	210	129	3	5	1	138	11	104	0	3	118	1	2	1	2	6	472
05:15 PM	1 3	95	134	0	232	168	0	4	1 2	174	15	132	1	3	151	1	2 2	1 1	4	8	565
Grand Total	32	1329	2349	8	3718	1700	41	100	12	1853	198	1105	5	54	1362	23	51	15	32	121	7054
Apprch %	0.9	35.7	63.2	0.2	3/10	91.7	2.2	5.4	0.6	1033	14.5	81.1	0.4	34 4	1302	19	42.1	12.4	26.4	141	/054
Total %	0.9	18.8	33.3	0.2	52.7	24.1	0.6	1.4	0.0	26.3	2.8	15.7	0.4	0.8	19.3	0.3	0.7	0.2	0.5	1.7	
General Traffic	32	1329	1826	8	3195	1700	41	96	12	1849	198	1105	1	54	1358	23	51	14	32	120	6522
% General Traffic	100	100	77.7	100	85.9	100	100	96	100	99.8	100	100	20	100	99.7	100	100	93.3	100	99.2	92.5
U-Turns	0	0	523	0	523	0	0	4	0	4	0	0	4	0	4	0	0	1	0	1	532
% U-Turns	0	0	22.3	0	14.1	0	0	4	0	0.2	0	0	80	0	0.3	0	0	6.7	0	0.8	7.5
/0 U-1 uillS	ı U	U	44.3	U	14.1	ı U	U	+	U	0.2	1 0	U	30	U	0.5	U	U	0.7	U	0.0	1.5

L2DataCollection.com Idaho (208) 860-7554 Utah (801) 413-2993

Study: FEHR0124


Intersection: Deer Valley Dr / Marsac Av

City, State: Park City, Utah

Control: Yields

File Name: Deer Valley Dr & Marsac Ave RDBT

Site Code: Saturday Start Date: 12/19/2020



L2DataCollection.com Idaho (208) 860-7554 Utah (801) 413-2993

Study: FEHR0124

Intersection: Deer Valley Dr / Marsac Av


City, State: Park City, Utah

Control: Yields

File Name: Deer Valley Dr & Marsac Ave RDBT

Site Code : Saturday Start Date : 12/19/2020

			Valley om No					Valley rom Ea					sac Av			To Sv	wede A Fı	lley (B om W		nly)	
Start Time	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
Peak Hour A	nalysis	From	07:45	AM to	11:45 Al	M - Pea	ak 1 of	1													
Peak Hour for	Entire	Interse	ction B	egins a	t 08:15 A	λM															
08:15 AM	3	78	171	0	252	46	3	4	0	53	12	22	0	1	35	0	3	0	2	5	345
08:30 AM	1	79	171	0	251	39	4	11	0	54	13	22	0	2	37	3	7	0	1	11	353
08:45 AM	2	74	178	0	254	55	4	6	2	67	16	26	1	3	46	3	6	1	4	14	381
09:00 AM	3	70	140	0	213	74	4	4	2	84	8	31	0	8	47	4	3	1	6	14	358
Total Volume	9	301	660	0	970	214	15	25	4	258	49	101	1	14	165	10	19	2	13	44	1437
% App. Total	0.9	31	68	0		82.9	5.8	9.7	1.6		29.7	61.2	0.6	8.5		22.7	43.2	4.5	29.5		
PHF	.750	.953	.927	.000	.955	.723	.938	.568	.500	.768	.766	.815	.250	.438	.878	.625	.679	.500	.542	.786	.943
General Traffic	9	301	641	0	951	214	15	25	4	258	49	101	1	14	165	10	19	2	13	44	1418
% General Traffic	100	100	97.1	0	98.0	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	98.7
U-Turns	0	0	19	0	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	19
% U-Turns	0	0	2.9	0	2.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1.3



L2DataCollection.com Idaho (208) 860-7554 Utah (801) 413-2993

Study: FEHR0124

Intersection: Deer Valley Dr / Marsac Av


City, State: Park City, Utah

Control: Yields

File Name: Deer Valley Dr & Marsac Ave RDBT

Site Code : Saturday Start Date : 12/19/2020

		Deer	Valley	Drive			Deer	Valley	Drive			Mar	sac Av	enue		To S	wede A	lley (B	uses O	nly)	
		Fr	om No	rth			F	rom E	ast			Fr	om So	uth			F	rom W	est		
Start Time	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
Peak Hour A	nalysis	From	07:45	AM to	11:45 A	M - Pea	ak 1 of	1													
Peak Hour for	Each A	Approac	ch Beg	ins at:																	_
	08:00 AM	I				08:45 AM	I				08:45 AM	I				08:15 AN	1				
+0 mins.	2	59	173	0	234	55	4	6	2	67	16	26	1	3	46	0	3	0	2	5	
+15 mins.	3	78	171	0	252	74	4	4	2	84	8	31	0	8	47	3	7	0	1	11	
+30 mins.	1	79	171	0	251	63	2	6	2	73	9	31	0	1	41	3	6	1	4	14	
+45 mins.	2	74	178	0	254	75	0	2	2	79	7	35	0	0	42	4	3	1	6	14	
Total Volume	8	290	693	0	991	267	10	18	8	303	40	123	1	12	176	10	19	2	13	44	
% App. Total	0.8	29.3	69.9	0		88.1	3.3	5.9	2.6		22.7	69.9	0.6	6.8		22.7	43.2	4.5	29.5		
PHF	.667	.918	.973	.000	.975	.890	.625	.750	1.000	.902	.625	.879	.250	.375	.936	.625	.679	.500	.542	.786	
General Traffic	8	290	673	0	971	267	10	18	8	303	40	123	1	12	176	10	19	2	13	44	
% General Traffic	100	100	97.1	0	98	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	
U-Turns	0	0	20	0	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
% U-Turns	0	0	2.9	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	



L2DataCollection.com Idaho (208) 860-7554 Utah (801) 413-2993

Study: FEHR0124

Intersection: Deer Valley Dr / Marsac Av


City, State: Park City, Utah

Control: Yields

File Name: Deer Valley Dr & Marsac Ave RDBT

Site Code : Saturday Start Date : 12/19/2020

			Valley om No	Drive orth				Valley rom Ea	Drive ast				sac Av om So			To Sv		lley (B com W	uses O	nly)	
Start Time	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
Peak Hour A	nalysis	From	12:00	PM to	05:15 PN	1 - Pea	k 1 of 1	1													
Peak Hour for	Entire	Interse	ction B	egins a	t 03:45 F	PM															
03:45 PM	2	90	162	0	254	157	4	9	0	170	17	116	0	7	140	2	4	1	4	11	575
04:00 PM	1	101	141	0	243	177	1	12	0	190	9	106	1	7	123	1	2	0	3	6	562
04:15 PM	1	93	129	5	228	180	3	9	1	193	16	106	1	2	125	0	3	0	2	5	551
04:30 PM	2	91	144	0	237	176	4	5	0	185	16	100	0	7	123	2	2	4	1_	9	554
Total Volume	6	375	576	5	962	690	12	35	1	738	58	428	2	23	511	5	11	5	10	31	2242
% App. Total	0.6	39	59.9	0.5		93.5	1.6	4.7	0.1		11.4	83.8	0.4	4.5		16.1	35.5	16.1	32.3		
PHF	.750	.928	.889	.250	.947	.958	.750	.729	.250	.956	.853	.922	.500	.821	.913	.625	.688	.313	.625	.705	.975
General Traffic	6	375	347	5	733	690	12	34	1	737	58	428	0	23	509	5	11	5	10	31	2010
% General Traffic	100	100	60.2	100	76.2	100	100	97.1	100	99.9	100	100	0	100	99.6	100	100	100	100	100	89.7
U-Turns	0	0	229	0	229	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	232
% U-Turns	0	0	39.8	0	23.8	0	0	2.9	0	0.1	0	0	100	0	0.4	0	0	0	0	0	10.3



L2DataCollection.com Idaho (208) 860-7554 Utah (801) 413-2993

Study: FEHR0124

Intersection: Deer Valley Dr / Marsac Av

City, State: Park City, Utah

Control: Yields


File Name: Deer Valley Dr & Marsac Ave RDBT

Site Code : Saturday Start Date : 12/19/2020

Page No : 6

			•	Drive					Drive				sac Av			To Sv		lley (B		nly)	
		Fr	om No	rth			F	rom E	ast			Fr	om So	uth			Fi	rom W	est		
Start Time	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Int. To
eak Hour A	nalysis	From	12:00	PM to	05:15 PN	1 - Pea	k 1 of 1	1													
eak Hour for	Each A	Approac	ch Begi	ins at:																	,
	03:30 PM					03:45 PM					04:30 PM					03:45 PM					
+0 mins.	5	97	155	0	257	157	4	9	0	170	16	100	0	7	123	2	4	1	4	11	
. 15		00	1/2	0	251	177	1	10	0	100	1 10	125	1	2	155	1 4	2		2	_	1

	03:30 PM					03:45 PM					04:30 PM					03:45 PM				
+0 mins.	5	97	155	0	257	157	4	9	0	170	16	100	0	7	123	2	4	1	4	11
+15 mins.	2	90	162	0	254	177	1	12	0	190	16	135	1	3	155	1	2	0	3	6
+30 mins.	1	101	141	0	243	180	3	9	1	193	11	104	0	3	118	0	3	0	2	5
+45 mins.	1	93	129	5	228	176	4	5	0	185	15	132	1	3	151	2	2	4	1	9
Total Volume	9	381	587	5	982	690	12	35	1	738	58	471	2	16	547	5	11	5	10	31
% App. Total	0.9	38.8	59.8	0.5		93.5	1.6	4.7	0.1		10.6	86.1	0.4	2.9		16.1	35.5	16.1	32.3	
PHF	.450	.943	.906	.250	.955	.958	.750	.729	.250	.956	.906	.872	.500	.571	.882	.625	.688	.313	.625	.705
General Traffic	9	381	367	5	762	690	12	34	1	737	58	471	0	16	545	5	11	5	10	31
% General Traffic	100	100	62.5	100	77.6	100	100	97.1	100	99.9	100	100	0	100	99.6	100	100	100	100	100
U-Turns	0	0	220	0	220	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
% U-Turns	0	0	37.5	0	22.4	0	0	2.9	0	0.1	0	0	100	0	0.4	0	0	0	0	0
			_															_		



L2DataCollection.com Idaho (208) 860-7554 Utah (801) 413-2993

Study: FEHR0124 Intersection: Deer Valley Dr / Marsac Av


City, State: Park City, Utah

Control: Yields

File Name : Deer Valley Dr & Marsac Ave RDBT Site Code : Saturday Start Date : 12/19/2020

Page No : 7

Image 1



L2DataCollection.com Idaho (208) 860-7554 Utah (801) 413-2993

Study: FEHR0124

Intersection: Deer Valley Dr / Bonanza

City, State: Park City, Utah

Control: Signalized

File Name: Deer Valley Dr & Bonanza Dr Site Code: Saturday

Start Date : 12/19/2020

Page No : 1

Groups Printed- General Traffic

		Bonanza				Deer Vall	•			Deer Val			
		From No	ortheast			From	South			From	West		
Start Time	Bear Right	Bear Left	Peds	App. Total	Bear Right	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Bear Left	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
07:45 AM	34	138	0	172	21	37	0	58	137	13	0	150	380
Total	34	138	0	172	21	37	0	58	137	13	0	150	380
08:00 AM	26	111	0	137	30	42	0	72	147	8	0	155	364
08:15 AM	49	115	0	164	24	60	0	84	141	14	0	155	403
08:30 AM	51	113	0	164	23	48	0	71	137	23	0	160	395
08:45 AM	40	130	0	170	32	58	0	90	137	23	0	160	420
Total	166	469	0	635	109	208	0	317	562	68	0	630	1582
09:00 AM	28	111	0	139	49	57	0	106	120	29	0	149	394
09:15 AM	22	85	0	107	27	70	0	97	112	34	0	146	350
09:30 AM	26	90	0	116	38	54	0	92	121	30	0	151	359
Total	76	286	0	362	114	181	0	295	353	93	0	446	1103
03:30 PM	23	90	0	113	146	174	0	320	120	58	0	178	611
03:45 PM	41	110	1	152	147	184	0	331	110	67	0	177	660
Total	64	200	1	265	293	358	0	651	230	125	0	355	1271
04:00 PM	25	92	0	117	155	175	0	330	119	59	0	178	625
04:15 PM	26	103	0	129	142	177	0	319	110	63	0	173	621
04:30 PM	31	94	0	125	176	182	0	358	99	50	0	149	632
04:45 PM	17	86	0	103	130	166	0	296	121	44	0	165	564
Total	99	375	0	474	603	700	0	1303	449	216	0	665	2442
05:00 PM	21	81	0	102	136	171	0	307	110	41	0	151	560
05:15 PM	16	93	0	109	139	141	0	280	136	38	0	174	563
Grand Total	476	1642	1	2119	1415	1796	0	3211	1977	594	0	2571	7901
Apprch %	22.5	77.5	0		44.1	55.9	0		76.9	23.1	0		
Total %	6	20.8	0	26.8	17.9	22.7	0	40.6	25	7.5	0	32.5	

L2DataCollection.com Idaho (208) 860-7554 Utah (801) 413-2993

Study: FEHR0124

Intersection: Deer Valley Dr / Bonanza


City, State: Park City, Utah

Control: Signalized

File Name: Deer Valley Dr & Bonanza Dr Site Code: Saturday

Start Date : 12/19/2020

Page No : 2



L2DataCollection.com Idaho (208) 860-7554 Utah (801) 413-2993

Study: FEHR0124

Intersection: Deer Valley Dr / Bonanza

City, State: Park City, Utah


Control: Signalized

File Name: Deer Valley Dr & Bonanza Dr Site Code: Saturday

Start Date : 12/19/2020

Page No : 3

		Bonana	za Drive			Deer Val	ley Drive			Deer Val	lley Drive		
		From N	ortheast			From	South			Fron	ı West		
Start Time	Bear Right	Bear Left	Peds	App. Total	Bear Right	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Bear Left	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
Peak Hour Analysis	From 07:4	45 AM to 1	1:45 AM	- Peak 1 of 1									
Peak Hour for Entire	Intersection	n Begins at	08:15 AM	[
08:15 AM	49	115	0	164	24	60	0	84	141	14	0	155	403
08:30 AM	51	113	0	164	23	48	0	71	137	23	0	160	395
08:45 AM	40	130	0	170	32	58	0	90	137	23	0	160	420
09:00 AM	28	111	0	139	49	57	0	106	120	29	0	149	394
Total Volume	168	469	0	637	128	223	0	351	535	89	0	624	1612
% App. Total	26.4	73.6	0		36.5	63.5	0		85.7	14.3	0		
PHF	.824	.902	.000	.937	.653	.929	.000	.828	.949	.767	.000	.975	.960



L2DataCollection.com Idaho (208) 860-7554 Utah (801) 413-2993

Study: FEHR0124

Intersection: Deer Valley Dr / Bonanza


City, State: Park City, Utah

Control: Signalized

File Name: Deer Valley Dr & Bonanza Dr Site Code: Saturday

Start Date : 12/19/2020 Page No : 4

		Bonanz	a Drive			Deer Val	ley Drive	:		Deer Val	lley Drive		
		From N	ortheast			From	South			Fron	1 West		
Start Time	Bear Right	Bear Left	Peds	App. Total	Bear Right	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Bear Left	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
Peak Hour Analysis	From 07:	45 AM to 1	1:45 AM	- Peak 1 of 1									
Peak Hour for Each A	Approach B	egins at:											
	07:45 AN	1			08:45 AM				08:00 AM	1			
+0 mins.	34	138	0	172	32	58	0	90	147	8	0	155	
+15 mins.	26	111	0	137	49	57	0	106	141	14	0	155	
+30 mins.	49	115	0	164	27	70	0	97	137	23	0	160	
+45 mins.	51	113	0	164	38	54	0	92	137	23_	0	160	
Total Volume	160	477	0	637	146	239	0	385	562	68	0	630	
% App. Total	25.1	74.9	0		37.9	62.1	0		89.2	10.8	0		
PHF	.784	.864	.000	.926	.745	.854	.000	.908	.956	.739	.000	.984	



L2DataCollection.com Idaho (208) 860-7554 Utah (801) 413-2993

Study: FEHR0124

Intersection: Deer Valley Dr / Bonanza

City, State: Park City, Utah


Control: Signalized

File Name: Deer Valley Dr & Bonanza Dr Site Code: Saturday

Start Date : 12/19/2020

Page No : 5

		Bonanz	za Drive			Deer Val	ley Drive			Deer Val	lley Drive		
		From N	ortheast			From	South			From	ı West		
Start Time	Bear Right	Bear Left	Peds	App. Total	Bear Right	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Bear Left	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
Peak Hour Analysis	From 12:0	00 PM to 0	5:15 PM -	Peak 1 of 1									
Peak Hour for Entire	Intersection	n Begins at	03:45 PM										
03:45 PM	41	110	1	152	147	184	0	331	110	67	0	177	660
04:00 PM	25	92	0	117	155	175	0	330	119	59	0	178	625
04:15 PM	26	103	0	129	142	177	0	319	110	63	0	173	621
04:30 PM	31	94	0	125	176	182	0	358	99	50	0	149	632
Total Volume	123	399	1	523	620	718	0	1338	438	239	0	677	2538
% App. Total	23.5	76.3	0.2		46.3	53.7	0		64.7	35.3	0		
PHF	.750	.907	.250	.860	.881	.976	.000	.934	.920	.892	.000	.951	.961



L2DataCollection.com Idaho (208) 860-7554 Utah (801) 413-2993

Study: FEHR0124 Intersection: Deer Valley Dr / Bonanza

City, State: Park City, Utah


Control: Signalized

File Name: Deer Valley Dr & Bonanza Dr Site Code: Saturday

Start Date : 12/19/2020

Page No : 6

		Bonanz	za Drive			Deer Va	lley Drive			Deer Val	lley Drive		
		From N	ortheast			From	South			Fron	ı West		
Start Time	Bear Right	Bear Left	Peds	App. Total	Bear Right	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Bear Left	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
Peak Hour Analysis	From 12:	00 PM to 0	5:15 PM -	- Peak 1 of 1									
Peak Hour for Each A	Approach B	Begins at:											
	03:45 PM	ſ			03:45 PM				03:30 PM	I			
+0 mins.	41	110	1	152	147	184	0	331	120	58	0	178	
+15 mins.	25	92	0	117	155	175	0	330	110	67	0	177	
+30 mins.	26	103	0	129	142	177	0	319	119	59	0	178	
+45 mins.	31	94	0	125	176	182	0	358	110	63	0	173	
Total Volume	123	399	1	523	620	718	0	1338	459	247	0	706	
% App. Total	23.5	76.3	0.2		46.3	53.7	0		65	35	0		
PHF	750	907	250	860	881	976	000	934	956	922	000	992	



L2DataCollection.com Idaho (208) 860-7554 Utah (801) 413-2993

Study: FEHR0124 Intersection: Deer Valley Dr / Bonanza

City, State: Park City, Utah


Control: Signalized

File Name: Deer Valley Dr & Bonanza Dr Site Code: Saturday

Start Date : 12/19/2020

Page No : 7

Image 1





Intersection:

Deer Valley Drive East/Queen Esther Drive North/South: Deer Valley Drive East **Queen Esther Drive**

East/West: Jurisdiction: **Park City**

Project Title: **Snow Park Development** Project No: UT20-2245

Weather:

Day of Week Adjustment: **Month of Year Adjustment:** Adjustment Station #:

3-3-22, Thu 100.0% 100.0%

Growth Rate: Number of Years: 0.0%

N

9:00-10:00 9:15-9:30 AM PEAK HOUR PERIOD: AM PEAK 15 MINUTE PERIOD: AM PHF: 0.99

NOON PEAK HOUR PERIOD: NOON PEAK 15 MINUTE PERIOD:

NOON PHF:

####

0

0 N/A

PM PEAK HOUR PERIOD: PM PEAK 15 MINUTE PERIOD: PM PHF:

15:00-16:00 15:15-15:30 0.81

Queen Esther Drive

N/A

N/A


N/A

N/A

0


0

0




Deer Valley Drive East

0 Total Enterning Vehicles



Queen Esther Drive





0 0 30

142

#VALUE!

204



Legend

AM Noon

RAW	Dec		y Drive I	East	De		y Drive	East	Q		ther Dri	ive	(sther Driv	/e	
COUNT			bound			Sout	hbound				bound			Wes	tbound		
SUMMARIES	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	
M PERIOD COUN	ITS																
<u>Period</u>	<u>A</u>	<u>B</u>	<u>C</u>	D	<u>E</u>	<u> </u>	<u>G</u>	<u>H</u>	I	<u> </u>	<u>K</u>	L	<u>M</u>	<u>N</u>	0	<u>P</u>	TOTA
8:00-8:15	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	4	0	13
8:15-8:30	0	0	8	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	0	13	0	37
8:30-8:45	0	0	6	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	11	0	28
8:45-9:00	0	0	2	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	9	0	32
9:00-9:15	0	0	5	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	14	0	35
9:15-9:30	0	0	5	0	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	10	0	36
9:30-9:45	0	0	2	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	18	0	36
9:45-10:00	0	0	5	0	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	13	0	35
OON PERIOD CO	OUNTS																
Period	<u>A</u>	<u>B</u>	<u>C</u>	D	<u>E</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>G</u>	<u>H</u>	I	<u>J</u>	<u>K</u>	<u>L</u>	M	N	<u>0</u>	<u>P</u>	TOTA
14:00-14:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14:15-14:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14:30-14:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14:45-15:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15:00-15:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15:15-15:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15:30-15:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15:45-14:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
M PERIOD COUN	ITS																
<u>Period</u>	<u> </u>	<u>B</u>	<u>C</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>E</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>G</u>	<u>H</u>	<u>I</u>	<u> </u>	<u>K</u>	L	<u>M</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>o</u>	<u>P</u>	TOTA
14:30-14:45	0	0	9	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	14	0	37
14:45-15:00	0	0	5	0	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	17	0	46
15:00-15:15	0	0	5	0	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	18	0	49
15:15-15:30	0	0	9	0	26	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	0	17	0	63
15:30-15:45	0	0	6	0	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	9	0	42
15:45-16:00	0	0	10	0	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	16	0	50
16:00-16:15	0	0	5	0	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	13	0	40
16:15-16:30	0	0	7	0	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	8	0	44



Intersection:

Deer Valley Drive East/Queen Esther Drive North/South: Deer Valley Drive East East/West: **Queen Esther Drive**

Jurisdiction: **Park City** Project Title: **Snow Park Development**

Project No: UT20-2245

Weather:

3-4-22, Fri 100.0% Day of Week Adjustment: 100.0%

Month of Year Adjustment: Adjustment Station #: **Growth Rate:**

0.0%

N

Number of Years:

8:45-9:45 8:45-9:00 AM PEAK HOUR PERIOD: AM PEAK 15 MINUTE PERIOD: AM PHF: 0.77

NOON PEAK HOUR PERIOD: NOON PEAK 15 MINUTE PERIOD:

NOON PHF:

####

0

0 N/A

PM PEAK HOUR PERIOD: PM PEAK 15 MINUTE PERIOD: PM PHF:

15:30-16:30 16:00-16:15 0.94

Queen Esther Drive

N/A


N/A

N/A

0


0

0






Deer Valley Drive East







Legend AM

Noon

RAW	Dec		y Drive I	East	De		y Drive	East	Q		sther Dri	ive	(sther Driv	/e	
COUNT			bound			Sout	hbound				bound			Wes	tbound		
SUMMARIES	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	
M PERIOD COUN	NTS																
<u>Period</u>	<u>A</u>	<u>B</u>	<u>C</u>	D	<u>E</u>	<u> </u>	<u>G</u>	<u>H</u>	I	<u> </u>	<u>K</u>	L	<u>M</u>	N	0	<u>P</u>	TOTA
8:00-8:15	0	0	4	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	18	0	37
8:15-8:30	0	0	3	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	9	0	33
8:30-8:45	0	0	5	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	10	0	32
8:45-9:00	0	0	2	0	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	0	20	0	51
9:00-9:15	0	0	5	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	9	0	26
9:15-9:30	0	0	6	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	10	0	31
9:30-9:45	0	0	4	0	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	0	15	0	50
9:45-10:00	0	0	10	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	15	0	42
OON PERIOD CO	DUNTS																
Period	<u>A</u>	В	<u>C</u>	D	E	F	G	<u>H</u>	Ī	J	<u>K</u> 0	Ŀ	M	N	0	<u>P</u>	TOTA
14:00-14:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0
14:15-14:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14:30-14:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14:45-15:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15:00-15:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15:15-15:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15:30-15:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15:45-14:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
M PERIOD COUN	ITS																
<u>Period</u>	<u>A</u>	<u>B</u>	<u>C</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>E</u>	<u> </u>	<u>G</u>	<u>H</u>	<u>I</u>	<u> J</u>	<u>K</u>	<u>L</u>	<u>M</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>o</u>	<u>P</u>	TOTA
14:30-14:45	0	0	6	0	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	9	0	34
14:45-15:00	0	0	8	0	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	23	0	56
15:00-15:15	0	0	8	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	18	0	45
15:15-15:30	0	0	8	0	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	15	0	46
15:30-15:45	0	0	2	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	21	0	41
15:45-16:00	0	0	7	0	26	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	15	0	51
16:00-16:15	0	0	7	0	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	20	0	52
16:15-16:30	0	0	10	0	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	14	0	52



Intersection:

Deer Valley Drive East/Queen Esther Drive North/South: Deer Valley Drive East **Queen Esther Drive**

East/West: Jurisdiction: **Park City**

Snow Park Development Project Title: Project No: UT20-2245

Weather:

Day of Week Adjustment: **Month of Year Adjustment:**

Adjustment Station #: **Growth Rate:**

Number of Years:

3-5-22, Sat 100.0% 100.0% 0.0%

8:45-9:45 8:45-9:00 AM PEAK HOUR PERIOD: AM PEAK 15 MINUTE PERIOD: AM PHF: 0.86

NOON PEAK HOUR PERIOD: NOON PEAK 15 MINUTE PERIOD:


NOON PHF:

####

PM PEAK HOUR PERIOD: PM PEAK 15 MINUTE PERIOD: PM PHF:


15:30-16:30 16:15-16:30 0.68






Deer Valley Drive East

0





Queen Esther Drive




0 37

0

128

#VALUE!

179



Legend

AM Noon

RAW	Dec		Drive E	ast	De		y Drive I	ast	Q		ther Dri	ve	(•	sther Driv	re	
COUNT			bound				nbound				oound				tbound		
SUMMARIES	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	
AM PERIOD COUN	ITS																
<u>Period</u>	<u>A</u>	<u>B</u>	<u>C</u>	D	<u>E</u>	E	<u>G</u>	<u>H</u>	I	<u> 1</u>	<u>K</u>	L	<u>M</u>	N	0	<u>P</u>	TOTAL
8:00-8:15	0	0	6	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	4	0	24
8:15-8:30	0	0	1	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	4	0	22
8:30-8:45	0	0	7	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	10	0	33
8:45-9:00	0	0	5	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	10	0	37
9:00-9:15	0	0	4	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	10	0	29
9:15-9:30	0	0	3	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	9	0	26
9:30-9:45	0	0	3	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	19	0	36
9:45-10:00	0	0	4	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	9	0	30
OON PERIOD CO	UNTS																
Period	A	<u>B</u>	<u>C</u>	D	<u>E</u>	F	G	<u>H</u>	I	J	<u>K</u> 0	L	М	N	0	<u>P</u>	TOTAL
14:00-14:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0
14:15-14:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14:30-14:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14:45-15:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15:00-15:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15:15-15:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15:30-15:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15:45-14:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
M PERIOD COUN									-								
Period	A	<u>B</u>	<u>ç</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>E</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>G</u>	H	<u>I</u>	<u> </u>	<u>K</u> 0	<u>L</u>	<u>M</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>o</u>	<u>P</u>	TOTAL
14:30-14:45	0	0	7	0	23	0	0	0	0	0		0	4	0	10	0	44
14:45-15:00	0	0	4	0	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	/	0	15 7	0	42
15:00-15:15	0	0	2	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	,	0	24
15:15-15:30	0	0	4	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	11 9	0	29 36
15:30-15:45	"	0	6	0	14	•	•	0	0	0	0	•	/	0	-	0	
15:45-16:00	0	0	5	0	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	11	0	34
16:00-16:15	0	0	11 15	0	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	10 17	0	43 66
16:15-16:30	0	0	15	0	31	0	0	0	0	U	0	0	3	0	1/	0	66



Intersection:

Solamere Drive/Deer Valley Drive East North/South: Solamere Drive East/West: **Deer Valley Drive East**

Jurisdiction: **Park City**

Snow Park Development Project Title: UT20-2245

Project No: Weather:

3-3-22, Thu 100.0% Day of Week Adjustment: **Month of Year Adjustment:** 100.0%

Adjustment Station #: **Growth Rate:**

Number of Years:

0.0%

8:30-9:30 8:30-8:45 AM PEAK HOUR PERIOD: AM PEAK 15 MINUTE PERIOD: 0.83

AM PHF:

NOON PEAK HOUR PERIOD: NOON PEAK 15 MINUTE PERIOD:


NOON PHF:

####

PM PEAK HOUR PERIOD: PM PEAK 15 MINUTE PERIOD: PM PHF:


15:30-16:30 15:30-15:45 0.96






Total Enterning Vehicles

0





0

0 N/A




0 0

120

#VALUE!

184



Legend

AM Noon

RAW		Solame					ere Drive	:	De		y Drive I	ast	De		y Drive E	ast	
COUNT			bound				nbound				oound				tbound		
SUMMARIES	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	
AM PERIOD COUN	ITS																
<u>Period</u>	<u>A</u>	<u>B</u>	<u>C</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>E</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>G</u>	<u>H</u>	Ī	<u> </u>	<u>K</u>	<u>L</u>	<u>M</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>P</u>	TOTAL
8:00-8:15	0	0	0	0	4	0	7	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	22
8:15-8:30	0	0	0	0	2	0	10	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	23
8:30-8:45	0	0	0	0	2	0	24	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	36
8:45-9:00	0	0	0	0	4	0	12	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	28
9:00-9:15	0	0	0	0	3	0	12	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	27
9:15-9:30	0	0	0	0	4	0	10	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	29
9:30-9:45	0	0	0	0	1	0	10	0	17	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	31
9:45-10:00	0	0	0	0	4	0	8	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	25
OON PERIOD CO	UNTS																
Period	A	В	С	D	E	<u>F</u>	G	<u>H</u>	I	J	K	L	M	N	0	<u>P</u>	TOTAL
14:00-14:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14:15-14:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14:30-14:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14:45-15:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15:00-15:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15:15-15:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15:30-15:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15:45-14:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
M PERIOD COUN																	
Period 15	A	<u>B</u>	<u>c</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>E</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>G</u>	<u>H</u>	<u>I</u>	<u>J</u>	<u>K</u>	<u>L</u>	<u>M</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>o</u>	<u>P</u>	TOTAL
14:30-14:45	0	0	0	0	3	0	23	0	16	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	48
14:45-15:00	0	0	0	0	2	0	17	0	16	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	39
15:00-15:15	0	0	0	0	1	0	20	0	19	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	43
15:15-15:30	0	0	0	0	5 3	0	17	0	18	0	0	0	0	0	/	0	47
15:30-15:45	0	0	0	0	_	U	24	0	14	•	•	0	U	0	/	0	48
15:45-16:00	0	0	0	0	2	0	15	0	25	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	44
16:00-16:15 16:15-16:30	0	0	0	0	5 0	0	15 17	0	20 24	0	0	0	0	0	4 7	0	44 48
10:12-10:30	U	U	U	U	U	U	1/	U	24	U	U	U	U	U	/	U	48



Intersection:

Solamere Drive/Deer Valley Drive East North/South: Solamere Drive East/West: **Deer Valley Drive East**

Jurisdiction: **Park City**

Snow Park Development Project Title: Project No: UT20-2245

Weather:

Day of Week Adjustment: **Month of Year Adjustment:**

Adjustment Station #: **Growth Rate:**

Number of Years:

3-4-22, Fri 100.0% 100.0%

0.0%

8:45-9:45 9:15-9:30 AM PEAK HOUR PERIOD: AM PEAK 15 MINUTE PERIOD: AM PHF: 0.93

NOON PEAK HOUR PERIOD: NOON PEAK 15 MINUTE PERIOD:

NOON PHF:

####

PM PEAK HOUR PERIOD: PM PEAK 15 MINUTE PERIOD: PM PHF:

15:30-16:30 15:30-15:45 0.89


57 24 N/A


I N/A I N/A I N/A I

Total Enterning Vehicles


Solamere Drive

0





0 N/A




0 0 0

149

#VALUE!

218



Legend

AM Noon

RAW			re Drive)			ere Drive	2	De		y Drive	East	De		y Drive E	ast	
COUNT SUMMARIES	1.0		bound	D. d.	1.0		hbound	D. d.	1.0		bound	D. d.	1.0		tbound	Dada	
SUMMARIES	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	
M PERIOD COUN	ITS																
<u>Period</u>	<u>A</u>	<u>B</u>	<u>c</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>E</u>	<u> </u>	<u>G</u>	<u>H</u>	I	<u>]</u>	<u>K</u>	<u>L</u>	<u>M</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>P</u>	TOTA
8:00-8:15	0	0	0	0	2	0	6	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	15
8:15-8:30	0	0	0	0	5	0	13	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	29
8:30-8:45	0	0	0	0	7	0	8	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	26
8:45-9:00	0	0	0	0	8	0	11	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	37
9:00-9:15	0	0	0	0	6	0	13	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	33
9:15-9:30	0	0	0	0	4	0	17	0	16	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	40
9:30-9:45	0	0	0	0	6	0	16	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	39
9:45-10:00	0	0	0	0	4	0	19	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	35
OON PERIOD CO	UNTS																
Period	A	В	C	<u>D</u> 0	E	<u>F</u>	G	<u>H</u>	I	J	<u>K</u> 0	L	M	N	0	<u>P</u>	TOTA
14:00-14:15	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0
14:15-14:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14:30-14:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14:45-15:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15:00-15:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15:15-15:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15:30-15:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15:45-14:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
M PERIOD COUN	ITS																
<u>Period</u>	<u>A</u>	<u>B</u>	<u>c</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>E</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>G</u>	<u>H</u>	<u>I</u>	<u> J</u>	<u>K</u>	<u>L</u>	<u>M</u>	N	<u>o</u>	<u>P</u>	TOTA
14:30-14:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	21	0	15	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	37
14:45-15:00	0	0	0	0	6	0	11	0	20	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	38
15:00-15:15	0	0	0	0	2	0	16	0	17	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	42
15:15-15:30	0	0	0	0	4	0	15	0	19	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	39
15:30-15:45	0	0	0	0	5	0	24	0	20	0	0	0	0	0	12	0	61
15:45-16:00	0	0	0	0	3	0	16	0	17	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	41
16:00-16:15	0	0	0	0	4	0	21	0	21	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	54
16:15-16:30	0	0	0	0	5	0	19	0	29	0	0	0	0	0	9	0	62



Intersection:

Solamere Drive/Deer Valley Drive East North/South: Solamere Drive East/West: **Deer Valley Drive East**

Jurisdiction: **Park City**

Snow Park Development Project Title: Project No: UT20-2245

Weather:

Day of Week Adjustment: **Month of Year Adjustment:**

Adjustment Station #: **Growth Rate:**

Number of Years:

100.0% 0.0%

3-5-22, Sat 100.0%

9:00-10:00 9:45-10:00 AM PEAK HOUR PERIOD: AM PEAK 15 MINUTE PERIOD: AM PHF: 0.76


NOON PEAK HOUR PERIOD: NOON PEAK 15 MINUTE PERIOD:

NOON PHF:


####


15:30-16:30 PM PEAK HOUR PERIOD: 15:30-15:45 PM PEAK 15 MINUTE PERIOD: PM PHF:

1.29 N/A



Total Enterning Vehicles





0 N/A



0 0 0

127

#VALUE!

176



Legend

AM Noon

RAW COUNT			re Drive				ere Drive	:	De		y Drive I	East	De		y Drive E	ast	
SUMMARIES	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	
001111111111111111111111111111111111111	20.0		ragne	. cus	20.0	11110	ragine	. 000	20.0		ragne		20.0	11114	ragne	. 000	
M PERIOD COUN	ITS																
<u>Period</u>	<u>A</u>	<u>B</u>	<u>C</u>	D	<u>E</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>G</u>	<u>H</u>	<u>I</u>	<u> </u>	<u>K</u>	L	<u>M</u>	N	<u>0</u>	<u>P</u>	TOTAL
8:00-8:15	0	0	0	0	2	0	12	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	20
8:15-8:30	0	0	0	0	5	0	6	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	17
8:30-8:45	0	0	0	0	9	0	15	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	32
8:45-9:00	0	0	0	0	6	0	15	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	31
9:00-9:15	0	0	0	0	5	0	4	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	19
9:15-9:30	0	0	0	0	1	0	12	0	13	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	31
9:30-9:45	0	0	0	0	8	0	9	0	14	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	35
9:45-10:00	0	0	0	0	3	0	22	0	14	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	42
OON PERIOD CO	UNTS																
Period	A	<u>B</u>	<u>C</u>	<u>D</u> 0	<u>E</u> 0	<u>F</u>	G	<u>H</u>	I	J	<u>K</u> 0	<u>L</u>	M	N	0	<u>P</u>	TOTA
14:00-14:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14:15-14:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14:30-14:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14:45-15:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15:00-15:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15:15-15:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15:30-15:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15:45-14:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
M PERIOD COUN	TS																
<u>Period</u>	<u>A</u>	<u>B</u>	<u>C</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>E</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>G</u>	<u>H</u>	<u>I</u>	<u> </u>	<u>K</u>	L	<u>M</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>o</u>	<u>P</u>	TOTAL
14:30-14:45	0	0	0	0	4	0	9	0	17	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	36
14:45-15:00	0	0	0	0	3	0	12	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	19
15:00-15:15	0	0	0	0	3	0	8	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	25
15:15-15:30	0	0	0	0	2	0	11	0	16	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	30
15:30-15:45	0	0	0	0	2	0	11	0	17	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	34
15:45-16:00	0	0	0	0	5	0	13	0	20	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	48
16:00-16:15	0	0	0	0	3	0	15	0	25	0	0	0	0	0	9	0	52
16:15-16:30	0	0	0	0	2	0	18	0	21	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	42

Study: FEHR0119 Type: Volume / Direction Tech: Judd / Mosdell / Anderson L2DataCollection.com Idaho (208) 860-7554 Utah (801) 413-2993

Count: Axle Hits / 2

Date End: 15-Feb-20

Deer Valley Dr E of the DV Dr Split Intersect VOL D1

DV Dr east of the DV Dr Split Intersect

Deer Valley, Idaho

Deer Valley, Idaho Site Code: Day 1

Date Start: 15-Feb-20

Start Time	15-Feb-20 Sat	WB	EB	Total
12:00 AM		*	*	*
12:15		10	8	18
12:30		6	6	12
12:45		6	7	13
01:00		4	2	6
01:15		0	1	1
01:30		3	4	7
01:45		3	6	9
02:00		1	4	5
02:15		0	2	5 2
02:30		0	0	0
02:45		0	0	0
03:00		0	1	1
03:15		1	0	1
03:30		2	0	2
03:45		0	0	0
04:00		0	0	0
04:15		1	1	2
04:30		1	0	1
04:45		1	0	1
05:00		0	0	0
05:15		1	2	3
05:30		3	0	3
05:45		1	3	3 4
06:00		0	8	8
06:15		3	1	4
06:30		3	16	19
06:45		9	30	39
07:00		14	38	52
07:15		15	60	75
07:30		22	94	116
07:45		22	127	149
08:00		32	106	138
08:15		29	64	93
08:30		54	62	116
08:45		48	52	100
09:00		56	32	88
09:15		51	26	77
09:30		65	46	111
09:45		68	36	104
10:00		66	29	95
10:15		42	29	71
10:30		61	46	107
10:45		56	36	92
11:00		52	38	90
11:15		54	38	92
11:30		60	34	94
11:45		55	33	88
Total		981	1128	2109
Percent		46.5%	53.5%	
Peak	-	09:15	07:30	07:30
Vol.	-	250	391	496
P.H.F.		0.919	0.770	0.832

Study: FEHR0119 Type: Volume / Direction Tech: Judd / Mosdell / Anderson L2DataCollection.com Idaho (208) 860-7554 Utah (801) 413-2993

Count: Axle Hits / 2

Date End: 15-Feb-20

Deer Valley Dr E of the DV Dr Split Intersect VOL D1

DV Dr east of the DV Dr Split Intersect

Deer Valley, Idaho

Deer Valley, Idaho Site Code: Day 1

Date Start: 15-Feb-20

Start Time	15-Feb-20 Sat W	/B	EB	Total
12:00 PM		90	44	134
12:15		53	30	83
12:30		58	36	94
12:45		84	34	118
01:00		50	50	100
01:15		66	38	104
01:30		48	45	93
01:45		62	40	102
02:00		75	36	111
02:15		66	42	108
02:30		64	37	101
02:45		49	46	95
03:00		61	58	119
03:15		80	48	128
03:30		80	58	138
03:45		92	55	147
04:00		100	52	152
04:15		78	64	142
04:30		109	70	179
04:45		72	62	134
05:00		84	59	143
05:15		64	56	120
05:30		84	58	142
05:45		72	58	130
06:00		73	38	111
06:15		58	59	117
06:30		61	61	122
06:45		51	48	99
07:00		45	53	98
07:15		34	43	77
07:30		42	41	83
07:45		45	36	81
08:00		40	36	76
08:15		32	35	67
08:30		45	40	85
08:45		34	34	68
09:00		36	30	66
09:15		27	30	57
09:30		24	24	48
09:45		34	32	66
10:00		23	24	47
10:15		16	26	42
10:30		20	13	33
10:45		9	10	19
11:00		10	7	17_
11:15		*	*	*
11:30		*	*	*
11:45		*	*	*
Total		2500	1896	4396
Percent		6.9%	43.1%	
Peak	- '	15:45	16:15	15:45
Vol.	-	379	255	620
P.H.F.		0.869	0.911	0.866
Grand Total		3481	3024	6505
Percent	5	3.5%	46.5%	

Study: FEHR0119 Type: Volume / Direction Tech: Judd / Mosdell / Anderson L2DataCollection.com Idaho (208) 860-7554 Utah (801) 413-2993

Count: Axle Hits / 2

Date End: 15-Feb-20

Deer Valley Dr N of Parking & S of Queen Esther VOL D1

DV Dr N 0f Parking & S of Queen Esther

Deer Valley Litab

Deer Valley, Utah Site Code: Day 1

Date Start: 15-Feb-20

Start Time	15-Feb-20 Sat	SB	NB						Tota	 al
12:00 AM		*	*							*
12:15		*	*							*
12:30		3	2							5
12:45		1	2 2							3
01:00		0	1							1
01:15		0	1							1
01:30		3	2							5
01:45		1	0							1
02:00		1	0							1
02:15		2	0							2
02:30		0	0							0
02:45		0	0							0
03:00		0	0							0
03:15		0	0							0
03:30		0	2							2
03:45		0	0							0
04:00		0	0							0
04:15		1	3							4
04:30		0	0							0
04:45		0	0							0
05:00		2	0							2
05:15		1	1							2
05:30		0	1							1
05:45		1	0							1
06:00		6	0							6
06:15		1	1							2
06:30		9	2							11
06:45		23	4							27
07:00		31	5							36
07:15		61	8							69
07:30		81	13							94
07:45		106	10							116
08:00		122	26							148
08:15		73	25							98
08:30		72	48							120
08:45		47	44							91
09:00		40	48							88
09:15		38	44							82
09:30		36	50							86
09:45		27	46							73
10:00		21	45							66
10:15 10:30		20 28	33							53 66
10:30		21	38 29							50
11:00		22	34							56
11:15		19	23							42
11:30		21	34							55
11:45		19	24							43
Total		960	649						1	609
Percent		59.7%	40.3%						'	503
Peak	_	07:30	09:00	-	_	-	_	_	- 07	7:45
Vol.	-	382	188	-	_	_	_	-		482
PHF		0.783	0 940						Λ	.814
P.H.F.		0.783	0.940						0.	

Study: FEHR0119 Type: Volume / Direction
Tech: Judd / Mosdell / Anderson Count: Axle Hits / 2

L2DataCollection.com Idaho (208) 860-7554 Utah (801) 413-2993

Deer Valley Dr N of Parking & S of Queen Esther VOL D1

DV Dr N 0f Parking & S of Queen Esther Deer Valley, Utah Site Code: Day 1

Date Start: 15-Feb-20 Date End: 15-Feb-20

Start Time	15-Feb-20 Sat	SB	NB	Total
12:00 PM		22	40	62
12:15		19	28	47
12:30		27	36	63
12:45		19	32	51
01:00		25	26	51
01:15		13	37	50
01:30		20	31	51
01:45		16	41	57
02:00		15	46	61
02:15		21	38	59
02:30		24	44	68
02:45		27	36	63
03:00		28	51	79
03:15		26	56	82
03:30		47	62	109
03:45		44	72	116
04:00		29	80	109
04:15		36	82	118
04:30		40	86	126
04:45		34	52	86
05:00		24	48	72
05:15		22	34	56
05:30		28	62	90
05:45		22	40	62
06:00		14	36	50
06:15		16	33	49
06:30		14	20	34
06:45		16	16	32
07:00 07:15		20 12	23 12	43 24
07:13		8	15	23
07:30		10	16	26
08:00		11	13	24
08:15		8	18	26
08:30		12	15	27
08:45		7	12	19
09:00		15	24	39
09:15		10	13	23
09:30		5	16	21
09:45		5	16	21
10:00		8	17	25
10:15		8	9	17
10:30		4	11	15
10:45		4	8	12
11:00		*	*	*
11:15		*	*	*
11:30		*	*	*
11:45		*	*	*
Total		835	1503	2338
Percent		35.7%	64.3%	
Peak	-	15:30	15:45	15:45
Vol.	-	156	320	469
P.H.F.		0.830	0.930	0.931
Grand		1795	2152	3947
Total				3947
Percent		45.5%	54.5%	

Study: FEHR0119 Type: Volume / Direction Tech: Judd / Mosdell / Anderson L2DataCollection.com Idaho (208) 860-7554 Utah (801) 413-2993

Date Start: 15-Feb-20
Date End: 15-Feb-20
V Dr Split Intersect VOL D1

Count: Axle Hits / 2 Deer Valley Dr S of the DV Dr Split Intersect VOL D1 DV Dr south of the DV Dr Split Intersect Deer Valley, Utah Site Code: Day 1

Start Time	15-Feb-20 Sat	SB	NB	Total
12:00 AM		6	10	1
12:15		3	6	
12:30		2	9	1
12:45		4	2	
01:00		4	3	
01:15		3	2	
01:30		0	2	
01:45		2	1	
02:00		4	4	
02:15		0	3	
02:30		0	0	
02:45		1	0	
03:00		1	1	
03:15		4	1	
03:30		1	1	
03:45		1	0	
04:00		1	3	
04:15		0	1	
04:30		0	0	
04:45		0	1	
05:00		4	1	
05:15		2	3	
05:30		1	0	
05:45		1	0	
06:00		4	4	
06:15		4	2	
06:30		21	6	2
06:45		28	10	3
07:00		32	10	4
07:15		36	13	4
07:30		62	26	8
07:45		70	22	9
08:00		114	28	14
08:15		127	30	15
08:30		129	38	16
08:45		134	41	17
09:00		113	34	14
09:15		98	34	13
09:30		90	48	13
09:45		98	44	14
10:00		75	42	11
10:15		62	46	10
10:30		48	43	9
10:45		48	40	8
11:00		54	50	10
11:15		48	40	8
11:30		42	31	7
11:45		66	40	10
Total		1648	776	242
Percent		68.0%	32.0%	
Peak	-	08:00	09:30	08:1
Vol.	-	504	180	64
P.H.F.		0.940	0.938	0.92

Study: FEHR0119 Type: Volume / Direction Tech: Judd / Mosdell / Anderson Count: Axle Hits / 2 L2DataCollection.com Idaho (208) 860-7554 Utah (801) 413-2993

Date Start: 15-Feb-20
Date End: 15-Feb-20
Or Split Intersect VOL D1

Deer Valley Dr S of the DV Dr Split Intersect VOL D1
DV Dr south of the DV Dr Split Intersect

Deer Valley, Utah Site Code: Day 1

Start Time	15-Feb-20 Sat	SB	NB	Total
12:00 PM		44	47	91
12:15		46	34	80
12:30		54	25	79
12:45		43	43	86
01:00		46	36	82
01:15		51	39	90
01:30		45	53	98
01:45		42	40	82
02:00		57	54	111
02:15		54	70	124
02:30		53	78	131
02:45		62	66	128
03:00		63	71	134
03:15 03:30		77 82	74	151
03:45		64	86 112	168 176
04:00		77	146	223
04:00		53	170	223
04:13		53	122	175
04:45		60	106	166
05:00		46	108	154
05:15		34	90	124
05:30		52	116	168
05:45		38	116	154
06:00		48	56	104
06:15		38	48	86
06:30		38	34	72
06:45		40	26	66
07:00		30	24	54
07:15		22	38	60
07:30		34	25	59
07:45		40	30	70
08:00		26	22	48
08:15		31	22	53
08:30 08:45		11 27	18 22	29 49
09:00		18	28	49
09.00		16	20	37
09:30		12	12	24
09:45		16	23	39
10:00		10	19	29
10:15		16	24	40
10:30		9	7	16
10:45		*	*	*
11:00		*	*	*
11:15		*	*	*
11:30		*	*	*
11:45		*	*	*
Total		1778	2401	4179
Percent		42.5%	57.5%	
Peak	-	15:15	15:45	15:45
Vol.	-	300	550	797
P.H.F.		0.915	0.809	0.893
Grand		3426	3177	6603
Total				
Percent		51.9%	48.1%	

MXD+ Vehicle Trip Generation Reduction Percent								
	Daily	AM Peak Hour	PM Peak Hour					
Internal Capture	1.9%	3.7%	10.6%					
Shift to Transit	3%	1.9%	2.9%					
Shift to Walk/Bike	4.6%	5.6%	3.4%					

Advanced MXD+ Results

Predicted Probabilities		Daily			AM			PM	
Productions	HBW	HBO	NHB	HMW	HBO	NHS	HBW	HBO	NHB
Internal Capture	4.35%	1.63%	1.45%	5.00%	2.88%	1,52%	21.00%	7.42%	7.04%
Walking External	2,59%	8.40%	0.30%	3.11%	8.32%	0.30%	2.59%	6.40%	0.30%
Transit External	0.25%	3.38%	4.42%	0.35%	3.72%	4,43%	0.35%	3.30%	4,43%
Atractions	HEW	HBO	N/B	HBW	HBO	NHB	HEW	HBO	NHB
Internal Capture	4.28%	1.51%	1,45%	5.00%	2,88%	1.52%	21,06%	7,42%	7,04%
Walking External	2.50%	6.30%	0.30%	3.07%	7.66%	0.30%	2.56%	6.38%	0.30%
Transit External	0.25%	3.35%	4,44%	0.34%	4.60%	6.21%	0.34%	5.36%	4.44%
Number of Trips		Doily			AM			PM	
Productions	HBW.	HB0	NHB	HBM	HBO	14-6	HBW	HBO	1018
Internal Capture	7	9	2	1	1	0	4	5	2
Walking External	5	35	0	1	2	0	0	2	0
Transit External	0	18	8	0	1	0	0	1	
Attractions	HSW	HBO	NHS	HOW	HBO	NHS.	HBW	HBO	NHB
Internal Capture	7	.0	2	1	1	0	4	6	2
Walking External	2	43	1	0	3	0	0	5	0
Transit External	0	23	9	0	+	0	0	3	1

Snow Park Village Existing AM Peak Hour

Intersection 3

Deer Valley Drive East/Queen Esther Drive

Side-street Stop

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/ve	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn						
NB	Through	70	74	105.4%	0.3	0.2	Α
ND	Right Turn	17	19	112.4%	0.3	0.6	Α
	Subtotal	87	93	106.8%	0.3	0.2 A	Α
	Left Turn	50	50	99.4%	4.1	0.3	Α
SB	Through	116	116	99.6%	0.9	0.3	Α
36	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	166	165	99.5%	1.8	0.4	Α
	Left Turn						
EB	Through						
LD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn	20	18	91.0%	5.8	1.2	Α
WB	Through						
VVD	Right Turn	55	56	101.6%	5.2	0.6	Α
	Subtotal	75	74	98.8%	5.4	0.5	Α
	Total	328	332	101.3%	2.3	0.3	Α

Intersection 4

Solamere Drive/Deer Valley Drive East

Side-street Stop

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/ve	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn						
NB	Through						
IND	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn	24	23	95.4%	6.8	2.3	Α
SB	Through						
36	Right Turn	57	60	104.6%	5.8	0.4	Α
	Subtotal	81	83	101.9%	5.9	0.4	Α
	Left Turn	49	49	100.0%	4.2	0.8	Α
EB	Through	142	144	101.1%	1.3	0.4	Α
LD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	191	193	100.8%	2.0	0.5	Α
	Left Turn						
WB	Through	106	108	101.7%	1.1	0.2	Α
VVD	Right Turn	19	21	110.0%	1.3	0.6	Α
	Subtotal	125	129	103.0%	1.1	0.2	Α
	Total	397	404	101.7%	2.6	0.2	Α

Snow Park Village Existing AM Peak Hour

Intersection 5

Deer Valley Drive West/Deer Valley Drive East

Side-street Stop

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/ve	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn						
NB	Through	147	148	100.6%	1.1	0.6	Α
IND	Right Turn	15	15	98.7%	1.0	1.7	Α
	Subtotal	162	163	100.4%	1.1	0.5	Α
	Left Turn	176	176	100.2%	5.3	0.9	Α
CD	Through	627	645	102.9%	3.7	0.6	Α
SB	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	803	822	102.3%	4.0	0.6	Α
	Left Turn						
EB	Through						
LB	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn	7	7	97.1%	15.3	8.3	С
WB	Through						
VVD	Right Turn	156	161	103.2%	4.2	0.9	Α
	Subtotal	163	168	102.9%	4.9	0.8	Α
	Total	1,128	1,152	102.1%	3.8	0.5	А

Intersection 7

Deer Valley Drive/Bonanza Drive

Signal

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/ve	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn						
NB	Through	263	266	101.2%	13.0	2.4	В
IND	Right Turn	151	158	104.8%	3.7	0.8	Α
	Subtotal	414	424	102.5%	9.5	1.7	Α
	Left Turn	105	101	96.4%	12.9	1.8	В
SB	Through	631	635	100.7%	8.9	1.2	Α
36	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	736	737	100.1%	9.4	1.1	Α
	Left Turn						
EB	Through						
LD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn	553	558	101.0%	15.8	1.5	В
WB	Through						
VVD	Right Turn	198	196	98.7%	5.4	1.5	Α
	Subtotal	751	754	100.4%	13.0	1.5	В
	Total	1,901	1,915	100.7%	10.8	1.0	В

Snow Park Village Existing AM Peak Hour

Intersection 8

SR-224-Park Avenue/Empire Avenue-Deer Valley Drive

Signal

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/vel	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn	36	35	96.1%	32.0	10.4	С
NB	Through	191	196	102.4%	52.3	3.8	D
IND	Right Turn	67	74	110.0%	17.5	6.3	В
	Subtotal	294	304	103.3%	42.7	4.9	D
	Left Turn	477	429	90.0%	206.7	15.7	F
SB	Through	169	154	90.8%	173.8	18.6	F
36	Right Turn	901	853	94.6%	62.6	9.2	Ε
	Subtotal	1,547	1,436	92.8%	117.7	10.8	F
	Left Turn	320	316	98.7%	40.5	6.2	D
EB	Through	172	175	101.7%	26.9	8.7	С
LB	Right Turn	16	17	104.4%	19.7	18.3	В
	Subtotal	508	508	99.9%	35.2	5.4	D
	Left Turn	50	49	98.2%	53.8	9.2	D
WB	Through	253	281	110.9%	42.0	6.4	D
VVD	Right Turn	215	215	99.9%	8.4	1.3	Α
	Subtotal	518	545	105.1%	29.9	4.1	С
	Total	2,867	2,791	97.4%	77.1	4.5	Е

Intersection 9

Monitor Drive-Bonanza Drive/SR-248

Signal

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/ve	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn	64	64	99.8%	22.0	4.6	С
NB	Through	28	30	106.4%	23.3	7.8	С
IND	Right Turn	101	100	98.9%	3.2	0.9	Α
	Subtotal	193	194	100.3%	12.7	2.6	В
	Left Turn	54	54	100.6%	16.7	4.9	В
SB	Through	71	71	99.4%	26.4	5.1	С
36	Right Turn	29	30	101.7%	4.3	1.0	Α
	Subtotal	154	154	100.3%	18.4	3.0	В
	Left Turn	22	20	92.7%	12.9	3.6	В
EB	Through	230	234	101.6%	16.4	2.4	В
LD	Right Turn	95	98	103.2%	8.2	2.5	Α
	Subtotal	347	352	101.5%	13.9	2.2	В
	Left Turn	287	284	98.9%	13.8	1.7	В
\A/D	Through	324	323	99.7%	7.7	1.7	Α
WB	Right Turn	47	47	100.2%	3.7	1.9	Α
	Subtotal	658	654	99.4%	10.0	1.3	В
	Total	1,352	1,354	100.1%	12.4	1.5	В

MOVEMENT SUMMARY

Site: 101 [Existing AM]

Deer Valley Drive / Marsac Avenue Roundabout Site Category: (None)

Roundabout

Move	Movement Performance - Vehicles											
Mov ID	Turn	Demand Total veh/h	d Flows HV %	Deg. Satn v/c	Average Delay sec	Level of Service	95% Back Vehicles veh	of Queue Distance ft	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate	Aver. No. Cycles	Average Speed mph
South:	: Marsac	Avenue										
3	L2	1	100.0	0.157	13.4	LOS B	0.6	14.5	0.63	0.63	0.63	33.7
8	T1	127	3.0	0.157	7.8	LOS A	0.6	14.5	0.63	0.63	0.63	34.3
18b	R3	62	3.0	0.157	7.8	LOSA	0.6	14.5	0.63	0.63	0.63	32.5
Appro	ach	189	3.5	0.157	7.9	LOS A	0.6	14.5	0.63	0.63	0.63	33.7
South	East: Ro	adName										
3bx	L3	32	3.0	0.142	4.3	LOSA	0.5	14.7	0.29	0.17	0.29	35.9
3ax	L1	19	100.0	0.142	7.1	LOSA	0.5	14.7	0.29	0.17	0.29	34.5
18ax	R1	269	3.0	0.142	4.3	LOSA	0.6	15.2	0.29	0.17	0.29	35.6
Appro	ach	320	8.8	0.142	4.4	LOS A	0.6	15.2	0.29	0.17	0.29	35.5
North:	Deer Va	alley Drive										
7u	U	23	3.0	0.748	14.3	LOS B	8.6	221.4	0.52	0.26	0.52	30.4
7a	L1	804	3.0	0.748	14.3	LOS B	8.6	221.4	0.52	0.26	0.52	29.5
4	T1	378	3.0	0.748	8.1	LOSA	8.6	221.4	0.32	0.15	0.32	33.5
14	R2	12	100.0	0.204	7.2	LOSA	0.9	23.5	0.20	0.09	0.20	34.5
Appro	ach	1217	3.9	0.748	12.3	LOS B	8.6	221.4	0.45	0.23	0.45	30.7
West:	Transit 0	Center										
5	L2	2	100.0	0.159	18.6	LOS C	0.3	11.7	0.68	0.68	0.68	29.6
12a	R1	23	100.0	0.159	18.6	LOS C	0.3	11.7	0.68	0.68	0.68	29.2
12	R2	13	100.0	0.159	18.6	LOS C	0.3	11.7	0.68	0.68	0.68	28.6
Appro	ach	38	100.0	0.159	18.6	LOS C	0.3	11.7	0.68	0.68	0.68	29.0
All Vel	hicles	1765	6.9	0.748	10.5	LOS B	8.6	221.4	0.45	0.27	0.45	31.7

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay & v/c (HCM 6). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Roundabout LOS Method: Same as Sign Control.

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay and v/c ratio (degree of saturation) per movement.

LOS F will result if v/c > 1 irrespective of movement delay value (does not apply for approaches and intersection).

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all movements (v/c not used as specified in HCM 6).

Roundabout Capacity Model: US HCM 6.

HCM Delay Formula option is used. Control Delay does not include Geometric Delay since Exclude Geometric Delay option applies. Gap-Acceptance Capacity: Traditional M1.

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

Organisation: FEHR AND PEERS | Processed: Sunday, February 28, 2021 2:14:36 AM Project: P:\20-2245 Snow Park Development\Analysis\SIDRA\DeerValleyDrRoundabout.sip8

Snow Park Village Existing PM Peak Hour

Intersection 3

Deer Valley Drive East/Queen Esther Drive

Side-street Stop

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/vel	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn						
NB	Through	293	298	101.6%	1.0	0.3	Α
IND	Right Turn	30	33	111.3%	0.8	0.6	Α
	Subtotal	323	331	102.5%	1.0	0.3	Α
	Left Turn	85	81	95.4%	4.6	0.7	Α
SB	Through	78	78	99.9%	1.2	0.4	Α
36	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	163	159	97.5%	2.9	0.5	Α
	Left Turn						
ЕВ	Through						
LB	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn	29	29	100.7%	8.5	4.0	Α
WB	Through						
VVD	Right Turn	60	60	100.7%	6.0	0.9	Α
	Subtotal	89	90	100.7%	6.6	1.4	Α
	Total	575	580	100.8%	2.4	0.3	Α

Intersection 4

Solamere Drive/Deer Valley Drive East

Side-street Stop

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/ve	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn						
NB	Through						
ND	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn	17	19	112.4%	10.6	4.9	В
SB	Through						
36	Right Turn	80	87	108.6%	7.1	2.1	Α
	Subtotal	97	106	109.3%	7.7	2.2	Α
	Left Turn	87	84	96.4%	5.3	0.9	Α
EB	Through	146	138	94.7%	1.9	0.8	Α
LD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	233	222	95.3%	3.3	0.9	Α
	Left Turn						
WB	Through	319	324	101.6%	1.2	0.2	Α
VVD	Right Turn	34	35	102.1%	1.0	0.5	Α
	Subtotal	353	359	101.6%	1.2	0.2	Α
	Total	683	687	100.6%	3.0	0.6	А

Snow Park Village Existing PM Peak Hour

Intersection 5

Deer Valley Drive West/Deer Valley Drive East

Side-street Stop

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/ve	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn						
NB	Through	536	536	100.0%	3.4	0.3	Α
IND	Right Turn	44	45	102.3%	3.2	1.3	Α
	Subtotal	580	581	100.2%	3.4	0.3	Α
	Left Turn	189	178	94.2%	8.5	2.0	Α
SB	Through	204	205	100.6%	2.0	0.5	Α
36	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	393	383	97.5%	5.0	1.2	Α
	Left Turn						
EB	Through						
LD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn	22	25	113.6%	39.3	37.1	Е
WB	Through						
WD	Right Turn	377	382	101.2%	31.9	17.5	D
	Subtotal	399	407	101.9%	32.3	18.3	D
	Total	1,372	1,371	99.9%	12.2	5.4	В

Intersection 7

Deer Valley Drive/Bonanza Drive

Signal

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/ve	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn						
NB	Through	754	744	98.6%	29.8	7.7	С
ND	Right Turn	651	660	101.4%	20.8	8.4	С
	Subtotal	1,405	1,404	99.9%	25.6	7.8	С
	Left Turn	251	205	81.6%	19.8	1.7	В
SB	Through	460	431	93.6%	7.8	1.0	Α
36	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	711	635	89.4%	11.5	1.5	В
	Left Turn						
FR	Through						
LD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn	419	415	99.0%	23.4	4.0	С
W/R	Through						
VVD	Right Turn	129	129	99.8%	13.3	8.1	В
EB	Subtotal	548	544	99.2%	20.8	5.1	С
	Total	2,664	2,583	96.9%	21.2	5.2	С

Snow Park Village Existing PM Peak Hour

Intersection 8

SR-224-Park Avenue/Empire Avenue-Deer Valley Drive

Signal

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/ve	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn	35	34	96.3%	26.9	5.4	С
NB	Through	395	387	98.1%	48.2	4.5	D
ND	Right Turn	68	74	108.1%	26.7	8.6	С
	Subtotal	498	495	99.3%	44.0	4.2	D
	Left Turn	495	389	78.7%	208.5	18.4	F
SB	Through	363	286	78.8%	164.0	18.2	F
36	Right Turn	364	294	80.9%	44.7	5.1	D
	Subtotal	1,222	970	79.4%	147.7	13.7	F
	Left Turn	633	526	83.1%	87.2	7.4	F
EB	Through	277	240	86.5%	70.2	16.8	Е
LD	Right Turn	36	30	83.6%	65.0	22.4	Е
	Subtotal	946	796	84.1%	81.4	10.3	F
	Left Turn	75	74	98.9%	73.7	14.1	Е
WB	Through	239	285	119.4%	56.1	8.1	Е
VVD	Right Turn	640	624	97.6%	40.2	5.9	D
	Subtotal	954	984	103.1%	47.7	3.3	D
	Total	3,620	3,244	89.6%	84.3	3.4	F

Intersection 9

Monitor Drive-Bonanza Drive/SR-248

Signal

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/ve	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn	176	166	94.4%	34.5	8.0	С
NB	Through	89	93	104.5%	29.9	6.0	С
IND	Right Turn	479	455	95.0%	11.2	2.4	В
	Subtotal	744	714	96.0%	19.4	2.8	В
	Left Turn	90	88	98.2%	30.0	5.6	С
SB	Through	55	50	91.5%	34.5	7.9	С
36	Right Turn	63	59	92.9%	5.5	1.2	Α
	Subtotal	208	197	94.8%	23.9	3.5	С
	Left Turn	71	68	96.3%	15.8	3.5	В
EB	Through	584	589	100.9%	26.4	3.4	С
LD	Right Turn	149	148	99.3%	21.2	4.8	С
	Subtotal	804	805	100.2%	24.6	3.1	С
	Left Turn	218	216	99.1%	17.7	3.0	В
\A/D	Through	384	386	100.5%	11.3	2.2	В
WB I	Right Turn	46	49	106.3%	6.8	4.2	Α
	Subtotal	648	651	100.4%	13.1	1.7	В
	Total	2,404	2,367	98.5%	19.7	1.9	В

MOVEMENT SUMMARY

Site: 101 [Existing PM]

Deer Valley Drive / Marsac Avenue Roundabout Site Category: (None)

Roundabout

Move	Movement Performance - Vehicles											
Mov ID	Turn	Demand Total veh/h	d Flows HV %	Deg. Satn v/c	Average Delay sec	Level of Service	95% Back Vehicles veh	of Queue Distance ft	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate	Aver. No. Cycles	Average Speed mph
South	Marsac	Avenue										
3	L2	1	100.0	0.344	13.5	LOS B	1.5	38.8	0.64	0.65	0.68	32.9
8	T1	454	3.0	0.344	9.0	LOS A	1.5	38.8	0.64	0.65	0.68	33.8
18b	R3	62	3.0	0.344	9.0	LOSA	1.5	38.8	0.64	0.65	0.68	32.1
Appro	ach	516	3.2	0.344	9.0	LOSA	1.5	38.8	0.64	0.65	0.68	33.6
South	East: Ro	adName										
3bx	L3	36	3.0	0.559	14.3	LOS B	3.7	97.6	0.74	0.91	1.23	31.7
3ax	L1	13	100.0	0.559	19.1	LOS C	3.7	97.6	0.74	0.91	1.23	30.4
18ax	R1	732	3.0	0.559	14.2	LOS B	3.8	98.4	0.75	0.91	1.23	31.0
Appro	ach	782	4.6	0.559	14.3	LOS B	3.8	98.4	0.75	0.91	1.23	31.0
North:	Deer Va	lley Drive										
7u	U	242	3.0	0.617	10.2	LOS B	5.3	134.5	0.36	0.17	0.36	31.9
7a	L1	368	3.0	0.617	10.2	LOS B	5.3	134.5	0.36	0.17	0.36	31.0
4	T1	398	3.0	0.617	7.1	LOSA	5.3	134.5	0.27	0.12	0.27	33.7
14	R2	6	100.0	0.169	6.8	LOS A	0.7	18.8	0.18	0.08	0.18	34.6
Appro	ach	1014	3.6	0.617	9.0	LOS A	5.3	134.5	0.32	0.15	0.32	32.2
West:	Transit (Center										
5	L2	5	100.0	0.078	14.1	LOS B	0.1	5.8	0.61	0.61	0.61	31.0
12a	R1	12	100.0	0.078	14.1	LOS B	0.1	5.8	0.61	0.61	0.61	30.5
12	R2	5	100.0	0.078	14.1	LOS B	0.1	5.8	0.61	0.61	0.61	29.9
Appro	ach	22	100.0	0.078	14.1	LOS B	0.1	5.8	0.61	0.61	0.61	30.5
All Vel	nicles	2334	4.8	0.617	10.8	LOS B	5.3	134.5	0.54	0.52	0.71	32.1

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay & v/c (HCM 6). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Roundabout LOS Method: Same as Sign Control.

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay and v/c ratio (degree of saturation) per movement.

LOS F will result if v/c > 1 irrespective of movement delay value (does not apply for approaches and intersection).

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all movements (v/c not used as specified in HCM 6).

Roundabout Capacity Model: US HCM 6.

HCM Delay Formula option is used. Control Delay does not include Geometric Delay since Exclude Geometric Delay option applies. Gap-Acceptance Capacity: Traditional M1.

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

Organisation: FEHR AND PEERS | Processed: Wednesday, February 17, 2021 4:00:01 PM Project: P:\20-2245 Snow Park Development\Analysis\SIDRA\DeerValleyDrRoundabout.sip8

Snow Park Village Existing Plus Project AM Peak Hour

Intersection 1

Deer Valley Drive East/Doe Pass Road

Signal

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/vel	n)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn	119	119	99.7%	7.2	2.3	Α
NB	Through	67	69	103.6%	4.7	1.1	Α
IND	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	186	188	101.1%	6.2	1.7	Α
	Left Turn						
SB	Through	194	200	103.2%	5.3	1.6	Α
36	Right Turn	15	16	105.3%	1.9	1.0	Α
	Subtotal	209	216	103.4%	5.1	1.4	Α
	Left Turn	15	15	100.0%	10.7	4.6	В
EB	Through						
LB	Right Turn	100	99	99.0%	5.4	1.1	Α
	Subtotal	115	114	99.1%	6.3	1.6	Α
	Left Turn						
WB	Through						
VVD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Total	510	518	101.6%	5.7	1.2	Α

Intersection 2

Deer Valley Drive West/Doe Pass Road

Side-street Stop

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/vel	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn	20	20	101.5%	7.9	2.2	A
NB	Through						
IND	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	20	20	101.5%	7.9	2.2	Α
	Left Turn						
SB	Through						
36	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn						
EB	Through	702	711	101.3%	3.7	0.6	Α
LD	Right Turn	20	21	104.0%	2.2	1.2	Α
	Subtotal	722	732	101.4%	3.7	0.6	Α
	Left Turn						
WB	Through	185	183	98.9%	0.3	0.1	Α
VVD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	185	183	98.9%	0.3	0.1	Α
	Total	927	935	100.9%	3.2	0.5	Α

Snow Park Village Existing Plus Project AM Peak Hour

Intersection 3

Deer Valley Drive East/Queen Esther Drive

Side-street Stop

		Demand	Served Volume (vph)		Total	Total Delay (sec/veh)	
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn						
NB	Through	112	114	102.1%	0.9	0.2	Α
IND	Right Turn	17	21	125.3%	1.0	0.6	Α
	Subtotal	129	136	105.1%	0.9	0.1	Α
	Left Turn	50	49	97.0%	4.0	0.3	А
SB	Through	204	213	104.4%	1.2	0.3	Α
36	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	254	261	102.9%	1.7	0.2	Α
	Left Turn						
EB	Through						
LB	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn	20	22	108.0%	7.5	2.8	Α
WB	Through						
VVD	Right Turn	55	52	94.0%	5.3	0.3	Α
	Subtotal	75	73	97.7%	5.9	0.8	Α
	Total	458	470	102.7%	2.1	0.3	Α

Intersection 4

Solamere Drive/Deer Valley Drive East

Side-street Stop

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total Delay (sec/veh)		
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn						
NB	Through						
IND	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn	24	24	97.9%	8.2	2.6	Α
SB	Through						
36	Right Turn	57	56	97.5%	5.7	0.7	Α
	Subtotal	81	79	97.7%	6.5	1.1	Α
	Left Turn	49	49	99.8%	4.4	0.6	Α
EB	Through	230	236	102.8%	1.7	0.5	Α
LD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	279	285	102.3%	2.2	0.3	Α
	Left Turn						
WB	Through	148	145	97.7%	1.0	0.3	Α
VVD	Right Turn	19	20	103.7%	0.8	0.4	Α
	Subtotal	167	164	98.4%	0.9	0.2	Α
	Total	527	529	100.3%	2.5	0.3	Α

Snow Park Village Existing Plus Project AM Peak Hour

Intersection 5

Deer Valley Drive West/Deer Valley Drive East

Side-street Stop

		Demand	Served Volume (vph)		Total	Total Delay (sec/veh)	
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn						
NB	Through	190	190	100.0%	1.4	0.4	Α
IND	Right Turn	15	14	96.0%	1.4	1.3	Α
	Subtotal	205	204	99.7%	1.4	0.3	Α
	Left Turn	264	271	102.7%	7.1	0.6	Α
SB	Through	715	721	100.8%	4.3	0.3	Α
36	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	979	992	101.3%	5.0	0.3	Α
	Left Turn						
EB	Through						
LB	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn	7	7	97.1%	26.3	26.8	D
WB	Through						
VVD	Right Turn	198	194	97.7%	5.6	1.2	Α
	Subtotal	205	200	97.7%	6.4	1.4	Α
	Total	1,389	1,396	100.5%	4.7	0.4	Α

Intersection 7

Deer Valley Drive/Bonanza Drive

Signal

		Demand	Served Vo	Served Volume (vph)		Total Delay (sec/veh)		
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS	
	Left Turn							
NB	Through	305	299	98.1%	13.3	2.0	В	
IND	Right Turn	168	161	96.0%	3.1	0.9	Α	
	Subtotal	473	461	97.4%	9.6	1.4	Α	
	Left Turn	105	90	85.6%	13.2	1.9	В	
SB	Through	719	660	91.8%	9.8	1.2	Α	
28	Right Turn							
	Subtotal	824	750	91.0%	10.2	1.2	В	
	Left Turn							
EB	Through							
LD	Right Turn							
	Subtotal							
	Left Turn	588	589	100.2%	16.0	2.1	В	
WB	Through							
VVD	Right Turn	198	196	99.0%	5.7	1.1	Α	
	Subtotal	786	785	99.9%	13.3	1.7	В	
	Total	2,083	1,996	95.8%	11.3	1.0	В	

Snow Park Village Existing Plus Project AM Peak Hour

Intersection 8

SR-224-Park Avenue/Empire Avenue-Deer Valley Drive

Signal

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Total Delay (sec/veh)		
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS	
	Left Turn	36	38	104.4%	31.1	6.3	С	
NB	Through	191	194	101.7%	49.3	4.9	D	
ND	Right Turn	67	68	102.1%	15.3	5.9	В	
	Subtotal	294	300	102.1%	39.3	5.1	D	
	Left Turn	565	435	77.0%	215.8	13.6	F	
SB	Through	169	139	82.0%	174.7	19.3	F	
36	Right Turn	901	715	79.3%	55.4	10.9	Е	
	Subtotal	1,635	1,289	78.8%	119.7	6.7	F	
	Left Turn	320	314	98.2%	39.3	4.6	D	
EB	Through	172	177	102.7%	31.2	6.4	С	
LB	Right Turn	16	16	99.4%	21.7	12.3	С	
	Subtotal	508	507	99.7%	36.0	4.9	D	
	Left Turn	50	47	93.4%	59.7	16.5	Е	
WB	Through	253	281	111.1%	43.7	6.9	D	
VVD	Right Turn	257	248	96.6%	9.1	3.1	Α	
	Subtotal	560	576	102.9%	31.0	3.8	С	
	Total	2,997	2,672	89.1%	75.1	3.9	Е	

Intersection 9

Monitor Drive-Bonanza Drive/SR-248

Signal

		Demand	Served Volume (vph)		Total Delay (sec/veh)		
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn	64	57	89.5%	21.3	4.2	С
NB	Through	28	27	97.9%	22.2	7.6	С
IND	Right Turn	118	109	92.5%	2.7	0.6	Α
	Subtotal	210	194	92.3%	11.0	2.2	В
	Left Turn	54	52	96.5%	19.2	3.9	В
SB	Through	71	72	102.0%	24.5	5.0	С
36	Right Turn	29	29	99.3%	4.0	0.9	Α
	Subtotal	154	153	99.5%	18.6	2.2	В
	Left Turn	22	21	96.4%	10.8	3.3	В
EB	Through	230	226	98.3%	18.6	2.7	В
LD	Right Turn	95	97	102.4%	8.8	2.6	Α
	Subtotal	347	345	99.3%	15.3	2.2	В
	Left Turn	322	319	98.9%	13.8	2.6	В
WB	Through	324	324	100.0%	8.7	1.4	Α
WD	Right Turn	47	46	98.7%	4.6	2.1	Α
	Subtotal	693	689	99.4%	10.8	1.5	В
	Total	1,404	1,381	98.3%	12.8	1.1	В

MOVEMENT SUMMARY

₩ Site: 101 [Existing Plus Project AM]

Deer Valley Drive / Marsac Avenue Roundabout Site Category: (None)

Roundabout

Move	ment P	erforman	ce - Veh	icles								
Mov ID	Turn	Demand Total veh/h	f Flows HV %	Deg. Satn v/c	Average Delay sec	Level of Service	95% Back Vehicles veh	of Queue Distance ft	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate	Aver. No. Cycles	Average Speed mph
South:	Marsac	Avenue										
3	L2	1	100.0	0.204	16.4	LOS C	0.7	18.5	0.68	0.68	0.68	32.7
8	T1	127	3.0	0.204	9.8	LOSA	0.7	18.5	0.68	0.68	0.68	33.3
18b	R3	81	3.0	0.204	9.8	LOSA	0.7	18.5	0.68	0.68	0.68	31.6
Appro	ach	209	3.5	0.204	9.9	LOSA	0.7	18.5	0.68	0.68	0.68	32.6
South	East: Ro	adName										
3bx	L3	41	3.0	0.181	4.7	LOSA	0.7	19.5	0.30	0.18	0.30	35.8
3ax	L1	23	100.0	0.181	7.5	LOSA	0.7	19.5	0.30	0.18	0.30	34.3
18ax	R1	346	3.0	0.181	4.6	LOSA	0.8	20.1	0.30	0.18	0.30	35.4
Appro	ach	411	8.5	0.181	4.8	LOS A	8.0	20.1	0.30	0.18	0.30	35.3
North:	Deer Va	alley Drive										
7u	U	23	3.0	0.858	21.2	LOS C	13.6	349.0	0.81	0.45	0.81	27.8
7a	L1	963	3.0	0.858	21.2	LOS C	13.6	349.0	0.81	0.45	0.81	27.1
4	T1	378	3.0	0.858	9.3	LOSA	13.6	349.0	0.39	0.21	0.39	33.1
14	R2	12	100.0	0.235	7.6	LOS A	1.1	27.7	0.24	0.12	0.24	34.3
Appro	ach	1376	3.8	0.858	17.8	LOS C	13.6	349.0	0.69	0.38	0.69	28.5
West:	Transit (Center										
5	L2	2	100.0	0.231	23.6	LOS C	0.4	16.9	0.73	0.74	0.75	27.8
12a	R1	33	100.0	0.231	23.6	LOS C	0.4	16.9	0.73	0.74	0.75	27.4
12	R2	13	100.0	0.231	23.6	LOS C	0.4	16.9	0.73	0.74	0.75	26.9
Appro	ach	48	100.0	0.231	23.6	LOS C	0.4	16.9	0.73	0.74	0.75	27.3
All Vel	nicles	2043	7.0	0.858	14.5	LOS B	13.6	349.0	0.61	0.38	0.61	30.0

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay & v/c (HCM 6). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Roundabout LOS Method: Same as Sign Control.

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay and v/c ratio (degree of saturation) per movement.

LOS F will result if v/c > 1 irrespective of movement delay value (does not apply for approaches and intersection).

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all movements (v/c not used as specified in HCM 6).

Roundabout Capacity Model: US HCM 6.

HCM Delay Formula option is used. Control Delay does not include Geometric Delay since Exclude Geometric Delay option applies. Gap-Acceptance Capacity: Traditional M1.

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

Project: C:\Users\syamagata\Desktop\Projects\Snow Park Village\Mar 2023\SIDRA\DeerValleyDrRoundabout.sip8

Snow Park Village Existing Plus Project PM Peak Hour

Intersection 1

Deer Valley Drive East/Doe Pass Road

Signal

		Demand	Served Volume (vph)		Total	Total Delay (sec/veh)	
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn	150	147	97.9%	10.0	3.6	В
NB	Through	377	376	99.8%	7.5	2.7	Α
IND	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	527	523	99.2%	8.2	2.9	Α
	Left Turn						
SB	Through	97	92	94.6%	4.3	1.5	Α
36	Right Turn	15	20	130.0%	2.3	0.8	Α
	Subtotal	112	111	99.4%	3.9	1.2	Α
	Left Turn	15	15	101.3%	17.9	10.4	В
EB	Through						
LB	Right Turn	146	143	97.9%	6.6	3.0	Α
	Subtotal	161	158	98.3%	7.7	3.9	Α
	Left Turn						
WB	Through						
VVD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Total	800	792	99.1%	7.4	2.6	А

Intersection 2

Deer Valley Drive West/Doe Pass Road

Side-street Stop

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total Delay (sec/veh)		
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn	20	17	85.5%	15.5	8.6	С
NB	Through						
IND	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	20	17	85.5%	15.5	8.6	С
	Left Turn						_
SB	Through						
36	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn						
EB	Through	264	260	98.6%	1.7	0.6	Α
LD	Right Turn	20	19	93.5%	0.6	0.6	Α
	Subtotal	284	279	98.2%	1.7	0.5	Α
	Left Turn						
WB	Through	664	673	101.3%	2.2	0.1	Α
VVD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	664	673	101.3%	2.2	0.1	Α
	Total	968	969	100.1%	2.2	0.3	Α

Snow Park Village Existing Plus Project PM Peak Hour

Intersection 3

Deer Valley Drive East/Queen Esther Drive

Side-street Stop

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/vel	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn						
NB	Through	396	400	101.0%	1.4	0.2	Α
IND	Right Turn	30	29	95.0%	1.6	0.7	Α
	Subtotal	426	429	100.6%	1.4	0.2	Α
	Left Turn	85	84	98.5%	4.9	0.5	Α
SB	Through	135	137	101.6%	1.5	0.4	Α
36	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	220	221	100.4%	2.7	0.3	Α
	Left Turn						
EB	Through						
LB	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn	29	24	84.1%	10.8	2.7	В
WB	Through						
WD	Right Turn	60	61	101.5%	7.7	2.1	Α
	Subtotal	89	85	95.8%	8.5	1.8	Α
	Total		735	99.9%	2.6	0.3	А

Intersection 4

Solamere Drive/Deer Valley Drive East

Side-street Stop

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total Delay (sec/veh)		
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn						
NB	Through						
IND	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn	17	17	99.4%	13.4	12.8	В
SB	Through						
36	Right Turn	80	74	92.8%	9.6	6.8	Α
	Subtotal	97	91	93.9%	10.2	7.1	В
	Left Turn	87	89	102.8%	5.4	0.7	Α
EB	Through	203	202	99.7%	2.2	0.6	Α
LD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	290	292	100.6%	3.1	0.6	Α
	Left Turn						
WB	Through	422	424	100.4%	2.6	2.8	Α
VVD	Right Turn	34	35	101.5%	3.0	4.5	Α
	Subtotal	456	458	100.4%	2.6	2.9	Α
	Total	843	841	99.7%	3.7	2.3	Α

Snow Park Village Existing Plus Project PM Peak Hour

Intersection 5

Deer Valley Drive West/Deer Valley Drive East

Side-street Stop

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/vel	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn						
NB	Through	640	651	101.7%	3.0	0.2	Α
IND	Right Turn	44	44	100.9%	2.5	0.8	Α
	Subtotal	684	695	101.7%	3.0	0.2	Α
	Left Turn	246	247	100.6%	9.5	1.7	Α
SB	Through	262	257	98.2%	2.1	0.4	Α
36	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	508	505	99.3%	5.8	0.9	Α
	Left Turn						
EB	Through						
LD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn	22	19	85.9%	128.3	30.8	F
WB	Through						
WD	Right Turn	480	436	90.9%	125.0	18.2	F
	Subtotal	502	455	90.7%	125.3	17.9	F
	Total		1,655	97.7%	39.3	4.3	Е

Intersection 7

Deer Valley Drive/Bonanza Drive

Signal

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/ve	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn						
NB	Through	857	840	98.0%	44.8	24.2	D
IND	Right Turn	692	697	100.8%	38.1	29.4	D
	Subtotal	1,549	1,537	99.3%	41.7	26.5	D
	Left Turn	251	212	84.5%	18.3	3.1	В
SB	Through	518	473	91.3%	6.7	1.0	Α
36	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	769	685	89.1%	10.4	1.4	В
	Left Turn						
EB	Through						
LD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn	442	428	96.9%	20.9	2.7	С
WB	Through						
VVD	Right Turn	129	132	101.9%	9.9	2.5	Α
	Subtotal	571	560	98.0%	18.4	2.7	В
	Total	2,889	2,782	96.3%	29.1	14.3	С

Snow Park Village Existing Plus Project PM Peak Hour

Intersection 8

SR-224-Park Avenue/Empire Avenue-Deer Valley Drive

Signal

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/vel	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn	35	33	93.1%	39.3	9.0	D
NB	Through	395	397	100.6%	64.0	7.4	Ε
IND	Right Turn	68	65	94.9%	44.0	12.8	D
	Subtotal	498	494	99.3%	59.2	7.4	Е
	Left Turn	553	492	88.9%	163.8	11.4	F
SB	Through	363	323	89.0%	129.2	4.7	F
36	Right Turn	364	319	87.6%	41.9	4.3	D
	Subtotal	1,280	1,134	88.6%	120.6	8.2	F
	Left Turn	633	469	74.1%	105.2	6.6	F
EB	Through	277	199	71.9%	75.3	13.8	Ε
LD	Right Turn	36	25	69.7%	64.9	24.0	Ε
	Subtotal	946	693	73.3%	94.7	7.7	F
	Left Turn	75	75	99.3%	97.3	18.6	F
WB	Through	239	295	123.6%	66.7	18.8	Ε
VVD	Right Turn	743	719	96.8%	35.3	4.7	D
	Subtotal	1,057	1,089	103.0%	48.2	6.5	D
	Total		3,410	90.2%	82.8	3.4	F

Intersection 9

Monitor Drive-Bonanza Drive/SR-248

Signal

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/ve	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn	176	173	98.2%	36.7	3.8	D
NB	Through	89	94	105.7%	27.4	6.6	С
IND	Right Turn	520	499	95.9%	12.1	2.8	В
	Subtotal	785	765	97.5%	19.7	3.1	В
	Left Turn	90	88	97.4%	32.6	7.3	С
SB	Through	55	51	93.5%	39.1	10.0	D
36	Right Turn	63	65	102.5%	5.4	0.9	Α
	Subtotal	208	204	97.9%	25.3	4.8	С
	Left Turn	71	74	104.5%	14.2	3.2	В
EB	Through	584	583	99.8%	26.2	3.6	С
LD	Right Turn	149	144	96.9%	21.8	3.1	С
	Subtotal	804	801	99.7%	24.3	3.4	С
	Left Turn	241	239	99.0%	19.0	1.5	В
WB	Through	384	387	100.8%	10.7	1.6	В
VVD	Right Turn	46	46	100.9%	8.3	2.9	Α
	Subtotal	671	672	100.2%	13.6	1.3	В
	Total	2,468	2,443	99.0%	20.1	2.0	С

MOVEMENT SUMMARY

₩ Site: 101 [Existing Plus Project PM]

Deer Valley Drive / Marsac Avenue Roundabout Site Category: (None)

Roundabout

Move	ment F	Performan	ce - Veh	icles	_	_		_		_	_	
Mov ID	Turn	Demand Total veh/h	d Flows HV %	Deg. Satn v/c	Average Delay sec	Level of Service	95% Back Vehicles veh	of Queue Distance ft	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate	Aver. No. Cycles	Average Speed mph
South:	Marsa	c Avenue										
3	L2	1	100.0	0.390	15.6	LOS C	1.9	48.1	0.68	0.74	0.86	32.2
8	T1	454	3.0	0.390	10.6	LOS B	1.9	48.1	0.68	0.74	0.86	33.0
18b	R3	74	3.0	0.390	10.6	LOS B	1.9	48.1	0.68	0.74	0.86	31.4
Appro	ach	528	3.2	0.390	10.6	LOS B	1.9	48.1	0.68	0.74	0.86	32.8
South	East: Ro	oadName										
3bx	L3	58	3.0	0.713	20.7	LOS C	6.6	175.2	0.82	1.13	1.74	29.1
3ax	L1	23	100.0	0.713	25.4	LOS D	6.6	175.2	0.82	1.13	1.74	27.9
18ax	R1	910	3.0	0.713	20.4	LOS C	6.9	176.8	0.83	1.13	1.73	28.5
Appro	ach	991	5.3	0.713	20.5	LOS C	6.9	176.8	0.83	1.13	1.73	28.6
North:	Deer V	alley Drive										
7u	U	242	3.0	0.702	12.9	LOS B	6.8	174.2	0.54	0.32	0.54	30.8
7a	L1	466	3.0	0.702	12.9	LOS B	6.8	174.2	0.54	0.32	0.54	29.9
4	T1	398	3.0	0.702	8.1	LOSA	6.8	174.2	0.37	0.21	0.37	33.3
14	R2	6	100.0	0.192	7.3	LOSA	0.8	21.7	0.25	0.13	0.25	34.4
Appro	ach	1112	3.5	0.702	11.1	LOS B	6.8	174.2	0.48	0.28	0.48	31.2
West:	Transit	Center										
5	L2	5	100.0	0.110	16.3	LOS C	0.2	8.1	0.65	0.65	0.65	30.2
12a	R1	18	100.0	0.110	16.3	LOS C	0.2	8.1	0.65	0.65	0.65	29.8
12	R2	5	100.0	0.110	16.3	LOS C	0.2	8.1	0.65	0.65	0.65	29.2
Appro	ach	28	100.0	0.110	16.3	LOS C	0.2	8.1	0.65	0.65	0.65	29.8
All Vel	nicles	2660	5.1	0.713	14.6	LOS B	6.9	176.8	0.65	0.69	1.02	30.4

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay & v/c (HCM 6). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Roundabout LOS Method: Same as Sign Control.

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay and v/c ratio (degree of saturation) per movement.

LOS F will result if v/c > 1 irrespective of movement delay value (does not apply for approaches and intersection).

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all movements (v/c not used as specified in HCM 6).

Roundabout Capacity Model: US HCM 6.

HCM Delay Formula option is used. Control Delay does not include Geometric Delay since Exclude Geometric Delay option applies. Gap-Acceptance Capacity: Traditional M1.

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

Project: C:\Users\syamagata\Desktop\Projects\Snow Park Village\Mar 2023\SIDRA\DeerValleyDrRoundabout.sip8

Deer Valley Drive East/Doe Pass Road

Signal

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/vel	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn	119	108	91.0%	5.5	2.3	А
NB	Through	67	93	138.2%	3.9	2.4	Α
IND	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	186	201	108.0%	4.6	1.6	Α
	Left Turn						
SB	Through	194	211	108.6%	2.9	1.3	Α
36	Right Turn	15	15	101.3%	1.4	2.4	Α
	Subtotal	209	226	108.0%	2.8	1.3	Α
	Left Turn	15	14	94.0%	7.2	0.9	Α
EB	Through						
LD	Right Turn	100	90	89.6%	5.5	2.1	Α
	Subtotal	115	104	90.2%	6.3	0.7	Α
	Left Turn						
WB	Through						
VVD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Total	510	530	104.0%	4.2	1.1	Α

Intersection 2

Deer Valley Drive West/Doe Pass Road

Side-street Stop

		Demand	Served Volume (vph)		Total	Delay (sec/vel	ո)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn	20	20	100.5%	11.5	2.6	В
NB	Through						
IND	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	20	20	100.5%	11.5	2.6	В
	Left Turn						
SB	Through						
36	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn						
EB	Through	702	709	101.0%	1.2	0.2	Α
LD	Right Turn	20	21	107.0%	1.3	0.4	Α
	Subtotal	722	731	101.2%	1.2	0.2	Α
	Left Turn						
WB	Through	185	172	92.9%	0.7	0.1	Α
VVD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	185	172	92.9%	0.7	0.1	Α
	Total	927	923	99.5%	1.3	0.2	Α

Deer Valley Drive East/Queen Esther Drive

Side-street Stop

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/vel	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn						
NB	Through	112	135	120.9%	0.1	0.1	Α
IND	Right Turn	17	17	101.2%	0.1	0.1	Α
	Subtotal	129	153	118.3%	0.1	0.1	Α
	Left Turn	50	49	98.2%	3.5	0.4	А
SB	Through	204	226	110.9%	0.4	0.1	Α
36	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	254	275	108.4%	1.0	0.1	Α
	Left Turn						
EB	Through						
LB	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn	20	19	97.0%	5.4	0.9	Α
WB	Through						
VVD	Right Turn	55	53	96.5%	5.1	0.4	Α
	Subtotal	75	73	96.7%	5.2	0.5	Α
	Total	458	500	109.3%	1.4	0.1	Α

Intersection 4

Solamere Drive/Deer Valley Drive East

Side-street Stop

		Demand	Served Volume (vph)		Total Delay (sec/veh)		
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn						
NB	Through						
IND	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn	24	23	97.1%	5.9	1.1	Α
SB	Through						
JD	Right Turn	57	59	104.0%	5.7	0.8	Α
	Subtotal	81	83	102.0%	5.8	0.5	Α
	Left Turn	49	52	106.1%	4.6	0.7	Α
EB	Through	230	249	108.3%	1.8	0.4	Α
LB	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	279	301	108.0%	2.2	0.4	Α
	Left Turn						
WB	Through	148	168	113.4%	0.2	0.1	Α
VVD	Right Turn	19	20	105.3%	0.0	0.1	Α
	Subtotal	167	188	112.5%	0.1	0.1	Α
	Total	527	572	108.5%	2.1	0.4	Α

Snow Park Village Existing Plus Project - Mitigated - March 2023 Update AM Peak Hour

Intersection 5

Deer Valley Drive West/Deer Valley Drive East

Signal

		Demand	Served Volume (vph)		Total	Delay (sec/ve	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn						
NB	Through	190	178	93.6%	13.6	4.2	В
IND	Right Turn	15	16	103.3%	7.6	4.4	Α
	Subtotal	205	193	94.3%	12.8	3.4	В
	Left Turn	264	284	107.7%	10.6	1.5	В
SB	Through	715	719	100.6%	8.1	0.9	Α
36	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	979	1,004	102.5%	8.8	0.8	Α
	Left Turn						
EB	Through						
LB	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn	7	7	94.3%	12.5	13.1	В
WB	Through						
VVD	Right Turn	198	218	109.9%	4.3	1.0	Α
	Subtotal	205	224	109.4%	4.7	0.9	Α
	Total		1,421	102.3%	8.6	0.8	Α

Intersection 7

Deer Valley Drive/Bonanza Drive

Signal

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/ve	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn						
NB	Through	305	308	101.1%	14.6	1.7	В
IND	Right Turn	168	167	99.3%	3.3	0.6	Α
	Subtotal	473	475	100.4%	10.7	1.3	В
	Left Turn	105	92	87.5%	13.3	2.4	В
CD	Through	719	639	88.9%	9.9	1.2	Α
SB	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	824	731	88.7%	10.3	1.1	В
	Left Turn						
ED	Through						
LB	Right Turn						
EB	Subtotal						
	Left Turn	588	606	103.0%	16.6	2.1	В
WB	Through						
	Right Turn	198	208	105.1%	5.8	1.2	Α
	Subtotal	786	814	103.5%	13.9	1.9	В
	Total	2,083	2,020	97.0%	11.8	1.0	В

Snow Park Village
Existing Plus Project - Mitigated - March 2023 Update
AM Peak Hour

Intersection 8

SR-224-Park Avenue/Empire Avenue-Deer Valley Drive

Signal

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/vel	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
NB	Left Turn	36	45	125.6%	32.0	6.1	С
	Through	191	194	101.8%	49.3	5.7	D
	Right Turn	67	70	104.2%	17.7	8.0	В
	Subtotal	294	309	105.2%	39.3	3.9	D
	Left Turn	565	422	74.7%	203.8	44.0	F
SB	Through	169	129	76.4%	167.4	39.9	F
36	Right Turn	901	732	81.3%	57.5	23.3	Ε
	Subtotal	1,635	1,284	78.5%	119.1	7.6	F
	Left Turn	320	338	105.8%	40.5	11.7	D
EB	Through	172	190	110.3%	27.5	7.5	С
LB	Right Turn	16	19	116.3%	21.1	8.6	С
	Subtotal	508	547	107.6%	35.2	9.0	D
	Left Turn	50	47	94.6%	64.6	12.7	E
WB	Through	253	303	119.7%	49.8	16.5	D
	Right Turn	257	261	101.4%	10.3	2.3	В
	Subtotal	560	611	109.1%	34.2	12.1	С
	Total	2,997	2,751	91.8%	76.3	2.1	Е

Intersection 9

Monitor Drive-Bonanza Drive/SR-248

Signal

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/ve	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
NB	Left Turn	64	57	88.4%	21.8	5.7	С
	Through	28	28	100.0%	24.9	6.2	С
IND	Right Turn	118	112	94.9%	3.5	0.9	Α
	Subtotal	210	197	93.6%	12.0	2.4	В
	Left Turn	54	57	106.3%	21.2	5.8	С
SB	Through	71	75	105.5%	24.3	4.0	С
36	Right Turn	29	31	107.9%	4.4	1.2	Α
	Subtotal	154	164	106.2%	19.5	2.1	В
Left Turn 22	Left Turn	22	22	100.9%	13.4	6.2	В
	230	245	106.7%	18.2	3.2	В	
LD	Right Turn	95	98	103.5%	10.8	3.7	В
	Subtotal	347	366	105.4%	16.1	3.3	В
	Left Turn	322	335	104.0%	14.4	2.3	В
WB	Through	324	340	105.0%	7.9	1.7	Α
	Right Turn	47	49	104.7%	4.7	1.5	Α
	Subtotal	693	724	104.5%	10.6	1.4	В
	Total	1,404	1,450	103.3%	13.2	1.6	В

Deer Valley Drive East/Doe Pass Road

Signal

	1	Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/ve	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn	150	148	98.7%	7.6	1.7	A
NB	Through	377	382	101.4%	7.7	1.1	Α
IND	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	527	530	100.6%	7.7	1.2	Α
	Left Turn						
SB	Through	97	93	95.4%	4.6	1.8	Α
36	Right Turn	15	16	107.3%	1.6	1.9	Α
	Subtotal	112	109	97.0%	4.3	1.7	Α
	Left Turn	15	15	97.3%	12.5	6.4	В
EB	Through						
LD	Right Turn	146	154	105.2%	5.2	1.5	Α
	Subtotal	161	168	104.5%	5.9	2.3	Α
	Left Turn						
WB	Through						
	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Total	800	807	100.9%	6.9	1.1	Α

Intersection 2

Deer Valley Drive West/Doe Pass Road

Side-street Stop

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/ve	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn	20	20	98.5%	19.0	10.0	С
NB	Through						
ND	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	20	20	98.5%	19.0	10.0	С
	Left Turn						
SB	Through						
36	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn						
EB	Through	264	271	102.6%	0.3	0.1	Α
LD	Right Turn	20	25	123.5%	0.4	0.3	Α
	Subtotal	284	296	104.1%	0.3	0.1	Α
	Left Turn						
WB	Through	664	656	98.8%	2.1	0.1	Α
	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	664	656	98.8%	2.1	0.1	Α
	Total	968	971	100.3%	2.0	0.3	Α

Deer Valley Drive East/Queen Esther Drive

Side-street Stop

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/ve	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn						
ND	Through	396	405	102.3%	0.4	0.1	Α
IND	Right Turn	30	31	101.7%	0.4	0.1	Α
NB SB EB	Subtotal	426	436	102.3%	0.4	0.1	Α
	Left Turn	85	83	97.2%	5.0	1.4	Α
CD	Through	135	139	103.1%	0.2	0.1	Α
36	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	220	222	100.8%	2.1	0.5	Α
	Left Turn						
ED	Through						
LD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn	29	25	86.6%	11.0	4.3	В
\A/B	Through						
WB	Right Turn	60	61	100.8%	7.1	0.9	Α
	Subtotal	89	86	96.2%	8.1	1.2	Α
	Total	735	743	101.1%	1.9	0.2	Α

Intersection 4

Solamere Drive/Deer Valley Drive East

Side-street Stop

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/ve	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn						
NB	Through						
ND	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn	17	18	105.3%	8.5	2.0	Α
SB	Through						
36	Right Turn	80	81	100.9%	8.2	1.3	Α
	Subtotal	97	99	101.6%	8.4	1.1	Α
	Left Turn	87	88	101.6%	6.1	1.1	Α
EB	Through	203	203	99.8%	1.6	0.4	Α
LD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	290	291	100.3%	3.0	0.3	Α
	Left Turn						
WB	Through	422	430	101.8%	0.6	0.1	Α
	Right Turn	34	35	103.5%	0.4	0.2	Α
	Subtotal	456	465	101.9%	0.5	0.1	Α
	Total	843	854	101.3%	2.3	0.2	Α

Deer Valley Drive West/Deer Valley Drive East

Signal

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/ve	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn						
NB SB	Through	640	634	99.1%	21.8	4.0	С
ND	Right Turn	44	44	99.1%	19.2	4.0	В
	Subtotal	684	678	99.1%	21.6	4.0	С
	Left Turn	246	247	100.4%	20.6	4.1	С
S.D.	Through	262	272	104.0%	3.8	1.1	Α
SB	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	508	519	102.2%	12.1	2.7	В
	Left Turn						
EB	Through						
LB	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn	22	22	98.2%	42.2	10.1	D
WB	Through						
	Right Turn	480	481	100.1%	27.2	8.3	С
	Subtotal	502	502	100.1%	27.7	8.1	С
	Total	1,694	1,700	100.3%	20.5	3.6	С

Intersection 7

Deer Valley Drive/Bonanza Drive

Signal

	1	Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/ve	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn						
NB	Through	857	850	99.2%	59.5	28.4	Ε
IND	Right Turn	692	683	98.6%	56.0	40.0	Ε
	Subtotal	1,549	1,533	99.0%	58.0	33.5	Е
	Left Turn	251	215	85.5%	19.5	2.5	В
SB	Through	518	475	91.6%	7.1	0.9	Α
36	Right Turn						
SB	Subtotal	769	689	89.6%	11.1	1.3	В
	Left Turn						
FR	Through						
LD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn	442	440	99.6%	19.6	2.1	В
\A/R	Through						
WB	Right Turn	129	136	105.0%	8.3	1.3	Α
	Subtotal	571	576	100.8%	16.8	1.8	В
	Total	2,889	2,798	96.8%	38.4	18.8	D

SR-224-Park Avenue/Empire Avenue-Deer Valley Drive

Signal

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/ve	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn	35	35	100.3%	34.3	9.1	С
NB	Through	395	403	102.0%	63.1	10.1	Ε
ND	Right Turn	68	64	94.7%	44.2	14.6	D
	Subtotal	498	503	100.9%	58.9	10.4	Е
	Left Turn	553	488	88.2%	169.2	8.9	F
SB	Through	363	322	88.6%	132.8	8.7	F
SB	Right Turn	364	335	92.0%	42.6	5.1	D
	Subtotal	1,280	1,144	89.4%	123.1	6.8	F
EB	Left Turn	633	470	74.3%	104.1	4.8	F
	Through	277	204	73.5%	74.9	7.4	Ε
LD	Right Turn	36	27	74.2%	64.1	14.8	Ε
	Subtotal	946	701	74.1%	93.6	3.3	F
	Left Turn	75	78	103.9%	104.7	38.2	F
WB	Through	239	286	119.5%	51.8	12.5	D
	Right Turn	743	724	97.4%	38.5	3.8	D
	Subtotal	1,057	1,087	102.8%	47.2	5.4	D
	Total	3,781	3,434	90.8%	83.7	2.1	F

Intersection 9

Monitor Drive-Bonanza Drive/SR-248

Signal

	1	Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/ve	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn	176	172	97.7%	37.0	10.5	D
NB	Through	89	88	98.8%	39.8	9.2	D
NB	Right Turn	520	502	96.6%	11.0	3.0	В
	Subtotal	785	762	97.1%	20.3	4.2	С
	Left Turn	90	86	95.0%	29.7	5.5	С
SB	Through	55	53	96.4%	42.1	11.1	D
	Right Turn	63	64	101.6%	5.0	1.1	Α
	Subtotal	208	203	97.4%	25.6	6.3	С
	Left Turn	71	67	94.8%	14.6	3.4	В
EB	Through	584	593	101.5%	26.2	2.1	С
LD	Right Turn	149	153	102.8%	19.9	3.4	В
	Subtotal	804	813	101.1%	24.2	1.7	С
	Left Turn	241	240	99.7%	18.8	3.0	В
WB	Through	384	395	102.9%	10.8	1.8	В
	Right Turn	46	47	101.5%	6.4	3.1	Α
	Subtotal	671	682	101.7%	13.4	1.6	В
	Total	2,468	2,460	99.7%	20.2	2.4	С

Snow Park Village
Opening Year Background
AM Peak Hour

Intersection 3

Deer Valley Drive East/Queen Esther Drive

Side-street Stop

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/ve	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn						
NB	Through	75	75	99.6%	0.2	0.2	Α
IND	Right Turn	20	18	90.0%	0.5	1.0	Α
	Subtotal	95	93	97.6%	0.2	0.3	Α
	Left Turn	50	50	99.8%	4.0	0.4	Α
CD	Through	120	126	105.3%	1.0	0.2	Α
SB	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	170	176	103.7%	1.9	0.3	Α
	Left Turn						
EB	Through						
LB	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn	20	22	111.0%	5.9	1.1	Α
WB	Through						
	Right Turn	55	55	100.2%	5.1	0.3	Α
	Subtotal	75	77	103.1%	5.3	0.5	Α
	Total	340	346	101.9%	2.2	0.2	Α

Intersection 4

Solamere Drive/Deer Valley Drive East

Side-street Stop

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/ve	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn						
NB	Through						
IND	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn	25	24	94.8%	6.2	1.6	Α
SB	Through						
36	Right Turn	60	62	104.0%	5.8	0.8	Α
	Subtotal	85	86	101.3%	5.9	0.9	Α
	Left Turn	50	51	101.0%	4.3	0.6	Α
EB	Through	145	153	105.4%	1.5	0.5	Α
LD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	195	203	104.3%	2.3	0.5	Α
	Left Turn						
WB	Through	110	109	99.2%	1.0	0.3	Α
VVD	Right Turn	20	21	104.0%	0.8	0.6	Α
	Subtotal	130	130	99.9%	1.0	0.2	Α
	Total		419	102.3%	2.6	0.4	Α

Snow Park Village Opening Year Background AM Peak Hour

Intersection 5

Deer Valley Drive West/Deer Valley Drive East

Side-street Stop

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/ve	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn						
NB	Through	150	151	100.9%	1.2	0.3	Α
NB	Right Turn	15	15	101.3%	0.7	0.8	Α
	Subtotal	165	167	100.9%	1.2	0.3	Α
	Left Turn	180	186	103.6%	5.7	0.5	Α
SB	Through	635	636	100.2%	3.6	0.4	Α
36	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	815	823	100.9%	4.1	0.2	Α
	Left Turn						
EB	Through						
LD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn	10	9	90.0%	14.2	10.1	В
WB	Through						
VVD	Right Turn	160	162	101.2%	4.5	0.6	Α
	Subtotal	170	171	100.5%	5.0	1.0	Α
	Total	1,150	1,160	100.9%	3.8	0.2	Α

Intersection 7

Deer Valley Drive/Bonanza Drive

Signal

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/ve	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn						
NB	Through	265	263	99.3%	12.8	2.3	В
NB	Right Turn	165	170	102.7%	2.7	0.9	Α
	Subtotal	430	433	100.6%	9.1	1.6	Α
	Left Turn	115	102	89.0%	11.7	2.9	В
SB	Through	635	620	97.6%	9.7	1.8	Α
36	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	750	722	96.3%	10.0	1.9	В
	Left Turn						
EB	Through						
LD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn	585	591	101.0%	15.6	0.8	В
WB	Through						
WB	Right Turn	215	222	103.4%	5.4	1.3	Α
	Subtotal	800	813	101.6%	12.8	0.9	В
	Total	1,980	1,968	99.4%	11.0	1.1	В

Snow Park Village Opening Year Background AM Peak Hour

Intersection 8

SR-224-Park Avenue/Empire Avenue-Deer Valley Drive

Signal

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total Delay (sec/veh)		
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn	55	51	93.5%	34.8	3.8	С
NB	Through	195	198	101.3%	51.8	5.3	D
NB	Right Turn	75	73	96.9%	19.4	5.8	В
	Subtotal	325	322	99.0%	41.9	4.6	D
	Left Turn	475	404	85.1%	212.0	13.3	F
SB	Through	170	146	85.7%	173.4	18.6	F
36	Right Turn	1,065	917	86.1%	72.5	16.1	Е
	Subtotal	1,710	1,467	85.8%	121.5	7.1	F
	Left Turn	385	392	101.7%	44.4	7.5	D
EB	Through	240	238	99.0%	28.5	5.7	С
LD	Right Turn	25	28	111.6%	28.3	14.5	С
	Subtotal	650	657	101.1%	38.2	7.0	D
	Left Turn	50	48	96.2%	75.9	14.9	Е
WB	Through	325	355	109.3%	68.7	15.7	Е
VVD	Right Turn	215	218	101.2%	10.0	1.9	В
	Subtotal	590	621	105.2%	49.4	9.8	D
	Total		3,067	93.6%	81.9	6.0	F

Intersection 9

Monitor Drive-Bonanza Drive/SR-248

Signal

		Demand	Served Volume (vph)		Total Delay (sec/veh)		h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn	65	58	89.4%	22.8	5.7	С
NB	Through	30	30	99.0%	23.4	6.9	С
IND	Right Turn	110	106	96.5%	3.1	0.7	Α
	Subtotal	205	194	94.6%	12.2	2.4	В
	Left Turn	60	56	93.2%	18.4	7.3	В
SB	Through	75	75	99.9%	25.4	4.2	С
36	Right Turn	30	31	103.0%	4.8	1.0	Α
	Subtotal	165	162	98.0%	18.9	3.0	В
	Left Turn	25	24	95.6%	14.8	1.9	В
EB	Through	250	247	98.9%	18.6	2.4	В
LD	Right Turn	100	99	98.6%	8.5	2.4	Α
	Subtotal	375	370	98.6%	15.8	2.2	В
	Left Turn	305	309	101.3%	14.0	2.3	В
WB	Through	350	344	98.4%	8.6	1.3	Α
VVD	Right Turn	50	49	98.8%	4.8	2.0	Α
	Subtotal	705	703	99.7%	10.7	1.3	В
	Total	1,450	1,428	98.5%	13.1	1.2	В

MOVEMENT SUMMARY

∀ Site: 101 [2024 BG AM]

Deer Valley Drive / Marsac Avenue Roundabout

Site Category: (None)

Roundabout

Move	ment P	erforman	ce - Veh	nicles								
Mov ID	Turn	Demand Total veh/h		Deg. Satn v/c	Average Delay sec	Level of Service	95% Back Vehicles veh	of Queue Distance ft	Prop. Queued		Aver. No. Cycles	Average Speed mph
South	: Marsac	Avenue										
3	L2	5	100.0	0.174	14.0	LOS B	0.6	15.9	0.63	0.63	0.63	33.7
8	T1	133	3.0	0.174	8.2	LOS A	0.6	16.1	0.63	0.63	0.63	33.9
18b	R3	64	3.0	0.174	8.2	LOS A	0.6	16.1	0.64	0.64	0.64	32.4
Appro	ach	202	5.6	0.174	8.4	LOSA	0.6	16.1	0.63	0.63	0.63	33.4
South	East: Ro	adName										
3bx	L3	32	3.0	0.150	4.5	LOS A	0.5	15.5	0.32	0.19	0.32	35.8
3ax	L1	21	100.0	0.150	7.4	LOS A	0.5	15.5	0.32	0.19	0.32	34.4
18ax	R1	277	3.0	0.150	4.4	LOS A	0.6	16.1	0.32	0.20	0.32	35.5
Appro	ach	330	9.3	0.150	4.6	LOSA	0.6	16.1	0.32	0.20	0.32	35.4
North:	Deer Va	lley Drive										
7u	U	27	3.0	0.769	15.4	LOS C	9.2	236.0	0.59	0.32	0.59	30.0
7a	L1	809	3.0	0.769	15.4	LOS C	9.2	236.0	0.59	0.32	0.59	29.1
4	T1	383	3.0	0.769	8.7	LOS A	9.2	236.0	0.36	0.19	0.36	33.1
14	R2	16	100.0	0.210	7.3	LOS A	0.9	24.1	0.22	0.11	0.22	34.4
Appro	ach	1234	4.3	0.769	13.2	LOS B	9.2	236.0	0.51	0.27	0.51	30.3
West:	Transit C	Center										
5	L2	5	100.0	0.201	19.9	LOS C	0.3	14.9	0.69	0.69	0.69	28.9
12a	R1	27	100.0	0.201	19.9	LOS C	0.3	14.9	0.69	0.69	0.69	28.6
12	R2	16	100.0	0.201	19.9	LOS C	0.3	14.9	0.69	0.69	0.69	28.0
Appro	ach	48	100.0	0.201	19.9	LOS C	0.3	14.9	0.69	0.69	0.69	28.4
All Vel	hicles	1814	7.8	0.769	11.3	LOS B	9.2	236.0	0.50	0.31	0.50	31.4

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay & v/c (HCM 6). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Roundabout LOS Method: Same as Sign Control.

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay and v/c ratio (degree of saturation) per movement.

LOS F will result if v/c > 1 irrespective of movement delay value (does not apply for approaches and intersection).

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all movements (v/c not used as specified in HCM 6).

Roundabout Capacity Model: US HCM 6.

HCM Delay Formula option is used. Control Delay does not include Geometric Delay since Exclude Geometric Delay option applies. Gap-Acceptance Capacity: Traditional M1.

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

Organisation: FEHR AND PEERS | Processed: Thursday, July 21, 2022 9:44:32 AM

Project: C:\Users\syamagata\Desktop\Projects\Snow Park Village\July 2022 TIS Update\SIDRA\DeerValleyDrRoundabout.sip8

Snow Park Village
Opening Year Background
PM Peak Hour

Intersection 3

Deer Valley Drive East/Queen Esther Drive

Side-street Stop

		Demand	Demand Served Volume (vph)			e (vph) Total Delay (sec/veh)		
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS	
	Left Turn							
NB	Through	305	317	103.8%	1.0	0.2	Α	
IND	Right Turn	30	32	108.0%	0.9	0.7	Α	
	Subtotal	335	349	104.1%	1.0	0.2	Α	
	Left Turn	85	86	100.8%	5.1	0.7	Α	
SB	Through	85	84	99.3%	1.8	0.9	Α	
36	Right Turn							
	Subtotal	170	170	100.1%	3.3	0.7	Α	
	Left Turn							
EB	Through							
LB	Right Turn							
	Subtotal							
	Left Turn	30	25	83.7%	8.2	2.8	Α	
WB	Through							
WD	Right Turn	60	61	102.3%	5.8	0.5	Α	
	Subtotal	90	87	96.1%	6.5	0.9	Α	
	Total	595	606	101.8%	2.4	0.3	Α	

Intersection 4

Solamere Drive/Deer Valley Drive East

Side-street Stop

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/ve	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn						
NB	Through						
ND	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn	20	19	93.0%	11.2	4.5	В
SB	Through						
36	Right Turn	80	80	99.9%	6.5	0.7	Α
	Subtotal	100	99	98.5%	7.3	1.1	Α
	Left Turn	90	89	99.1%	5.8	1.1	Α
EB	Through	150	149	99.3%	1.8	0.6	Α
LD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	240	238	99.3%	3.3	0.8	Α
	Left Turn						
WB	Through	330	339	102.6%	1.1	0.1	Α
VVD	Right Turn	35	38	108.6%	1.4	0.5	Α
	Subtotal	365	377	103.2%	1.1	0.1	Α
	Total		713	101.2%	2.7	0.3	Α

Snow Park Village
Opening Year Background
PM Peak Hour

Intersection 5

Deer Valley Drive West/Deer Valley Drive East

Side-street Stop

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/ve	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn						
NB	Through	545	547	100.3%	3.6	0.4	Α
IND	Right Turn	45	45	100.9%	1.8	0.7	Α
	Subtotal	590	592	100.3%	3.5	0.3	Α
	Left Turn	195	191	98.1%	8.7	2.1	Α
SB	Through	210	209	99.6%	1.7	0.4	Α
36	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	405	401	98.9%	5.1	1.3	Α
	Left Turn						
EB	Through						
LD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn	25	27	108.0%	41.3	27.9	Е
WB	Through						
WD	Right Turn	385	390	101.3%	36.6	16.7	Е
	Subtotal	410	417	101.7%	36.7	16.9	Е
	Total	1,405	1,410	100.3%	13.6	5.5	В

Intersection 7

Deer Valley Drive/Bonanza Drive

Signal

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/ve	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn						
NB	Through	755	743	98.4%	27.5	2.6	С
IND	Right Turn	690	696	100.9%	17.7	1.5	В
	Subtotal	1,445	1,440	99.6%	22.9	1.9	С
	Left Turn	275	204	74.3%	20.6	3.6	С
SB	Through	460	376	81.8%	7.6	1.8	Α
36	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	735	581	79.0%	12.2	1.6	В
	Left Turn						
EB	Through						
LD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn	445	444	99.7%	25.4	4.0	С
WB	Through						
WD	Right Turn	145	148	101.7%	10.0	2.0	Α
	Subtotal	590	591	100.2%	21.6	3.2	С
	Total	2,770	2,611	94.3%	20.1	1.3	С

Snow Park Village
Opening Year Background
PM Peak Hour

Intersection 8

SR-224-Park Avenue/Empire Avenue-Deer Valley Drive

Signal

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/ve	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn	35	34	97.1%	33.2	6.1	С
NB	Through	395	399	101.0%	50.8	4.0	D
IND	Right Turn	70	77	110.6%	29.5	7.9	С
	Subtotal	500	510	102.0%	46.6	3.9	D
	Left Turn	495	370	74.7%	223.0	17.6	F
SB	Through	365	274	75.2%	174.9	13.5	F
36	Right Turn	445	334	75.0%	47.8	7.2	D
	Subtotal	1,305	978	75.0%	151.2	13.7	F
	Left Turn	765	500	65.3%	84.9	4.7	F
EB	Through	355	231	65.1%	62.3	12.7	Ε
LB	Right Turn	50	37	73.6%	54.3	17.3	D
	Subtotal	1,170	768	65.6%	77.0	6.3	Е
	Left Turn	80	76	94.8%	81.2	17.1	F
WB	Through	310	357	115.0%	63.5	15.9	Е
VVD	Right Turn	640	630	98.4%	37.7	7.7	D
	Subtotal	1,030	1,062	103.1%	49.3	9.0	D
	Total		3,318	82.8%	84.7	2.6	F

Intersection 9

Monitor Drive-Bonanza Drive/SR-248

Signal

		Demand	Served Volume (vph)		Total Delay (sec/veh)		
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn	180	175	97.0%	32.9	5.9	С
NB	Through	90	93	103.3%	31.5	5.6	С
ND	Right Turn	505	468	92.6%	12.2	2.4	В
	Subtotal	775	735	94.9%	19.7	2.1	В
	Left Turn	100	99	99.4%	34.1	6.9	С
SB	Through	55	58	105.6%	39.6	4.9	D
36	Right Turn	65	66	101.5%	6.1	1.7	Α
	Subtotal	220	224	101.6%	28.0	3.2	С
	Left Turn	75	76	101.2%	16.2	3.3	В
EB	Through	635	642	101.0%	25.9	2.6	С
LD	Right Turn	150	147	97.9%	20.9	3.6	С
	Subtotal	860	864	100.5%	24.3	2.2	С
	Left Turn	230	223	97.0%	19.6	3.8	В
WB	Through	420	430	102.4%	11.5	2.2	В
VVD	Right Turn	50	51	102.8%	8.4	3.4	Α
	Subtotal	700	705	100.7%	13.8	1.9	В
	Total	2,555	2,528	98.9%	20.4	1.5	С

MOVEMENT SUMMARY



Deer Valley Drive / Marsac Avenue Roundabout

Site Category: (None)

Roundabout

Move	Movement Performance - Vehicles											
Mov ID	Turn	Demand Total veh/h	d Flows HV %	Deg. Satn v/c	Average Delay sec	Level of Service	95% Back Vehicles veh	of Queue Distance ft	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate	Aver. No. Cycles	Average Speed mph
South:	Marsa	Avenue										
3	L2	1	100.0	0.356	13.9	LOS B	1.6	41.4	0.65	0.67	0.73	32.8
8	T1	460	3.0	0.356	9.3	LOSA	1.6	41.5	0.65	0.67	0.73	33.6
18b	R3	66	3.0	0.356	9.3	LOSA	1.6	41.5	0.65	0.67	0.73	32.0
Appro	ach	526	3.2	0.356	9.3	LOS A	1.6	41.5	0.65	0.67	0.73	33.4
South	East: Ro	oadName										
3bx	L3	40	3.0	0.582	15.1	LOS C	4.0	106.0	0.75	0.94	1.29	31.4
3ax	L1	15	100.0	0.582	19.9	LOS C	4.0	106.0	0.75	0.94	1.29	30.0
18ax	R1	753	3.0	0.582	15.0	LOS B	4.2	107.0	0.76	0.94	1.29	30.7
Appro	ach	808	4.8	0.582	15.1	LOS C	4.2	107.0	0.76	0.94	1.29	30.7
North:	Deer Va	alley Drive										
7u	U	242	3.0	0.636	10.7	LOS B	5.6	143.3	0.40	0.20	0.40	31.7
7a	L1	379	3.0	0.636	10.7	LOS B	5.6	143.3	0.40	0.20	0.40	30.8
4	T1	404	3.0	0.636	7.5	LOSA	5.6	143.3	0.30	0.14	0.30	33.4
14	R2	10	100.0	0.174	6.9	LOS A	0.7	19.3	0.20	0.09	0.20	34.6
Appro	ach	1035	3.9	0.636	9.4	LOSA	5.6	143.3	0.35	0.17	0.35	32.0
West:	Transit	Center										
5	L2	5	100.0	0.090	14.6	LOS B	0.1	6.7	0.62	0.62	0.62	30.9
12a	R1	15	100.0	0.090	14.6	LOS B	0.1	6.7	0.62	0.62	0.62	30.4
12	R2	5	100.0	0.090	14.6	LOS B	0.1	6.7	0.62	0.62	0.62	29.8
Appro	ach	25	100.0	0.090	14.6	LOS B	0.1	6.7	0.62	0.62	0.62	30.4
All Vel	nicles	2395	5.1	0.636	11.4	LOS B	5.6	143.3	0.56	0.55	0.75	31.8

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay & v/c (HCM 6). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Roundabout LOS Method: Same as Sign Control.

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay and v/c ratio (degree of saturation) per movement.

LOS F will result if v/c > 1 irrespective of movement delay value (does not apply for approaches and intersection).

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all movements (v/c not used as specified in HCM 6).

Roundabout Capacity Model: US HCM 6.

HCM Delay Formula option is used. Control Delay does not include Geometric Delay since Exclude Geometric Delay option applies. Gap-Acceptance Capacity: Traditional M1.

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

Organisation: FEHR AND PEERS | Processed: Thursday, July 21, 2022 9:46:48 AM

Project: C:\Users\syamagata\Desktop\Projects\Snow Park Village\July 2022 TIS Update\SIDRA\DeerValleyDrRoundabout.sip8

Snow Park Village Opening Year Plus Project AM Peak Hour

Intersection 1

Deer Valley Drive East/Doe Pass Road

Signal

		Demand Served Volume (vph)		Total	Delay (sec/vel	h)	
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn	119	114	96.0%	9.0	2.4	Α
NB	Through	75	76	100.9%	6.8	2.8	Α
IND	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	194	190	97.9%	8.1	1.7	Α
	Left Turn						
SB	Through	198	193	97.4%	5.7	2.0	Α
36	Right Turn	15	15	102.0%	2.9	2.1	Α
	Subtotal	213	208	97.7%	5.5	1.8	Α
	Left Turn	15	15	98.7%	12.9	6.8	В
EB	Through						
LB	Right Turn	100	100	100.2%	5.5	0.4	Α
	Subtotal	115	115	100.0%	6.6	1.1	Α
	Left Turn						
WB	Through						
VVD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Total	522	513	98.3%	6.7	1.1	А

Intersection 2

Deer Valley Drive West/Doe Pass Road

Side-street Stop

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/vel	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn	20	21	106.5%	14.8	10.0	В
NB	Through						
IND	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	20	21	106.5%	14.8	10.0	В
	Left Turn						
SB	Through						
36	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn						
EB	Through	713	709	99.4%	3.7	0.3	Α
LB	Right Turn	20	20	100.5%	2.6	1.4	Α
	Subtotal	733	729	99.4%	3.6	0.4	Α
	Left Turn						
WB	Through	188	186	99.0%	0.3	0.1	Α
VVD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	188	186	99.0%	0.3	0.1	Α
	Total	941	936	99.5%	3.2	0.3	Α

Snow Park Village Opening Year Plus Project AM Peak Hour

Intersection 3

Deer Valley Drive East/Queen Esther Drive

Side-street Stop

		Demand			Total	Delay (sec/ve	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn						
NB	Through	117	113	96.8%	1.1	0.2	Α
IND	Right Turn	20	23	115.5%	1.0	0.7	Α
	Subtotal	137	136	99.5%	1.1	0.2	Α
	Left Turn	50	45	90.8%	4.3	0.9	Α
SB	Through	208	211	101.4%	1.1	0.2	Α
36	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	258	256	99.4%	1.7	0.2	Α
	Left Turn						
EB	Through						
LB	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn	20	17	86.5%	7.7	2.8	Α
WB	Through						
VVD	Right Turn	55	58	105.6%	5.3	0.7	Α
	Subtotal	75	75	100.5%	5.8	0.4	Α
	Total		468	99.6%	2.2	0.2	Α

Intersection 4

Solamere Drive/Deer Valley Drive East

Side-street Stop

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/vel	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn						
NB	Through						
ND	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn	25	26	102.4%	7.6	3.0	Α
SB	Through						
JD	Right Turn	60	57	94.2%	5.5	0.4	Α
	Subtotal	85	82	96.6%	6.1	0.9	Α
	Left Turn	50	54	107.4%	4.8	0.9	Α
EB	Through	233	230	98.8%	1.7	0.4	Α
LB	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	283	284	100.3%	2.3	0.5	Α
	Left Turn						
WB	Through	152	151	99.1%	1.0	0.2	Α
VVB	Right Turn	20	19	95.5%	0.8	0.4	Α
	Subtotal	172	170	98.7%	0.9	0.2	Α
Total		540	536	99.2%	2.5	0.3	Α

Snow Park Village Opening Year Plus Project AM Peak Hour

Intersection 5

Deer Valley Drive West/Deer Valley Drive East

Side-street Stop

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/ve	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn						
NB	Through	193	191	99.0%	1.6	0.3	Α
IND	Right Turn	15	17	113.3%	1.4	1.6	Α
	Subtotal	208	208	100.0%	1.6	0.3	Α
	Left Turn	268	265	98.9%	7.1	0.7	Α
SB	Through	723	717	99.2%	4.1	0.4	Α
36	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	991	982	99.1%	4.9	0.5	Α
	Left Turn						
EB	Through						
LD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn	10	9	89.0%	20.4	20.2	С
WB	Through						
VVD	Right Turn	202	197	97.5%	4.9	0.8	Α
	Subtotal	212	206	97.1%	5.7	1.3	Α
	Total		1,396	98.9%	4.5	0.5	Α

Intersection 7

Deer Valley Drive/Bonanza Drive

Signal

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/ve	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn						
NB	Through	307	309	100.5%	14.2	1.8	В
	Right Turn	182	181	99.3%	3.5	0.8	Α
	Subtotal	489	489	100.1%	10.2	1.2	В
	Left Turn	115	97	84.2%	13.4	2.5	В
SB	Through	723	643	89.0%	10.0	1.8	В
ЭD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	838	740	88.3%	10.5	1.7	В
	Left Turn						
EB	Through						
LD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn	620	620	100.0%	16.8	3.4	В
WB	Through						
WB	Right Turn	215	219	102.0%	6.0	1.4	Α
	Subtotal	835	839	100.5%	14.1	3.1	В
	Total	2,162	2,069	95.7%	11.9	1.7	В

Snow Park Village Opening Year Plus Project AM Peak Hour

Intersection 8

SR-224-Park Avenue/Empire Avenue-Deer Valley Drive

Signal

		Demand	Served Volume (vph)		Total	Delay (sec/vel	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn	55	50	91.6%	35.2	8.4	D
NB	Through	195	202	103.5%	55.5	5.9	Ε
IND	Right Turn	75	76	101.6%	25.5	7.0	С
	Subtotal	325	329	101.1%	44.9	5.2	D
	Left Turn	563	409	72.6%	223.7	17.0	F
SB	Through	170	125	73.4%	194.1	10.7	F
36	Right Turn	1,065	815	76.5%	62.0	7.1	Ε
	Subtotal	1,798	1,348	75.0%	126.3	5.7	F
	Left Turn	385	388	100.9%	43.5	3.6	D
EB	Through	240	240	99.9%	28.2	3.9	С
LB	Right Turn	25	25	98.4%	21.2	10.3	С
	Subtotal	650	653	100.4%	37.2	3.3	D
	Left Turn	50	52	103.8%	85.1	18.4	F
WB	Through	325	366	112.6%	64.2	13.7	Ε
VVD	Right Turn	257	255	99.1%	9.1	1.3	Α
	Subtotal	632	673	106.4%	45.9	9.9	D
Total		3,405	3,002	88.2%	80.0	2.7	F

Intersection 9

Monitor Drive-Bonanza Drive/SR-248

Signal

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/ve	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn	65	61	93.4%	23.7	4.2	С
NB	Through	30	30	101.3%	28.1	5.7	С
INB	Right Turn	127	116	91.5%	3.7	1.1	Α
	Subtotal	222	207	93.4%	13.1	1.2	В
	Left Turn	60	60	99.5%	21.2	7.2	С
SB	Through	75	74	98.9%	24.2	3.7	С
36	Right Turn	30	30	101.0%	4.4	0.9	Α
	Subtotal	165	164	99.5%	19.7	4.2	В
	Left Turn	25	24	94.0%	13.5	4.4	В
EB	Through	250	258	103.2%	20.1	2.5	С
LD	Right Turn	100	102	102.4%	12.0	2.5	В
	Subtotal	375	384	102.4%	17.7	2.2	В
	Left Turn	340	340	100.1%	14.4	1.8	В
WB	Through	350	351	100.4%	8.5	1.0	Α
VVD	Right Turn	50	53	106.6%	6.4	2.5	Α
	Subtotal	740	745	100.6%	11.1	1.5	В
Total		1,502	1,500	99.9%	14.0	1.4	В

MOVEMENT SUMMARY

Site: 101 [Opening Year Plus Project AM]

Deer Valley Drive / Marsac Avenue Roundabout Site Category: (None)

Roundabout

Move	ment P	erforman	ce - Veh	icles								
Mov ID	Turn	Demand Total veh/h	f Flows HV %	Deg. Satn v/c	Average Delay sec	Level of Service	95% Back Vehicles veh	of Queue Distance ft	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate	Aver. No. Cycles	Average Speed mph
South	Marsac	Avenue										
3	L2	5	100.0	0.225	17.2	LOS C	0.8	20.2	0.69	0.69	0.69	32.6
8	T1	133	3.0	0.225	10.4	LOS B	0.8	20.5	0.69	0.69	0.69	32.9
18b	R3	83	3.0	0.225	10.3	LOS B	0.8	20.5	0.69	0.69	0.69	31.4
Appro	ach	221	5.3	0.225	10.5	LOS B	8.0	20.5	0.69	0.69	0.69	32.3
South	East: Ro	adName										
3bx	L3	41	3.0	0.190	4.9	LOSA	0.7	20.4	0.33	0.21	0.33	35.6
3ax	L1	26	100.0	0.190	7.8	LOSA	0.7	20.4	0.33	0.21	0.33	34.2
18ax	R1	353	3.0	0.190	4.8	LOSA	0.8	21.2	0.33	0.21	0.33	35.3
Appro	ach	420	8.9	0.190	5.0	LOS A	8.0	21.2	0.33	0.21	0.33	35.2
North:	Deer Va	alley Drive										
7u	U	27	3.0	0.881	23.6	LOS C	15.0	384.2	0.92	0.54	0.92	27.1
7a	L1	967	3.0	0.881	23.6	LOS C	15.0	384.2	0.92	0.54	0.92	26.3
4	T1	383	3.0	0.881	10.4	LOS B	15.0	384.2	0.45	0.25	0.45	32.5
14	R2	16	100.0	0.241	7.7	LOS A	1.1	28.4	0.26	0.13	0.26	34.2
Appro	ach	1393	4.1	0.881	19.8	LOS C	15.0	384.2	0.78	0.46	0.79	27.9
West:	Transit (Center										
5	L2	5	100.0	0.280	25.6	LOS D	0.5	22.1	0.74	0.82	0.96	27.0
12a	R1	36	100.0	0.280	25.6	LOS D	0.5	22.1	0.74	0.82	0.96	26.7
12	R2	16	100.0	0.280	25.6	LOS D	0.5	22.1	0.74	0.82	0.96	26.2
Appro	ach	57	100.0	0.280	25.6	LOS D	0.5	22.1	0.74	0.82	0.96	26.6
All Vel	nicles	2091	7.8	0.881	16.0	LOS C	15.0	384.2	0.68	0.44	0.69	29.5

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay & v/c (HCM 6). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Roundabout LOS Method: Same as Sign Control.

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay and v/c ratio (degree of saturation) per movement.

LOS F will result if v/c > 1 irrespective of movement delay value (does not apply for approaches and intersection).

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all movements (v/c not used as specified in HCM 6).

Roundabout Capacity Model: US HCM 6.

HCM Delay Formula option is used. Control Delay does not include Geometric Delay since Exclude Geometric Delay option applies. Gap-Acceptance Capacity: Traditional M1.

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

Project: C:\Users\syamagata\Desktop\Projects\Snow Park Village\Mar 2023\SIDRA\DeerValleyDrRoundabout.sip8

Snow Park Village Opening Year Plus Project PM Peak Hour

Intersection 1

Deer Valley Drive East/Doe Pass Road

Signal

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/vel	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn	150	151	100.4%	8.5	2.7	Α
NB	Through	389	384	98.7%	8.3	3.0	Α
IND	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	539	535	99.2%	8.3	2.8	Α
	Left Turn						
SB	Through	105	101	96.0%	5.0	1.2	Α
36	Right Turn	15	16	104.0%	1.6	1.5	Α
	Subtotal	120	116	97.0%	4.6	1.1	Α
	Left Turn	15	15	100.7%	15.1	8.4	В
EB	Through						
LB	Right Turn	146	142	96.9%	5.2	0.8	Α
	Subtotal	161	157	97.3%	6.0	1.0	Α
	Left Turn						
WB	Through						
VVD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Total	820	808	98.5%	7.3	2.0	Α

Intersection 2

Deer Valley Drive West/Doe Pass Road

Side-street Stop

		Demand Served Volume (vph)			Total	Delay (sec/vel	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn	20	21	104.5%	24.3	18.6	С
NB	Through						
NB	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	20	21	104.5%	24.3	18.6	С
	Left Turn						
SB	Through						
36	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn						
EB	Through	273	267	97.9%	1.6	0.4	Α
EB	Right Turn	20	20	99.0%	1.7	0.8	Α
	Subtotal	293	287	98.0%	1.6	0.3	Α
	Left Turn						
WB	Through	674	669	99.2%	2.2	0.1	Α
VVD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	674	669	99.2%	2.2	0.1	Α
	Total	987	977	99.0%	2.5	0.4	Α

Snow Park Village Opening Year Plus Project PM Peak Hour

Intersection 3

Deer Valley Drive East/Queen Esther Drive

Side-street Stop

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/ve	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn						
NB	Through	408	405	99.3%	5.0	9.1	Α
IND	Right Turn	30	31	102.0%	3.7	5.2	Α
	Subtotal	438	436	99.5%	5.0	9.0	Α
	Left Turn	85	84	98.2%	5.1	1.0	Α
SB	Through	142	140	98.5%	1.3	0.5	Α
36	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	227	223	98.4%	2.7	0.7	Α
	Left Turn						_
EB	Through						
LD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn	30	29	97.3%	18.4	18.9	С
WB	Through						
VVD	Right Turn	60	59	98.3%	18.9	33.4	С
	Subtotal	90	88	98.0%	19.1	29.1	С
	Total		747	99.0%	6.1	8.9	Α

Intersection 4

Solamere Drive/Deer Valley Drive East

Side-street Stop

		Demand	Served Volume (vph)		Total Delay (sec/veh)		
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn						
NB	Through						
IND	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn	20	19	94.5%	72.8	90.9	F
SB	Through						
30	Right Turn	80	80	100.3%	78.0	92.7	F
	Subtotal	100	99	99.1%	77.5	92.4	F
	Left Turn	90	93	103.0%	5.5	1.1	Α
EB	Through	207	201	97.3%	1.8	0.6	Α
LB	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	297	294	99.0%	3.0	0.7	Α
	Left Turn						
WB	Through	433	424	97.8%	36.3	53.5	Е
VVD	Right Turn	35	33	95.1%	42.0	58.8	Ε
	Subtotal	468	457	97.6%	36.5	53.6	Е
	Total	865	850	98.3%	28.4	37.4	D

Snow Park Village Opening Year Plus Project PM Peak Hour

Intersection 5

Deer Valley Drive West/Deer Valley Drive East

Side-street Stop

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/ve	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn						
NB	Through	649	649	100.0%	2.9	0.5	Α
IND	Right Turn	45	44	97.6%	2.4	1.4	Α
	Subtotal	694	693	99.8%	2.8	0.4	Α
	Left Turn	252	250	99.3%	9.9	2.1	Α
SB	Through	268	263	98.1%	2.0	0.4	Α
36	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	520	513	98.7%	5.9	1.1	Α
	Left Turn						
EB	Through						
LD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn	25	22	89.6%	120.8	28.5	F
WB	Through						
VVD	Right Turn	488	435	89.2%	126.2	7.6	F
	Subtotal	513	458	89.2%	126.2	7.2	F
	Total		1,664	96.3%	39.9	2.3	Е

Intersection 7

Deer Valley Drive/Bonanza Drive

Signal

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/ve	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn						
NB	Through	858	851	99.2%	96.3	49.4	F
IND	Right Turn	731	736	100.6%	110.7	64.0	F
	Subtotal	1,589	1,587	99.9%	102.4	55.3	F
	Left Turn	275	198	71.9%	22.5	4.8	С
SB	Through	518	415	80.1%	8.0	1.8	Α
36	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	793	613	77.2%	12.6	2.6	В
	Left Turn						
EB	Through						
LD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn	468	464	99.2%	29.7	8.0	С
WB	Through						
VVD	Right Turn	145	139	96.1%	16.5	4.7	В
	Subtotal	613	603	98.4%	26.5	6.7	С
	Total	2,995	2,803	93.6%	66.9	31.3	Е

Snow Park Village Opening Year Plus Project PM Peak Hour

Intersection 8

SR-224-Park Avenue/Empire Avenue-Deer Valley Drive

Signal

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total Delay (sec/veh)		
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn	50	50	99.4%	31.3	7.8	С
NB	Through	395	396	100.2%	50.4	5.8	D
IND	Right Turn	70	72	102.7%	25.6	9.0	С
	Subtotal	515	517	100.4%	44.5	5.0	D
	Left Turn	553	380	68.7%	227.2	13.8	F
SB	Through	365	252	69.1%	179.2	12.4	F
36	Right Turn	445	307	69.0%	51.7	11.6	D
	Subtotal	1,363	939	68.9%	155.6	7.3	F
	Left Turn	765	523	68.3%	87.2	8.2	F
EB	Through	355	236	66.4%	61.6	13.0	Ε
LB	Right Turn	50	34	67.6%	52.9	15.7	D
	Subtotal	1,170	792	67.7%	78.5	8.8	Е
	Left Turn	80	75	93.3%	85.6	13.9	F
WB	Through	310	340	109.6%	60.0	8.1	Ε
VVD	Right Turn	743	660	88.8%	52.4	3.1	D
	Subtotal	1,133	1,075	94.8%	57.1	3.9	E
	Total		3,323	79.5%	88.1	2.8	F

Intersection 9

Monitor Drive-Bonanza Drive/SR-248

Signal

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/vel	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn	180	166	92.4%	41.4	8.0	D
NB	Through	90	94	104.1%	33.6	6.4	С
IND	Right Turn	546	504	92.4%	12.8	1.9	В
	Subtotal	816	764	93.7%	21.8	2.8	С
	Left Turn	100	99	99.1%	34.3	5.6	С
SB	Through	55	55	100.4%	44.7	11.5	D
36	Right Turn	65	69	105.8%	5.3	0.9	Α
	Subtotal	220	223	101.4%	27.1	3.8	С
	Left Turn	75	75	100.3%	14.9	2.7	В
EB	Through	635	632	99.5%	29.3	3.4	С
LD	Right Turn	150	152	101.1%	26.1	4.0	С
	Subtotal	860	859	99.9%	27.4	3.1	С
	Left Turn	253	250	98.6%	20.8	2.4	С
WB	Through	420	415	98.9%	12.0	0.8	В
VVD	Right Turn	50	55	110.2%	7.7	2.8	Α
	Subtotal	723	720	99.6%	14.8	0.9	В
	Total	2,619	2,566	98.0%	22.1	1.9	С

MOVEMENT SUMMARY

Roundabout

Site: 101 [Opening Year Plus Project PM]

Deer Valley Drive / Marsac Avenue Roundabout Site Category: (None)

Mov	Turn	Demand	d Flows	Deg.	Average	Level of	95% Back	of Queue	Prop.	Effective	Aver. No.	Average
ID		Total veh/h	HV %	Satn v/c	Delay sec	Service	Vehicles veh	Distance ft	Queued	Stop Rate	Cycles	Speed mpl
South	: Marsac	Avenue										
3	L2	1	100.0	0.403	16.1	LOS C	2.0	50.8	0.69	0.76	0.90	32.0
8	T1	460	3.0	0.403	11.0	LOS B	2.0	50.9	0.69	0.76	0.90	32.
18b	R3	78	3.0	0.403	11.0	LOS B	2.0	50.9	0.69	0.76	0.90	31.
Appro	ach	538	3.2	0.403	11.0	LOS B	2.0	50.9	0.69	0.76	0.90	32.
South	East: Roa	adName										
3bx	L3	62	3.0	0.737	22.2	LOS C	7.2	191.5	0.84	1.18	1.85	28.
Зах	L1	25	100.0	0.737	27.0	LOS D	7.2	191.5	0.84	1.18	1.85	27.
18ax	R1	930	3.0	0.737	21.9	LOS C	7.5	193.2	0.85	1.18	1.84	28
Appro	ach	1017	5.4	0.737	22.0	LOS C	7.5	193.2	0.85	1.18	1.84	28.
North:	Deer Val	lley Drive										
7u	U	242	3.0	0.723	13.7	LOS B	7.3	185.9	0.59	0.35	0.59	30.
7a	L1	477	3.0	0.723	13.7	LOS B	7.3	185.9	0.59	0.35	0.59	29.
4	T1	404	3.0	0.723	8.6	LOSA	7.3	185.9	0.40	0.23	0.40	33.
14	R2	10	100.0	0.198	7.4	LOSA	0.8	22.3	0.26	0.14	0.26	34
Appro	ach	1133	3.9	0.723	11.8	LOS B	7.3	185.9	0.52	0.31	0.52	30
West:	Transit C	enter										
5	L2	5	100.0	0.124	17.0	LOS C	0.2	9.2	0.66	0.66	0.66	30
12a	R1	21	100.0	0.124	17.0	LOS C	0.2	9.2	0.66	0.66	0.66	29
12	R2	5	100.0	0.124	17.0	LOS C	0.2	9.2	0.66	0.66	0.66	29
Appro	ach	31	100.0	0.124	17.0	LOS C	0.2	9.2	0.66	0.66	0.66	29
All Vel	hicles	2720	5.4	0.737	15.5	LOS C	7.5	193.2	0.68	0.73	1.09	30.

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay & v/c (HCM 6). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Roundabout LOS Method: Same as Sign Control.

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay and v/c ratio (degree of saturation) per movement.

LOS F will result if v/c > 1 irrespective of movement delay value (does not apply for approaches and intersection).

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all movements (v/c not used as specified in HCM 6).

Roundabout Capacity Model: US HCM 6.

HCM Delay Formula option is used. Control Delay does not include Geometric Delay since Exclude Geometric Delay option applies. Gap-Acceptance Capacity: Traditional M1.

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

Project: C:\Users\syamagata\Desktop\Projects\Snow Park Village\Mar 2023\SIDRA\DeerValleyDrRoundabout.sip8

Deer Valley Drive East/Doe Pass Road

Signal

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/vel	n)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn	119	118	99.2%	6.4	2.3	Α
NB	Through	75	78	103.7%	3.9	1.9	Α
IND	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	194	196	101.0%	5.4	2.0	Α
	Left Turn						
SB	Through	198	197	99.7%	4.1	2.4	Α
36	Right Turn	15	15	101.3%	1.3	1.6	Α
	Subtotal	213	213	99.8%	3.9	2.3	Α
	Left Turn	15	14	90.0%	11.7	5.7	В
EB	Through						
LB	Right Turn	100	99	98.8%	5.6	0.6	Α
	Subtotal	115	112	97.7%	6.4	0.9	Α
	Left Turn						
WB	Through						
VVD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Total	522	521	99.8%	5.0	1.8	А

Intersection 2

Deer Valley Drive West/Doe Pass Road

Side-street Stop

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total Delay (sec/veh)		
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn	20	18	88.0%	9.9	2.5	A
NB	Through						
IND	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	20	18	88.0%	9.9	2.5	Α
	Left Turn						
SB	Through						
ЭD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn						
EB	Through	713	715	100.3%	1.2	0.1	Α
LD	Right Turn	20	19	94.0%	1.3	0.6	Α
	Subtotal	733	734	100.1%	1.2	0.1	Α
	Left Turn						
WB	Through	188	186	98.9%	0.7	0.1	Α
VVD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	188	186	98.9%	0.7	0.1	А
	Total	941	937	99.6%	1.3	0.1	Α

Deer Valley Drive East/Queen Esther Drive

Side-street Stop

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/ve	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn						
NB	Through	117	118	100.6%	0.1	0.1	Α
IND	Right Turn	20	20	100.5%	0.0	0.0	Α
	Subtotal	137	138	100.6%	0.1	0.0	Α
	Left Turn	50	46	92.2%	3.5	0.4	Α
SB	Through	208	213	102.5%	0.3	0.1	Α
36	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	258	259	100.5%	0.9	0.2	Α
	Left Turn						
EB	Through						
LB	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn	20	18	92.0%	4.9	2.0	Α
WB	Through						
VVD	Right Turn	55	58	105.1%	5.1	0.3	Α
	Subtotal	75	76	101.6%	5.3	0.5	Α
	Total		473	100.7%	1.4	0.1	Α

Intersection 4

Solamere Drive/Deer Valley Drive East

Side-street Stop

		Demand	Served Volume (vph)		Total Delay (sec/veh)		
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn						
NB	Through						
ND	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn	25	27	109.6%	6.3	1.3	Α
SB	Through						
30	Right Turn	60	58	96.2%	6.0	0.8	Α
	Subtotal	85	85	100.1%	6.1	0.9	Α
	Left Turn	50	48	95.8%	4.2	0.9	Α
EB	Through	233	232	99.6%	1.6	0.3	Α
LD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	283	280	98.9%	2.0	0.3	Α
	Left Turn						
WB	Through	152	153	100.9%	0.2	0.1	Α
VVD	Right Turn	20	21	102.5%	0.1	0.1	Α
	Subtotal	172	174	101.1%	0.2	0.1	Α
	Total	540	539	99.8%	2.2	0.4	Α

Deer Valley Drive West/Deer Valley Drive East

Signal

	1	Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/vel	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn						
NB	Through	193	191	98.8%	12.3	2.7	В
IND	Right Turn	15	14	94.7%	5.3	4.6	Α
	Subtotal	208	205	98.5%	12.0	2.7	В
	Left Turn	268	265	98.8%	9.9	1.9	А
SB	Through	723	722	99.9%	8.1	1.5	Α
36	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	991	987	99.6%	8.6	1.5	Α
	Left Turn						
EB	Through						
LB	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn	10	8	82.0%	10.6	9.2	В
WB	Through						
VVD	Right Turn	202	201	99.3%	4.5	1.1	Α
	Subtotal	212	209	98.5%	4.9	1.2	Α
	Total		1,400	99.2%	8.6	1.2	Α

Intersection 7

Deer Valley Drive/Bonanza Drive

Signal

		Demand	Served Volume (vph)		Total Delay (sec/veh)		h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn						
NB	Through	307	312	101.7%	13.3	1.5	В
IND	Right Turn	182	179	98.6%	3.4	0.8	Α
	Subtotal	489	492	100.5%	9.6	1.0	Α
	Left Turn	115	94	81.6%	13.8	2.2	В
SB	Through	723	660	91.3%	9.4	1.5	Α
36	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	838	754	90.0%	9.9	1.5	Α
	Left Turn						
EB	Through						
LD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
WB	Left Turn	620	628	101.3%	17.1	1.9	В
	Through						
	Right Turn	215	210	97.5%	6.5	1.2	Α
	Subtotal	835	838	100.3%	14.4	1.8	В
	Total	2,162	2,083	96.4%	11.7	1.1	В

Snow Park Village Opening Year Plus Project - Mitigated - March 2023 Update AM Peak Hour

Intersection 8

SR-224-Park Avenue/Empire Avenue-Deer Valley Drive

Signal

		Demand	Served Volume (vph)		Total Delay (sec/veh)		h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn	55	56	101.8%	32.3	4.9	С
NB	Through	195	190	97.4%	50.6	3.7	D
IND	Right Turn	75	75	100.5%	24.6	6.9	С
	Subtotal	325	321	98.9%	42.0	4.4	D
	Left Turn	563	415	73.8%	231.3	16.7	F
SB	Through	170	118	69.2%	190.7	19.7	F
36	Right Turn	1,065	789	74.1%	58.5	10.7	Ε
	Subtotal	1,798	1,322	73.5%	127.2	11.5	F
	Left Turn	385	382	99.1%	49.5	13.2	D
EB	Through	240	245	102.1%	32.3	11.8	С
LB	Right Turn	25	25	99.2%	20.7	9.2	С
	Subtotal	650	651	100.2%	41.8	12.4	D
	Left Turn	50	49	97.0%	81.4	21.0	F
WB	Through	325	355	109.1%	54.0	8.8	D
VVD	Right Turn	257	253	98.3%	11.1	1.7	В
	Subtotal	632	656	103.8%	39.9	5.8	D
	Total	3,405	2,951	86.7%	77.5	4.6	Е

Intersection 9

Monitor Drive-Bonanza Drive/SR-248

Signal

		Demand	Served Vo	Served Volume (vph)		Total Delay (sec/veh)	
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn	65	61	93.4%	21.5	4.2	С
NB	Through	30	29	95.7%	21.4	9.2	С
IND	Right Turn	127	115	90.2%	3.5	0.5	Α
	Subtotal	222	204	91.9%	11.2	1.5	В
	Left Turn	60	56	93.0%	20.0	3.0	В
SB	Through	75	73	97.2%	24.5	4.8	С
ЭБ	Right Turn	30	32	107.7%	4.5	0.6	Α
	Subtotal	165	161	97.6%	18.9	2.5	В
	Left Turn	25	24	94.0%	14.4	3.9	В
EB	Through	250	258	103.2%	20.2	3.8	С
LD	Right Turn	100	104	104.2%	11.5	3.5	В
	Subtotal	375	386	102.8%	17.5	3.5	В
	Left Turn	340	336	98.7%	14.4	2.1	В
WB	Through	350	345	98.6%	9.0	1.2	Α
	Right Turn	50	49	98.6%	4.8	2.6	Α
	Subtotal	740	730	98.6%	11.3	1.4	В
	Total	1,502	1,481	98.6%	13.8	1.8	В

_		_	_
Intei	rcari	tion	1
milei	SEC	LIUII	_

Deer Valley Drive East/Doe Pass Road

Signal

	1	Demand	Served Volume (vph)		Total Delay (sec/veh)		h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn	150	152	101.4%	8.6	4.0	A
NB	Through	389	393	100.9%	7.4	2.4	Α
IND	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	539	545	101.0%	7.8	2.8	Α
	Left Turn						
SB	Through	105	110	104.4%	4.1	1.7	Α
ЭD	Right Turn	15	14	96.0%	1.8	1.5	Α
	Subtotal	120	124	103.3%	3.9	1.6	Α
	Left Turn	15	13	88.7%	12.4	7.1	В
EB	Through						
LD	Right Turn	146	140	95.8%	5.6	2.3	Α
	Subtotal	161	153	95.2%	6.6	3.1	Α
WB	Left Turn						
	Through						
	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Total	820	822	100.2%	6.9	2.3	Α

Intersection 2

Deer Valley Drive West/Doe Pass Road

Side-street Stop

	1	Demand	Served Vo	Served Volume (vph) Total Delay			h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn	20	19	95.5%	17.9	10.6	С
NB	Through						
IND	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	20	19	95.5%	17.9	10.6	С
	Left Turn						
SB	Through						
36	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn						
EB	Through	273	263	96.4%	0.4	0.1	Α
LB	Right Turn	20	21	103.0%	0.3	0.2	Α
	Subtotal	293	284	96.8%	0.4	0.1	Α
WB	Left Turn						
	Through	674	680	100.9%	2.2	0.1	Α
	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	674	680	100.9%	2.2	0.1	Α
	Total	987	983	99.6%	2.0	0.2	Α

Deer Valley Drive East/Queen Esther Drive

Side-street Stop

	1	Demand	Served Volume (vph)		Total	Delay (sec/ve	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn						
NB	Through	408	411	100.6%	0.4	0.1	Α
ND	Right Turn	30	32	107.3%	0.3	0.1	Α
	Subtotal	438	443	101.1%	0.4	0.1	Α
	Left Turn	85	87	102.4%	5.1	0.8	Α
SB	Through	142	149	104.6%	0.3	0.1	Α
36	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	227	236	103.8%	2.2	0.6	Α
	Left Turn						
EB	Through						
LB	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
WB	Left Turn	30	31	102.3%	10.4	2.7	В
	Through						
	Right Turn	60	65	109.0%	7.1	1.1	Α
	Subtotal	90	96	106.8%	8.3	1.3	Α
	Total	755	775	102.6%	1.9	0.3	Α

Intersection 4

Solamere Drive/Deer Valley Drive East

Side-street Stop

	1	Demand	Served Volume (vph)		Total Delay (sec/veh)		h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn						
NB	Through						
IND	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn	20	20	102.0%	10.5	2.2	В
SB	Through						
30	Right Turn	80	82	102.9%	7.8	1.4	Α
	Subtotal	100	103	102.7%	8.2	1.3	Α
	Left Turn	90	89	99.2%	6.9	1.3	Α
EB	Through	207	212	102.5%	1.7	0.4	Α
LD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	297	301	101.5%	3.2	0.6	Α
	Left Turn						
WB	Through	433	443	102.2%	0.5	0.1	Α
VVD	Right Turn	35	33	92.9%	0.4	0.2	Α
	Subtotal	468	475	101.5%	0.5	0.1	Α
	Total	865	879	101.6%	2.4	0.4	Α

Intersection 5 Deer Valley Drive West/Deer Valley Drive East

Signal

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/ve	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn						
NB	Through	649	655	100.8%	22.5	4.1	С
IND	Right Turn	45	51	112.4%	18.6	5.7	В
	Subtotal	694	705	101.6%	22.2	4.1	С
	Left Turn	252	250	99.3%	22.0	6.3	С
SB	Through	268	258	96.4%	3.1	1.0	Α
36	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	520	509	97.8%	12.4	3.8	В
	Left Turn						
EB	Through						
LD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn	25	24	95.2%	47.1	12.8	D
WB	Through						
VVD	Right Turn	488	501	102.7%	31.7	8.6	С
	Subtotal	513	525	102.3%	32.3	8.5	С
	Total	1,727	1,739	100.7%	22.4	4.4	С

Intersection 7

Deer Valley Drive/Bonanza Drive

Signal

	1	Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/ve	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn						
NB	Through	858	845	98.5%	102.5	55.6	F
ND	Right Turn	731	701	95.9%	128.6	93.0	F
	Subtotal	1,589	1,546	97.3%	115.0	72.3	F
	Left Turn	275	208	75.5%	25.4	5.2	С
SB	Through	518	397	76.7%	7.3	1.1	Α
36	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	793	605	76.3%	13.6	2.3	В
	Left Turn						
EB	Through						
LB	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn	468	474	101.2%	34.0	10.8	С
WB	Through						
VVD	Right Turn	145	144	99.2%	18.3	4.6	В
	Subtotal	613	617	100.7%	30.7	8.9	С
	Total	2,995	2,768	92.4%	75.5	41.0	Е

Intersection 8

SR-224-Park Avenue/Empire Avenue-Deer Valley Drive

Signal

		Demand	Demand Served Volume (vph)			Delay (sec/ve	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn	50	47	93.8%	33.2	7.0	С
NB	Through	395	398	100.7%	50.8	4.1	D
ND	Right Turn	70	69	98.0%	28.5	7.8	С
	Subtotal	515	513	99.7%	46.2	3.4	D
	Left Turn	553	381	68.9%	221.5	12.2	F
SB	Through	365	255	69.9%	178.2	15.1	F
36	Right Turn	445	317	71.1%	49.3	7.2	D
	Subtotal	1,363	953	69.9%	152.9	10.5	F
	Left Turn	765	514	67.1%	89.6	7.8	F
EB	Through	355	237	66.7%	66.7	13.6	Ε
LD	Right Turn	50	37	73.8%	49.2	16.4	D
	Subtotal		787	67.3%	81.3	9.6	F
	Left Turn	80	73	91.0%	72.2	20.5	Е
WB	Through	310	325	104.9%	60.1	12.4	Ε
VVD	Right Turn	743	665	89.5%	51.8	3.0	D
	Subtotal	1,133	1,063	93.8%	56.0	4.5	Е
	Total	4,181	3,317	79.3%	87.5	2.9	F

Intersection 9

Monitor Drive-Bonanza Drive/SR-248

Signal

	1	Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/ve	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn	180	163	90.4%	37.4	10.1	D
NB	Through	90	89	98.3%	33.2	8.6	С
IND	Right Turn	546	491	90.0%	13.4	3.3	В
	Subtotal	816	743	91.0%	21.5	5.0	С
	Left Turn	100	99	98.9%	29.5	6.1	С
SB	Through	55	58	105.6%	43.4	8.4	D
36	Right Turn	65	69	106.0%	5.5	1.1	Α
	Subtotal	220	226	102.7%	25.6	4.3	С
	Left Turn	75	76	101.3%	16.3	2.5	В
EB	Through	635	640	100.7%	28.2	4.4	С
LD	Right Turn	150	154	102.3%	23.3	4.4	С
	Subtotal	860	869	101.0%	26.2	4.1	С
	Left Turn	253	250	98.8%	20.8	2.7	С
WB	Through	420	433	103.2%	12.3	1.5	В
VVD	Right Turn	50	48	96.2%	7.4	2.0	Α
	Subtotal	723	732	101.2%	14.9	1.5	В
	Total	2,619	2,569	98.1%	21.5	2.8	С

Snow Park Village 2040 Background AM Peak Hour

Intersection 3

Deer Valley Drive East/Queen Esther Drive

Side-street Stop

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/ve	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn						
NB	Through	105	110	104.8%	0.3	0.2	Α
IND	Right Turn	20	20	100.0%	0.1	0.2	Α
	Subtotal	125	130	104.0%	0.3	0.2	Α
	Left Turn	50	49	97.6%	3.9	0.6	Α
SB	Through	160	169	105.3%	1.2	0.4	Α
36	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	210	217	103.5%	1.8	0.3	Α
	Left Turn						
EB	Through						
LD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn	20	21	104.0%	6.9	2.0	Α
WB	Through						
VVD	Right Turn	55	56	100.9%	5.1	0.4	Α
	Subtotal	75	76	101.7%	5.5	0.5	Α
	Total	410	424	103.3%	2.1	0.3	Α

Intersection 4

Solamere Drive/Deer Valley Drive East

Side-street Stop

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/ve	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	· · ·		Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn						
NB	Through						
IND	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn	25	23	92.0%	7.5	2.2	Α
SB	Through						
36	Right Turn	60	64	106.5%	5.6	0.7	Α
	Subtotal	85	87	102.2%	6.1	0.7	Α
	Left Turn	50	49	97.8%	4.5	0.9	Α
EB	Through	185	195	105.6%	1.5	0.4	Α
LD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	235	244	103.9%	2.2	0.4	Α
	Left Turn						
WB	Through	140	145	103.7%	0.9	0.2	Α
VVD	Right Turn	20	19	97.0%	1.3	0.6	Α
	Subtotal	160	165	102.9%	1.0	0.2	Α
	Total	480	496	103.3%	2.5	0.3	Α

Snow Park Village 2040 Background AM Peak Hour

Intersection 5

Deer Valley Drive West/Deer Valley Drive East

Side-street Stop

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/ve	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn						
NB	Through	185	188	101.4%	1.6	0.5	Α
IND	Right Turn	15	17	110.7%	1.2	0.8	Α
	Subtotal	200	204	102.1%	1.5	0.4	Α
	Left Turn	220	227	103.3%	6.6	0.8	Α
SB	Through	740	735	99.4%	4.3	0.4	Α
36	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	960	963	100.3%	4.8	0.4	Α
	Left Turn						
EB	Through						
LD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn	10	11	106.0%	17.3	16.7	С
WB	Through						
WD	Right Turn	190	195	102.7%	4.8	0.4	Α
	Subtotal	200	206	102.9%	5.5	0.9	Α
	Total	1,360	1,373	100.9%	4.4	0.3	Α

Intersection 7

Deer Valley Drive/Bonanza Drive

Signal

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/ve	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn						
NB	Through	275	273	99.4%	28.7	16.6	С
IND	Right Turn	200	195	97.7%	3.4	1.0	Α
	Subtotal	475	469	98.7%	18.4	9.9	В
	Left Turn	125	102	81.6%	14.8	3.6	В
SB	Through	655	546	83.3%	10.3	1.2	В
36	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	780	648	83.0%	11.0	1.2	В
	Left Turn						_
EB	Through						
LB	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn	700	707	101.0%	24.9	7.6	С
WB	Through						
VVD	Right Turn	225	220	97.7%	14.7	9.5	В
	Subtotal	925	927	100.2%	22.5	7.9	С
	Total	2,180	2,043	93.7%	18.0	5.9	В

Snow Park Village 2040 Background AM Peak Hour

Intersection 8

SR-224-Park Avenue/Empire Avenue-Deer Valley Drive

Signal

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/ve	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn	95	98	102.8%	31.5	5.0	С
NB	Through	195	194	99.3%	52.6	5.4	D
ND	Right Turn	70	69	98.9%	24.0	9.8	С
	Subtotal	360	361	100.1%	41.3	4.6	D
	Left Turn	480	305	63.5%	80.6	11.6	F
SB	Through	170	111	65.1%	76.7	11.0	Ε
36	Right Turn	1,565	1,004	64.1%	122.1	2.4	F
	Subtotal	2,215	1,419	64.1%	109.3	3.2	F
	Left Turn	580	545	94.0%	73.7	6.0	Е
EB	Through	360	335	93.0%	50.4	7.6	D
LB	Right Turn	45	43	95.8%	39.4	8.5	D
	Subtotal		923	93.7%	63.6	6.0	Е
	Left Turn		44	88.6%	105.3	10.8	F
WB	Through	425	412	96.8%	99.5	8.2	F
VVD	Right Turn	215	192	89.3%	14.7	4.5	В
	Subtotal	690	648	93.9%	74.9	6.9	Е
	Total		3,350	78.8%	83.0	2.5	F

Intersection 9

Monitor Drive-Bonanza Drive/SR-248

Signal

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/ve	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn	75	67	89.3%	29.5	5.9	С
NB	Through	30	31	102.0%	25.6	4.5	С
IND	Right Turn	120	111	92.2%	4.7	1.3	Α
	Subtotal	225	208	92.5%	15.7	2.7	В
	Left Turn	65	65	99.4%	27.1	7.1	С
SB	Through	75	74	98.0%	29.9	3.3	С
36	Right Turn	35	36	102.0%	4.8	1.3	Α
	Subtotal	175	174	99.3%	23.6	3.9	С
	Left Turn	25	25	98.4%	13.1	4.4	В
EB	Through	340	342	100.6%	21.6	2.7	С
LD	Right Turn	110	112	101.4%	12.9	3.8	В
	Subtotal	475	478	100.7%	19.0	2.7	В
	Left Turn	345	352	102.1%	17.2	2.1	В
WB	Through	475	473	99.6%	9.5	1.4	Α
VVD	Right Turn	55	57	103.5%	5.9	2.7	Α
	Subtotal	875	883	100.9%	12.2	1.7	В
	Total		1,743	99.6%	15.7	1.7	В

MOVEMENT SUMMARY

∀ Site: 101 [2040 BG AM]

Deer Valley Drive / Marsac Avenue Roundabout

Site Category: (None)

Roundabout

Move	ment P	erforman	ce - Veh	icles								
Mov ID	Turn	Demand Total veh/h	d Flows HV %	Deg. Satn v/c	Average Delay sec	Level of Service	95% Back Vehicles veh	of Queue Distance ft	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate	Aver. No. Cycles	Average Speed mph
South	Marsac	Avenue										
3	L2	5	100.0	0.219	16.5	LOS C	0.7	19.8	0.67	0.67	0.67	32.8
8	T1	133	3.0	0.219	10.0	LOS A	8.0	20.0	0.67	0.67	0.67	33.1
18b	R3	85	3.0	0.219	9.9	LOSA	0.8	20.0	0.68	0.68	0.68	31.5
Appro	ach	223	5.3	0.219	10.1	LOS B	8.0	20.0	0.67	0.67	0.67	32.5
South	East: Ro	adName										
3bx	L3	48	3.0	0.175	4.7	LOS A	0.7	18.6	0.32	0.20	0.32	35.6
3ax	L1	21	100.0	0.175	7.6	LOS A	0.7	18.6	0.32	0.20	0.32	34.1
18ax	R1	319	3.0	0.175	4.6	LOS A	0.8	19.2	0.33	0.20	0.33	35.3
Appro	ach	388	8.3	0.175	4.8	LOS A	0.8	19.2	0.33	0.20	0.33	35.3
North:	Deer Va	lley Drive										
7u	U	27	3.0	0.877	23.2	LOS C	14.5	370.9	0.90	0.53	0.90	27.2
7a	L1	947	3.0	0.877	23.2	LOS C	14.5	370.9	0.90	0.53	0.90	26.5
4	T1	399	3.0	0.877	10.9	LOS B	14.5	370.9	0.46	0.26	0.46	32.3
14	R2	16	100.0	0.240	7.7	LOS A	1.1	28.2	0.25	0.13	0.25	34.2
Appro	ach	1388	4.1	0.877	19.4	LOS C	14.5	370.9	0.77	0.44	0.77	28.0
West:	Transit C	Center										
5	L2	5	100.0	0.234	24.0	LOS C	0.4	17.2	0.74	0.75	0.77	27.5
12a	R1	27	100.0	0.234	24.0	LOS C	0.4	17.2	0.74	0.75	0.77	27.2
12	R2	16	100.0	0.234	24.0	LOS C	0.4	17.2	0.74	0.75	0.77	26.6
Appro	ach	48	100.0	0.234	24.0	LOS C	0.4	17.2	0.74	0.75	0.77	27.0
All Vel	nicles	2048	7.3	0.877	15.8	LOSC	14.5	370.9	0.67	0.43	0.67	29.6

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay & v/c (HCM 6). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Roundabout LOS Method: Same as Sign Control.

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay and v/c ratio (degree of saturation) per movement.

LOS F will result if v/c > 1 irrespective of movement delay value (does not apply for approaches and intersection).

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all movements (v/c not used as specified in HCM 6).

Roundabout Capacity Model: US HCM 6.

HCM Delay Formula option is used. Control Delay does not include Geometric Delay since Exclude Geometric Delay option applies. Gap-Acceptance Capacity: Traditional M1.

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

Organisation: FEHR AND PEERS | Processed: Friday, July 22, 2022 4:18:58 PM

Project: C:\Users\syamagata\Desktop\Projects\Snow Park Village\July 2022 TIS Update\SIDRA\DeerValleyDrRoundabout.sip8

Snow Park Village 2040 Background PM Peak Hour

Intersection 3

Deer Valley Drive East/Queen Esther Drive

Side-street Stop

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/ve	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn						
NB	Through	370	385	104.1%	1.2	0.2	Α
IND	Right Turn	30	32	107.7%	0.6	0.5	Α
	Subtotal	400	418	104.4%	1.2	0.2	Α
	Left Turn	85	88	103.6%	5.2	0.6	Α
SB	Through	125	121	97.0%	1.8	0.5	Α
36	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	210	209	99.7%	3.2	0.4	Α
	Left Turn						
EB	Through						
LD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn	30	30	98.3%	9.1	3.8	Α
WB	Through						
VVD	Right Turn	60	63	104.8%	7.3	2.1	Α
	Subtotal	90	92	102.7%	8.1	2.2	Α
	Total	700	719	102.8%	2.6	0.5	Α

Intersection 4

Solamere Drive/Deer Valley Drive East

Side-street Stop

		Demand	mand Served Volume (vph)		Total Delay (sec/veh)		h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn						
NB	Through						
ND	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn	20	21	104.5%	15.0	6.1	В
SB	Through						
36	Right Turn	80	79	98.1%	8.5	1.7	Α
	Subtotal	100	99	99.4%	10.1	3.0	В
	Left Turn	90	94	104.8%	6.4	1.0	Α
EB	Through	190	186	97.7%	2.1	0.5	Α
LD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	280	280	100.0%	3.6	0.6	Α
	Left Turn						
WB	Through	395	412	104.4%	1.3	0.2	Α
VVD	Right Turn	35	37	105.1%	1.4	0.3	Α
	Subtotal	430	449	104.4%	1.3	0.2	Α
	Total	810	828	102.3%	3.0	0.4	Α

Snow Park Village 2040 Background PM Peak Hour

Intersection 5

Deer Valley Drive West/Deer Valley Drive East

Side-street Stop

		Demand Served Volume (vph)		Total	Delay (sec/ve	h)	
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn						
NB	Through	645	641	99.4%	4.0	0.7	Α
IND	Right Turn	45	48	107.6%	2.9	0.7	Α
	Subtotal	690	690	100.0%	3.9	0.7	Α
	Left Turn	235	232	98.6%	9.3	1.6	Α
SB	Through	245	246	100.2%	1.8	0.4	Α
36	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	480	477	99.4%	5.4	1.0	Α
	Left Turn						
EB	Through						
LB	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn	25	27	106.4%	107.4	23.1	F
WB	Through						
WD	Right Turn	450	447	99.4%	111.6	22.5	F
	Subtotal	475	474	99.7%	111.5	22.3	F
	Total	1,645	1,641	99.7%	35.5	4.8	Е

Intersection 7

Deer Valley Drive/Bonanza Drive

Signal

	1	Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/ve	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn						
NB	Through	785	771	98.2%	78.6	50.6	Ε
IND	Right Turn	820	786	95.9%	92.1	74.1	F
	Subtotal	1,605	1,558	97.0%	85.7	62.8	F
	Left Turn	290	189	65.2%	20.6	2.6	С
SB	Through	470	335	71.2%	8.6	2.2	Α
30	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	760	524	68.9%	12.8	2.1	В
	Left Turn						
EB	Through						
LD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn	530	526	99.2%	37.2	15.3	D
WB	Through						
VVD	Right Turn	150	144	95.8%	20.4	8.7	С
	Subtotal	680	670	98.5%	33.5	14.1	С
	Total		2,751	90.3%	59.2	37.7	E

Snow Park Village 2040 Background PM Peak Hour

Intersection 8

SR-224-Park Avenue/Empire Avenue-Deer Valley Drive

Signal

		Demand	Demand Served Volume		Total	Delay (sec/ve	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn	70	71	101.3%	35.7	8.6	D
NB	Through	395	396	100.4%	54.8	5.5	D
IND	Right Turn	70	74	105.0%	41.2	9.5	D
	Subtotal	535	541	101.1%	50.6	5.6	D
	Left Turn	495	355	71.8%	216.3	16.0	F
SB	Through	365	259	71.1%	177.6	10.4	F
36	Right Turn	720	524	72.7%	55.9	7.5	Е
	Subtotal	1,580	1,138	72.0%	135.6	10.3	F
	Left Turn	1,190	527	44.3%	96.1	7.7	F
EB	Through	445	201	45.2%	75.2	18.2	Е
LB	Right Turn	70	32	45.9%	64.1	23.0	Е
	Subtotal	1,705	761	44.6%	89.7	9.7	F
	Left Turn	75	64	85.7%	122.1	17.2	F
WB	Through	405	396	97.7%	88.9	5.2	F
VVD	Right Turn	640	546	85.3%	37.1	5.8	D
	Subtotal	1,120	1,006	89.8%	63.6	3.7	Е
	Total	4,940	3,445	69.7%	90.0	3.0	F

Intersection 9

Monitor Drive-Bonanza Drive/SR-248

Signal

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total Delay (sec/veh)		h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn	210	181	86.3%	51.9	15.5	D
NB	Through	90	85	94.4%	43.4	12.4	D
ND	Right Turn	565	508	89.8%	18.1	4.9	В
	Subtotal	865	774	89.5%	29.4	7.6	С
	Left Turn	105	103	97.7%	35.5	11.6	D
SB	Through	55	56	101.3%	47.5	6.9	D
36	Right Turn	75	76	101.9%	6.4	1.6	Α
	Subtotal	235	235	99.9%	28.6	4.7	С
	Left Turn	85	90	105.8%	18.2	2.9	В
EB	Through	865	882	101.9%	37.7	7.4	D
LB	Right Turn	175	172	98.2%	34.7	9.8	С
	Subtotal	1,125	1,144	101.6%	35.8	7.3	D
	Left Turn	255	252	98.6%	25.9	2.6	С
WB	Through	570	561	98.4%	12.7	1.8	В
VVD	Right Turn	55	54	98.9%	8.3	2.7	Α
	Subtotal	880	867	98.5%	16.4	1.3	В
	Total	3,105	3,019	97.2%	28.1	3.0	С

MOVEMENT SUMMARY

∀ Site: 101 [2040 BG PM]

Deer Valley Drive / Marsac Avenue Roundabout

Site Category: (None)

Roundabout

Move	ment P	erforman	ce - Veh	icles	_							
Mov ID	Turn	Demand Total veh/h	d Flows HV %	Deg. Satn v/c	Average Delay sec	Level of Service	95% Back Vehicles veh	of Queue Distance ft	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate	Aver. No. Cycles	Average Speed mph
South	: Marsac	Avenue										
3	L2	1	100.0	0.402	15.4	LOS C	2.0	51.3	0.68	0.74	0.87	32.2
8	T1	475	3.0	0.402	10.6	LOS B	2.0	51.3	0.68	0.74	0.87	33.0
18b	R3	86	3.0	0.402	10.6	LOS B	2.0	51.3	0.68	0.74	0.87	31.4
Appro	ach	562	3.2	0.402	10.6	LOS B	2.0	51.3	0.68	0.74	0.87	32.8
South	East: Ro	adName										
3bx	L3	51	3.0	0.681	19.2	LOS C	5.8	151.3	0.81	1.08	1.61	29.7
3ax	L1	15	100.0	0.681	24.1	LOS C	5.8	151.3	0.81	1.08	1.61	28.4
18ax	R1	864	3.0	0.681	19.1	LOS C	6.0	152.4	0.82	1.08	1.61	29.1
Appro	ach	929	4.6	0.681	19.2	LOS C	6.0	152.4	0.82	1.08	1.61	29.1
North:	Deer Va	Illey Drive										
7u	U	247	3.0	0.695	12.5	LOS B	6.9	176.1	0.48	0.26	0.48	31.0
7a	L1	434	3.0	0.695	12.5	LOS B	6.9	176.1	0.48	0.26	0.48	30.1
4	T1	429	3.0	0.695	8.3	LOS A	6.9	176.1	0.34	0.17	0.34	33.1
14	R2	10	100.0	0.190	7.1	LOSA	0.8	21.5	0.22	0.10	0.22	34.5
Appro	ach	1121	3.9	0.695	10.8	LOS B	6.9	176.1	0.43	0.22	0.43	31.4
West:	Transit C	Center										
5	L2	5	100.0	0.098	16.0	LOS C	0.2	7.2	0.65	0.65	0.65	30.3
12a	R1	15	100.0	0.098	16.0	LOS C	0.2	7.2	0.65	0.65	0.65	29.9
12	R2	5	100.0	0.098	16.0	LOS C	0.2	7.2	0.65	0.65	0.65	29.2
Appro	ach	25	100.0	0.098	16.0	LOS C	0.2	7.2	0.65	0.65	0.65	29.8
All Vel	hicles	2637	4.9	0.695	13.8	LOS B	6.9	176.1	0.62	0.64	0.94	30.8

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay & v/c (HCM 6). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Roundabout LOS Method: Same as Sign Control.

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay and v/c ratio (degree of saturation) per movement.

LOS F will result if v/c > 1 irrespective of movement delay value (does not apply for approaches and intersection).

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all movements (v/c not used as specified in HCM 6).

Roundabout Capacity Model: US HCM 6.

HCM Delay Formula option is used. Control Delay does not include Geometric Delay since Exclude Geometric Delay option applies. Gap-Acceptance Capacity: Traditional M1.

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

Organisation: FEHR AND PEERS | Processed: Friday, July 22, 2022 4:20:11 PM

Project: C:\Users\syamagata\Desktop\Projects\Snow Park Village\July 2022 TIS Update\SIDRA\DeerValleyDrRoundabout.sip8

Snow Park Village 2040 Plus Project AM Peak Hour

Intersection 1

Deer Valley Drive East/Doe Pass Road

Signal

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/vel	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn	119	119	100.3%	7.7	2.5	А
NB	Through	105	104	98.7%	6.0	2.9	Α
IND	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	224	223	99.6%	6.8	2.4	Α
	Left Turn						
SB	Through	238	236	99.2%	4.6	2.5	Α
36	Right Turn	15	17	114.0%	2.1	1.1	Α
	Subtotal	253	253	100.0%	4.4	2.3	Α
	Left Turn	15	15	100.0%	12.5	7.8	В
EB	Through						
LD	Right Turn	100	105	105.0%	5.9	1.6	Α
	Subtotal	115	120	104.3%	6.8	2.4	Α
	Left Turn						
WB	Through						
VVD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Total	592	596	100.7%	5.8	2.1	Α

Intersection 2

Deer Valley Drive West/Doe Pass Road

Side-street Stop

		Demand	Served Volume (vph)		Total Delay (sec/veh)		ո)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn	20	18	87.5%	21.2	23.2	С
NB	Through						
IND	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	20	18	87.5%	21.2	23.2	С
	Left Turn						
SB	Through						
30	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn						
EB	Through	818	832	101.7%	4.4	0.4	Α
LB	Right Turn	20	18	91.5%	3.2	0.7	Α
	Subtotal	838	850	101.4%	4.3	0.4	Α
	Left Turn						
WB	Through	223	225	100.9%	0.3	0.1	Α
WD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	223	225	100.9%	0.3	0.1	Α
	Total		1,092	101.1%	3.7	0.4	А

Snow Park Village 2040 Plus Project AM Peak Hour

Intersection 3

Deer Valley Drive East/Queen Esther Drive

Side-street Stop

	1	Demand	Served Volume (vph)		Total	Delay (sec/vel	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn						
NB	Through	147	148	100.7%	1.1	0.2	Α
IND	Right Turn	20	22	107.5%	0.5	0.4	Α
	Subtotal	167	170	101.6%	1.0	0.2	Α
	Left Turn	50	45	90.6%	3.9	0.7	Α
SB	Through	248	257	103.4%	1.2	0.2	Α
36	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	298	302	101.3%	1.6	0.3	Α
	Left Turn						
EB	Through						
LD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn	20	18	89.0%	6.6	1.9	Α
WB	Through						
VVD	Right Turn	55	55	100.0%	5.2	0.6	Α
	Subtotal	75	73	97.1%	5.6	0.7	Α
	Total	540	544	100.8%	2.0	0.2	Α

Intersection 4

Solamere Drive/Deer Valley Drive East

Side-street Stop

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total Delay (sec/veh)		
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn						
NB	Through						
IND	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn	25	23	93.6%	9.6	2.6	Α
SB	Through						
36	Right Turn	60	63	105.0%	5.8	0.9	Α
	Subtotal	85	86	101.6%	6.6	0.9	Α
	Left Turn	50	51	101.4%	4.9	0.9	Α
EB	Through	273	275	100.7%	1.9	0.4	Α
LD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	323	326	100.8%	2.4	0.4	Α
	Left Turn						
WB	Through	182	184	101.0%	0.8	0.2	Α
VVD	Right Turn	20	19	97.0%	0.9	0.4	Α
	Subtotal	202	203	100.6%	0.8	0.1	Α
	Total	610	615	100.9%	2.5	0.3	Α

Snow Park Village 2040 Plus Project AM Peak Hour

Intersection 5

Deer Valley Drive West/Deer Valley Drive East

Side-street Stop

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/ve	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn						
NB	Through	228	228	99.9%	1.7	0.2	Α
ND	Right Turn	15	16	106.7%	0.8	1.2	Α
	Subtotal	243	244	100.3%	1.7	0.2	Α
	Left Turn	308	307	99.7%	7.8	0.8	Α
SB	Through	828	841	101.6%	5.2	0.5	Α
36	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	1,136	1,148	101.1%	5.9	0.5	Α
	Left Turn						
EB	Through						
LD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn	10	9	93.0%	29.1	15.8	D
WB	Through						
VVD	Right Turn	232	236	101.9%	6.2	1.0	Α
	Subtotal	242	246	101.5%	7.1	1.3	Α
	Total	1,621	1,637	101.0%	5.5	0.3	Α

Intersection 7

Deer Valley Drive/Bonanza Drive

Signal

		Demand	Served Vo	Served Volume (vph)		Delay (sec/ve	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn						
NB	Through	317	306	96.4%	30.5	26.4	С
IND	Right Turn	217	218	100.5%	4.3	0.9	Α
	Subtotal	534	524	98.1%	19.7	16.9	В
	Left Turn	125	89	71.2%	14.7	1.9	В
SB	Through	743	556	74.8%	11.0	2.2	В
36	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	868	645	74.3%	11.5	2.0	В
	Left Turn						
EB	Through						
LD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn	735	720	97.9%	29.2	8.2	С
WB	Through						
VVD	Right Turn	225	215	95.6%	21.4	23.4	С
	Subtotal	960	935	97.4%	27.4	11.3	С
	Total	2,362	2,103	89.0%	20.5	8.9	С

Snow Park Village 2040 Plus Project AM Peak Hour

Intersection 8

SR-224-Park Avenue/Empire Avenue-Deer Valley Drive

Signal

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/vel	n)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn	95	94	98.6%	32.2	5.8	С
NB	Through	195	198	101.4%	52.8	4.2	D
ND	Right Turn	75	75	99.9%	20.1	9.3	С
	Subtotal	365	366	100.4%	40.6	4.0	D
	Left Turn	568	377	66.3%	125.6	50.9	F
SB	Through	170	112	65.9%	99.0	33.1	F
36	Right Turn	1,565	1,011	64.6%	115.3	5.8	F
	Subtotal	2,303	1,500	65.1%	117.2	12.8	F
	Left Turn	580	372	64.1%	89.2	7.2	F
EB	Through	360	232	64.5%	70.5	14.6	Е
LB	Right Turn	45	31	68.2%	75.1	28.9	Е
	Subtotal	985	635	64.4%	81.5	9.6	F
	Left Turn	50	47	93.8%	114.6	15.3	F
WB	Through	425	404	95.0%	93.8	8.4	F
VVD	Right Turn	257	230	89.4%	14.9	4.1	В
	Subtotal	732	680	93.0%	69.3	4.9	Е
	Total	4,385	3,182	72.6%	90.7	6.5	F

Intersection 9

Monitor Drive-Bonanza Drive/SR-248

Signal

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/ve	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn	75	64	85.6%	26.0	5.9	С
NB	Through	30	28	94.7%	27.1	7.7	С
IND	Right Turn	137	126	91.6%	3.3	0.8	Α
	Subtotal	242	218	90.1%	13.5	2.5	В
	Left Turn	65	60	91.8%	26.8	6.1	С
SB	Through	75	73	97.9%	29.0	4.6	С
30	Right Turn	35	35	99.4%	4.3	0.6	Α
	Subtotal	175	168	95.9%	22.8	2.5	С
	Left Turn	25	25	98.4%	14.5	3.8	В
EB	Through	340	327	96.2%	22.6	2.1	С
LD	Right Turn	110	108	98.5%	11.5	2.6	В
	Subtotal	475	460	96.9%	19.6	1.5	В
	Left Turn	380	384	101.1%	19.1	2.3	В
\A/D	Through	475	466	98.1%	10.1	1.0	В
WB	Right Turn	55	53	96.5%	4.4	1.4	Α
	Subtotal	910	904	99.3%	13.5	1.2	В
	Total	1,802	1,750	97.1%	16.1	1.3	В

MOVEMENT SUMMARY

∀ Site: 101 [2040 Plus Project AM]

Deer Valley Drive / Marsac Avenue Roundabout Site Category: (None)

Roundabout

Move	Movement Performance - Vehicles											
Mov ID	Turn	Demand Total veh/h	d Flows HV %	Deg. Satn v/c	Average Delay sec	Level of Service	95% Back Vehicles veh	of Queue Distance ft	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate	Aver. No. Cycles	Average Speed mph
South:	Marsa	c Avenue										
3	L2	5	100.0	0.280	20.5	LOS C	1.0	26.0	0.74	0.77	0.83	31.6
8	T1	133	3.0	0.280	12.8	LOS B	1.0	26.2	0.74	0.77	0.83	31.8
18b	R3	104	3.0	0.280	12.7	LOS B	1.0	26.2	0.74	0.77	0.83	30.3
Appro	ach	243	5.1	0.280	12.9	LOS B	1.0	26.2	0.74	0.77	0.83	31.1
South	East: Ro	oadName										
3bx	L3	57	3.0	0.216	5.1	LOS A	0.9	23.7	0.33	0.21	0.33	35.4
3ax	L1	26	100.0	0.216	8.0	LOSA	0.9	23.7	0.33	0.21	0.33	33.9
18ax	R1	396	3.0	0.216	5.0	LOSA	1.0	24.6	0.34	0.22	0.34	35.1
Appro	ach	479	8.2	0.216	5.2	LOS A	1.0	24.6	0.34	0.22	0.34	35.1
North:	Deer V	alley Drive										
7u	U	27	3.0	0.993	42.4	LOS E	72.5	1855.3	1.00	1.23	2.16	22.2
7a	L1	1105	3.0	0.993	42.4	LOS E	72.5	1855.3	1.00	1.23	2.16	21.7
4	T1	399	3.0	0.993	14.2	LOS B	72.5	1855.3	0.45	0.41	0.73	31.0
14	R2	16	100.0	0.272	8.1	LOS A	1.2	32.9	0.29	0.16	0.29	34.0
Appro	ach	1547	4.0	0.993	34.8	LOS D	72.5	1855.3	0.85	1.01	1.77	23.6
West:	Transit	Center										
5	L2	5	100.0	0.325	31.5	LOS D	0.6	26.4	0.78	0.92	1.19	25.3
12a	R1	36	100.0	0.325	31.5	LOS D	0.6	26.4	0.78	0.92	1.19	25.0
12	R2	16	100.0	0.325	31.5	LOS D	0.6	26.4	0.78	0.92	1.19	24.5
Appro	ach	57	100.0	0.325	31.5	LOS D	0.6	26.4	0.78	0.92	1.19	24.9
All Vel	nicles	2326	7.3	0.993	26.3	LOS D	72.5	1855.3	0.73	0.82	1.36	26.0

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay & v/c (HCM 6). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Roundabout LOS Method: Same as Sign Control.

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay and v/c ratio (degree of saturation) per movement.

LOS F will result if v/c > 1 irrespective of movement delay value (does not apply for approaches and intersection).

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all movements (v/c not used as specified in HCM 6).

Roundabout Capacity Model: US HCM 6.

HCM Delay Formula option is used. Control Delay does not include Geometric Delay since Exclude Geometric Delay option applies. Gap-Acceptance Capacity: Traditional M1.

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

Organisation: FEHR AND PEERS | Processed: Tuesday, March 7, 2023 3:32:54 PM

Project: C:\Users\syamagata\Desktop\Projects\Snow Park Village\Feb 2023\SIDRA\DeerValleyDrRoundabout.sip8

Snow Park Village 2040 Plus Project PM Peak Hour

Intersection 1

Deer Valley Drive East/Doe Pass Road

Signal

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/vel	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn	150	142	94.6%	103.5	74.0	F
NB	Through	454	428	94.2%	109.5	82.3	F
IND	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	604	569	94.3%	108.3	80.5	F
	Left Turn						
SB	Through	145	143	98.8%	4.1	0.9	Α
36	Right Turn	15	14	94.7%	0.8	0.8	Α
	Subtotal	160	158	98.4%	3.9	0.8	Α
	Left Turn	15	15	96.7%	63.4	75.9	E
EB	Through						
LB	Right Turn	146	145	99.0%	18.1	18.7	В
	Subtotal	161	159	98.8%	21.9	21.4	С
	Left Turn						
WB	Through						
WB	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Total	925	886	95.8%	65.1	43.8	Е

Intersection 2

Deer Valley Drive West/Doe Pass Road

Side-street Stop

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/vel	ո)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn	20	20	99.5%	32.4	14.7	D
NB	Through						
IND	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	20	20	99.5%	32.4	14.7	D
	Left Turn						
CD	Through						
SB	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn						
ED	Through	308	308	99.8%	1.7	0.4	Α
LD	Right Turn	20	22	108.0%	2.2	1.5	Α
	Subtotal	328	329	100.3%	1.8	0.4	Α
	Left Turn						
WB	Through	774	773	99.9%	2.4	0.1	Α
VVD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	774	773	99.9%	2.4	0.1	Α
	Total	1,122	1,122	100.0%	2.8	0.2	Α

Snow Park Village 2040 Plus Project PM Peak Hour

Intersection 3

Deer Valley Drive East/Queen Esther Drive

Side-street Stop

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/ve	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn						
NB	Through	473	393	83.0%	246.9	41.6	F
IND	Right Turn	30	27	88.7%	262.9	63.4	F
	Subtotal	503	419	83.4%	247.7	42.1	F
	Left Turn	85	88	103.3%	4.4	0.6	Α
SB	Through	182	185	101.5%	1.1	0.3	Α
36	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	267	273	102.1%	2.1	0.3	Α
	Left Turn						_
EB	Through						
LD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn	30	25	82.0%	330.2	150.8	F
WB	Through						
VVD	Right Turn	60	47	78.8%	386.0	200.5	F
	Subtotal	90	72	79.9%	307.2	174.7	F
	Total	860	764	88.8%	158.3	24.8	F

Intersection 4

Solamere Drive/Deer Valley Drive East

Side-street Stop

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/ve	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn						
NB	Through						
ND	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn	20	14	70.0%	414.6	262.7	F
SB	Through						
SB	Right Turn	80	58	72.4%	453.7	255.0	F
	Subtotal	100	72	71.9%	352.9	232.3	F
	Left Turn	90	87	96.3%	4.8	0.4	Α
EB	Through	247	254	102.7%	1.9	0.5	Α
LD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	337	340	101.0%	2.6	0.6	Α
	Left Turn						
WB	Through	498	389	78.2%	167.8	24.9	F
WB I	Right Turn	35	27	76.6%	174.9	70.4	F
	Subtotal	533	416	78.1%	167.9	25.8	F
	Total		828	85.4%	109.9	20.4	F

Snow Park Village 2040 Plus Project PM Peak Hour

Intersection 5

Deer Valley Drive West/Deer Valley Drive East

Side-street Stop

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/ve	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn						
NB	Through	749	751	100.3%	3.4	0.4	Α
IND	Right Turn	45	48	106.2%	3.1	1.0	Α
	Subtotal	794	799	100.6%	3.3	0.4	Α
	Left Turn	292	292	99.9%	17.6	6.7	С
SB	Through	303	309	101.9%	2.4	0.7	Α
36	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	595	600	100.9%	9.8	3.5	Α
	Left Turn						
EB	Through						
LB	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn	25	19	74.4%	200.9	106.5	F
\A/D	Through						
WB I	Right Turn	553	385	69.7%	153.4	13.7	F
	Subtotal	578	404	69.9%	155.8	16.1	F
	Total	1,967	1,803	91.7%	39.6	1.6	Е

Intersection 7

Deer Valley Drive/Bonanza Drive

Signal

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/ve	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn						
NB	Through	888	856	96.4%	141.2	68.7	F
IND	Right Turn	861	825	95.8%	166.7	81.5	F
	Subtotal	1,749	1,681	96.1%	153.7	74.4	F
	Left Turn	290	176	60.8%	22.9	4.6	С
SB	Through	528	358	67.8%	8.1	1.6	Α
36	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	818	534	65.3%	12.8	2.0	В
	Left Turn						
EB	Through						
LD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn	553	560	101.2%	36.3	9.3	D
\A/R	Through						
WB I	Right Turn	150	149	99.5%	20.8	7.2	С
	Subtotal	703	709	100.9%	33.2	8.9	С
	Total	3,270	2,925	89.4%	99.1	42.4	F

Snow Park Village 2040 Plus Project PM Peak Hour

Intersection 8

SR-224-Park Avenue/Empire Avenue-Deer Valley Drive

Signal

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/ve	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn	70	68	97.6%	31.6	5.8	С
NB	Through	395	399	101.0%	49.6	6.1	D
IND	Right Turn	70	70	100.3%	32.0	9.8	С
	Subtotal	535	537	100.4%	44.9	5.6	D
	Left Turn	553	358	64.7%	229.7	14.2	F
SB	Through	365	232	63.7%	188.3	19.1	F
36	Right Turn	720	468	65.0%	53.4	6.9	D
	Subtotal	1,638	1,058	64.6%	146.3	9.3	F
	Left Turn	1,190	520	43.7%	86.8	7.6	F
EB	Through	445	198	44.5%	59.8	13.7	Ε
LB	Right Turn	70	30	43.1%	62.9	34.4	Ε
	Subtotal	1,705	748	43.9%	78.6	9.6	E
	Left Turn	80	66	82.6%	122.9	19.6	F
WB	Through	405	395	97.4%	94.8	4.5	F
VVD	Right Turn	743	610	82.1%	44.3	4.4	D
	Subtotal	1,228	1,071	87.2%	67.5	2.6	Е
	Total	5,106	3,415	66.9%	90.1	2.7	F

Intersection 9

Monitor Drive-Bonanza Drive/SR-248

Signal

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/vel	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn	210	179	85.0%	67.1	20.4	E
NB	Through	90	87	97.0%	50.2	13.4	D
IND	Right Turn	606	526	86.8%	25.1	10.8	С
	Subtotal	906	792	87.4%	37.9	13.3	D
	Left Turn	105	105	99.9%	42.0	9.0	D
SB	Through	55	54	97.6%	42.9	5.4	D
36	Right Turn	75	78	103.3%	6.6	2.1	Α
	Subtotal	235	236	100.5%	30.5	5.6	С
	Left Turn	85	85	100.0%	22.0	10.0	С
EB	Through	865	865	100.0%	42.5	15.4	D
LB	Right Turn	175	181	103.3%	42.0	19.3	D
	Subtotal	1,125	1,131	100.5%	40.9	15.7	D
	Left Turn	278	278	100.1%	26.4	3.3	С
\A/R	Through	570	580	101.7%	13.0	1.6	В
WB	Right Turn	55	53	96.2%	8.2	2.8	Α
	Subtotal	903	911	100.9%	16.8	1.6	В
	Total	3,169	3,069	96.9%	32.4	8.0	С

MOVEMENT SUMMARY

∀ Site: 101 [2040 Plus Project PM]

Deer Valley Drive / Marsac Avenue Roundabout Site Category: (None)

Roundabout

Move	Movement Performance - Vehicles											
Mov ID	Turn	Demand Total veh/h	d Flows HV %	Deg. Satn v/c	Average Delay sec	Level of Service	95% Back Vehicles veh	of Queue Distance ft	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate	Aver. No. Cycles	Average Speed mph
South:	Marsa	Avenue										
3	L2	1	100.0	0.452	17.9	LOS C	2.4	61.0	0.72	0.82	1.03	31.3
8	T1	475	3.0	0.452	12.5	LOS B	2.4	61.1	0.72	0.82	1.03	32.1
18b	R3	98	3.0	0.452	12.5	LOS B	2.4	61.1	0.72	0.82	1.03	30.6
Appro	ach	574	3.2	0.452	12.5	LOS B	2.4	61.1	0.72	0.82	1.03	31.8
South	East: Ro	oadName										
3bx	L3	72	3.0	0.834	30.5	LOS D	10.8	286.1	0.90	1.42	2.46	25.8
3ax	L1	25	100.0	0.834	35.4	LOS E	10.8	286.1	0.90	1.42	2.46	24.9
18ax	R1	1041	3.0	0.834	30.1	LOS D	11.3	288.0	0.91	1.42	2.44	25.5
Appro	ach	1138	5.2	0.834	30.3	LOS D	11.3	288.0	0.91	1.42	2.44	25.5
North:	Deer Va	alley Drive										
7u	U	242	3.0	0.782	16.4	LOS C	8.9	228.5	0.70	0.44	0.70	29.4
7a	L1	532	3.0	0.782	16.4	LOS C	8.9	228.5	0.70	0.44	0.70	28.6
4	T1	429	3.0	0.782	9.8	LOSA	8.9	228.5	0.46	0.28	0.46	32.4
14	R2	10	100.0	0.214	7.6	LOS A	0.9	24.4	0.28	0.15	0.28	34.3
Appro	ach	1214	3.8	0.782	14.0	LOS B	8.9	228.5	0.61	0.38	0.61	30.1
West:	Transit	Center										
5	L2	5	100.0	0.135	18.6	LOS C	0.2	9.8	0.69	0.69	0.69	29.4
12a	R1	21	100.0	0.135	18.6	LOS C	0.2	9.8	0.69	0.69	0.69	29.0
12	R2	5	100.0	0.135	18.6	LOS C	0.2	9.8	0.69	0.69	0.69	28.4
Appro	ach	31	100.0	0.135	18.6	LOS C	0.2	9.8	0.69	0.69	0.69	29.0
All Vel	nicles	2958	5.2	0.834	20.0	LOSC	11.3	288.0	0.75	0.87	1.40	28.4

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay & v/c (HCM 6). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Roundabout LOS Method: Same as Sign Control.

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay and v/c ratio (degree of saturation) per movement.

LOS F will result if v/c > 1 irrespective of movement delay value (does not apply for approaches and intersection).

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all movements (v/c not used as specified in HCM 6).

Roundabout Capacity Model: US HCM 6.

HCM Delay Formula option is used. Control Delay does not include Geometric Delay since Exclude Geometric Delay option applies. Gap-Acceptance Capacity: Traditional M1.

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

Organisation: FEHR AND PEERS | Processed: Tuesday, March 7, 2023 3:36:10 PM

Project: C:\Users\syamagata\Desktop\Projects\Snow Park Village\Feb 2023\SIDRA\DeerValleyDrRoundabout.sip8

Intersection 1

Deer Valley Drive East/Doe Pass Road

Signal

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/vel	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
NB	Left Turn	119	123	103.4%	6.2	1.9	Α
	Through	105	106	100.8%	3.6	1.5	Α
IND	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	224	229	102.1%	5.2	1.3	Α
	Left Turn						
SB	Through	238	231	97.1%	3.6	1.4	Α
36	Right Turn	15	18	119.3%	2.2	2.2	Α
	Subtotal	253	249	98.4%	3.5	1.4	Α
	Left Turn	15	16	108.0%	12.2	4.2	В
EB	Through						
LB	Right Turn	100	102	101.6%	5.7	0.5	Α
	Subtotal	115	118	102.4%	6.7	0.8	Α
	Left Turn						
WB	Through						
	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Total	592	596	100.6%	4.8	1.1	Α

Intersection 2

Deer Valley Drive West/Doe Pass Road

Side-street Stop

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/vel	ո)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
NB	Left Turn	20	18	90.5%	12.6	2.8	В
	Through						
IND	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	20	18	90.5%	12.6	2.8	В
	Left Turn						
SB	Through						
36	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn						
EB	Through	818	827	101.1%	1.3	0.2	Α
LB	Right Turn	20	23	114.0%	1.8	0.8	Α
	Subtotal	838	850	101.4%	1.3	0.2	Α
	Left Turn						
WB	Through	223	226	101.2%	1.0	0.2	Α
	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	223	226	101.2%	1.0	0.2	Α
	Total	1,081	1,093	101.1%	1.4	0.2	Α

Intersection 3

Deer Valley Drive East/Queen Esther Drive

Side-street Stop

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/vel	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn						
NB	Through	147	147	99.8%	0.1	0.1	Α
IND	Right Turn	20	22	111.0%	0.1	0.1	Α
	Subtotal	167	169	101.1%	0.1	0.0	Α
	Left Turn	50	45	89.8%	3.2	0.6	Α
SB	Through	248	251	101.1%	0.3	0.1	Α
36	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	298	296	99.2%	0.9	0.2	Α
	Left Turn						
EB	Through						
LD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn	20	18	90.0%	5.9	1.7	Α
WB	Through						
	Right Turn	55	57	103.5%	5.2	0.4	Α
	Subtotal	75	75	99.9%	5.3	0.3	Α
	Total	540	539	99.9%	1.3	0.1	Α

Intersection 4

Solamere Drive/Deer Valley Drive East

Side-street Stop

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/ve	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn						
NB	Through						
IND	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn	25	25	101.6%	6.6	0.9	Α
SB	Through						
ЭD	Right Turn	60	61	101.0%	5.8	0.8	Α
	Subtotal	85	86	101.2%	6.0	0.7	Α
	Left Turn	50	52	103.2%	4.7	1.1	Α
EB	Through	273	269	98.7%	1.6	0.4	Α
ED	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	323	321	99.4%	2.1	0.5	Α
	Left Turn						
WB	Through	182	185	101.8%	0.2	0.0	Α
WB	Right Turn	20	20	99.0%	0.0	0.1	Α
	Subtotal	202	205	101.5%	0.2	0.0	Α
	Total	610	612	100.3%	2.0	0.4	Α

Snow Park Village 2040 Plus Project - Mitigated - Revised March 2023 AM Peak Hour

Intersection 5

Deer Valley Drive West/Deer Valley Drive East

Signal

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/vel	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn						
NB	Through	228	225	98.8%	10.0	2.4	Α
	Right Turn	15	16	104.0%	6.0	3.0	Α
	Subtotal	243	241	99.1%	9.7	2.4	Α
	Left Turn	308	306	99.4%	14.4	2.5	В
SB	Through	828	833	100.6%	11.1	1.8	В
36	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	1,136	1,139	100.3%	12.0	1.7	В
	Left Turn						
EB	Through						
LB	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn	10	11	107.0%	15.4	5.8	В
WB	Through						
	Right Turn	232	233	100.2%	5.7	1.7	Α
	Subtotal	242	243	100.5%	6.2	1.6	Α
	Total	1,621	1,623	100.1%	10.8	1.2	В

Intersection 7

Deer Valley Drive/Bonanza Drive

Signal

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/ve	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn						
ND	Through	317	313	98.6%	17.2	5.4	В
NB	Right Turn	217	217	100.1%	3.2	0.4	Α
	Subtotal	534	530	99.2%	11.6	3.8	В
	Left Turn	125	94	75.5%	14.4	2.3	В
SB	Through	743	623	83.8%	10.1	1.0	В
36	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	868	717	82.6%	10.6	0.9	В
	Left Turn						
EB	Through						
LD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn	735	726	98.8%	20.8	2.7	С
WB	Through						
	Right Turn	225	218	96.7%	9.5	4.0	Α
	Subtotal	960	944	98.3%	18.2	3.1	В
	Total	2,362	2,191	92.8%	14.1	2.1	В

Snow Park Village 2040 Plus Project - Mitigated - Revised March 2023 AM Peak Hour

Intersection 8

SR-224-Park Avenue/Empire Avenue-Deer Valley Drive

Signal

		Demand	Served Vo	Served Volume (vph) Total Delay (sec/veh)			
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn	95	89	93.6%	32.3	5.3	С
NB	Through	195	188	96.6%	50.7	3.4	D
IND	Right Turn	70	71	100.9%	31.2	9.3	С
	Subtotal	360	348	96.6%	42.9	2.2	D
	Left Turn	568	370	65.1%	94.9	24.4	F
SB	Through	170	108	63.4%	74.3	13.9	Ε
36	Right Turn	1,565	1,007	64.4%	119.7	2.8	F
	Subtotal	2,303	1,484	64.5%	110.4	6.2	F
	Left Turn	580	531	91.6%	76.1	5.0	E
EB	Through	360	327	90.7%	49.9	6.3	D
LB	Right Turn	45	41	90.0%	38.3	10.8	D
	Subtotal	985	898	91.2%	65.0	5.0	Е
	Left Turn	50	45	89.8%	112.6	22.3	F
WB	Through	425	411	96.7%	98.7	9.1	F
VVB	Right Turn	257	230	89.6%	16.2	3.7	В
	Subtotal	732	686	93.7%	72.1	5.8	E
	Total	4,380	3,417	78.0%	83.8	3.4	F

Intersection 9

Monitor Drive-Bonanza Drive/SR-248

Signal

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Total Delay (sec/veh)		
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS	
NB	Left Turn	75	65	87.1%	23.3	7.3	С	
	Through	30	29	96.3%	23.9	5.9	С	
IND	Right Turn	137	128	93.6%	3.8	0.7	Α	
	Subtotal	242	223	91.9%	12.0	3.0	В	
	Left Turn	65	66	100.9%	23.6	5.3	С	
SB	Through	75	77	103.1%	24.8	2.2	С	
30	Right Turn	35	33	95.4%	5.9	1.6	Α	
	Subtotal	175	176	100.7%	21.1	2.7	С	
	Left Turn	25	24	95.2%	12.8	3.0	В	
EB	Through	340	341	100.4%	20.1	2.6	С	
LD	Right Turn	110	107	96.9%	14.0	2.2	В	
	Subtotal	475	472	99.3%	18.4	2.3	В	
	Left Turn	380	376	98.9%	15.7	3.0	В	
WB	Through	475	476	100.2%	9.3	1.6	Α	
	Right Turn	55	58	104.7%	5.6	1.3	Α	
	Subtotal	910	910	99.9%	11.8	1.7	В	
	Total	1,802	1,780	98.8%	14.5	1.8	В	

Snow Park Village 2040 Plus Project - Mitigated - March 2023 Update PM Peak Hour

Intersection 1

Deer Valley Drive East/Doe Pass Road

Signal

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/vel	n)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
NB	Left Turn	150	147	97.9%	10.5	5.9	В
	Through	454	461	101.5%	8.9	4.3	Α
IND	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	604	608	100.6%	9.2	4.6	Α
	Left Turn						
SB	Through	145	137	94.4%	4.3	2.3	Α
36	Right Turn	15	17	110.7%	2.6	1.9	Α
	Subtotal	160	154	95.9%	4.1	2.0	Α
	Left Turn	15	14	92.7%	16.2	16.8	В
EB	Through						
LB	Right Turn	146	140	95.9%	5.4	1.0	Α
	Subtotal	161	154	95.6%	6.6	2.4	Α
	Left Turn						
WB	Through						
	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Total	925	915	98.9%	7.8	3.3	А

Intersection 2

Deer Valley Drive West/Doe Pass Road

Side-street Stop

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Total Delay (sec/veh)		
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS	
NB	Left Turn	20	21	103.5%	19.8	5.3	С	
	Through							
IND	Right Turn							
	Subtotal	20	21	103.5%	19.8	5.3	С	
	Left Turn							
SB	Through							
36	Right Turn							
	Subtotal							
	Left Turn							
EB	Through	308	299	96.9%	0.3	0.1	Α	
LB	Right Turn	20	21	103.0%	0.5	0.4	Α	
	Subtotal	328	319	97.3%	0.3	0.1	Α	
	Left Turn							
WB	Through	774	776	100.3%	2.3	0.1	Α	
	Right Turn							
	Subtotal	774	776	100.3%	2.3	0.1	Α	
	Total	1,122	1,116	99.5%	2.2	0.2	Α	

Intersection 3

Deer Valley Drive East/Queen Esther Drive

Side-street Stop

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/ve	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn						
NB	Through	473	482	101.9%	0.4	0.1	Α
IND	Right Turn	30	33	108.7%	0.3	0.2	Α
	Subtotal	503	514	102.3%	0.4	0.1	Α
	Left Turn	85	84	98.8%	5.7	1.2	Α
SB	Through	182	179	98.6%	0.4	0.1	Α
36	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	267	263	98.7%	2.0	0.4	Α
	Left Turn						
FD	Through						
ЕВ	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn	30	29	98.0%	11.1	4.7	В
WB	Through						
	Right Turn	60	62	102.5%	8.0	1.1	Α
	Subtotal	90	91	101.0%	8.9	2.2	Α
	Total	860	869	101.0%	1.8	0.3	Α

Intersection 4

Solamere Drive/Deer Valley Drive East

Side-street Stop

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/vel	n)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
NB	Left Turn						
	Through						
	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn	20	19	93.5%	12.2	4.1	В
SB	Through						
JD	Right Turn	80	82	103.0%	8.5	1.6	Α
	Subtotal	100	101	101.1%	9.3	2.0	Α
	Left Turn	90	92	101.8%	7.6	1.0	Α
EB	Through	247	242	97.9%	2.0	0.4	Α
LB	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	337	333	98.9%	3.7	0.6	Α
	Left Turn						
WB	Through	498	509	102.1%	0.7	0.1	Α
WB	Right Turn	35	35	99.7%	0.4	0.2	Α
	Subtotal	533	543	102.0%	0.6	0.1	Α
	Total	970	978	100.8%	2.6	0.4	Α

Snow Park Village 2040 Plus Project - Mitigated - March 2023 Update PM Peak Hour

Intersection 5

Deer Valley Drive West/Deer Valley Drive East

Signal

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/vel	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn						
ND	Through	749	753	100.5%	35.6	21.9	D
NB	Right Turn	45	45	98.9%	31.7	20.5	С
	Subtotal	794	797	100.4%	35.3	21.8	D
	Left Turn	292	288	98.6%	27.6	5.1	С
SB	Through	303	294	97.0%	3.9	1.4	Α
36	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	595	582	97.8%	14.9	3.1	В
	Left Turn						
EB	Through						
LB	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn	25	25	99.6%	99.7	33.8	F
WB	Through						
	Right Turn	553	546	98.7%	83.2	26.3	F
	Subtotal	578	571	98.8%	83.9	26.4	F
	Total	1,967	1,950	99.1%	43.5	14.6	D

Intersection 7

Deer Valley Drive/Bonanza Drive

Signal

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/ve	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn						
NB	Through	888	857	96.5%	173.4	65.6	F
	Right Turn	861	784	91.0%	217.3	89.3	F
	Subtotal	1,749	1,641	93.8%	193.6	75.6	F
	Left Turn	290	183	63.1%	23.4	3.1	С
SB	Through	528	358	67.7%	7.5	2.4	Α
30	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	818	541	66.1%	12.6	2.4	В
	Left Turn						
EB	Through						
LD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn	553	557	100.8%	39.2	6.3	D
WB	Through						
	Right Turn	150	151	100.6%	24.9	6.9	С
	Subtotal	703	708	100.7%	36.2	6.4	D
	Total	3,270	2,890	88.4%	116.7	38.4	F

Snow Park Village 2040 Plus Project - Mitigated - March 2023 Update PM Peak Hour

Intersection 8

SR-224-Park Avenue/Empire Avenue-Deer Valley Drive

Signal

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/ve	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn	70	73	103.7%	33.5	7.8	С
NB	Through	395	392	99.1%	54.1	5.5	D
IND	Right Turn	70	71	100.7%	33.1	8.9	С
	Subtotal	535	535	99.9%	48.7	4.6	D
	Left Turn	553	366	66.1%	225.6	17.6	F
SB	Through	365	232	63.4%	181.8	18.5	F
36	Right Turn	720	478	66.4%	57.0	10.1	Ε
	Subtotal	1,638	1,076	65.7%	141.5	14.3	F
	Left Turn	1,190	524	44.1%	87.2	6.3	F
EB	Through	445	197	44.2%	65.3	13.2	Ε
LB	Right Turn	70	32	46.1%	47.1	17.8	D
	Subtotal	1,705	753	44.2%	79.9	8.1	Е
	Left Turn	75	67	89.5%	106.6	23.0	F
WB	Through	405	386	95.4%	90.3	12.2	F
	Right Turn	743	624	84.0%	46.2	7.2	D
	Subtotal	1,223	1,078	88.1%	65.8	4.6	Е
	Total	5,101	3,441	67.5%	89.4	3.9	F

Intersection 9

Monitor Drive-Bonanza Drive/SR-248

Signal

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/vel	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn	210	173	82.2%	55.8	16.2	E
NB	Through	90	85	94.0%	40.8	10.5	D
INB	Right Turn	606	523	86.3%	20.9	4.4	С
	Subtotal	906	780	86.1%	30.8	6.0	С
	Left Turn	105	107	101.7%	38.9	3.5	D
SB	Through	55	58	105.5%	52.5	8.2	D
36	Right Turn	75	73	97.9%	6.6	1.2	Α
	Subtotal	235	238	101.4%	31.0	2.5	С
	Left Turn	85	83	98.1%	19.9	4.8	В
EB	Through	865	860	99.4%	42.9	11.9	D
LD	Right Turn	175	180	102.6%	39.0	13.7	D
	Subtotal	1,125	1,123	99.8%	40.6	11.5	D
	Left Turn	278	277	99.7%	26.7	3.5	С
WB	Through	570	569	99.9%	13.1	2.3	В
VVB	Right Turn	55	55	99.5%	10.9	3.0	В
	Subtotal	903	901	99.8%	17.1	2.2	В
	Total	3,169	3,043	96.0%	30.6	5.4	С

Intersection 1

P2 Parking/Doe Pass Road

Side-street Stop

		Demand	Served Vol	ume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/vel	n)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn	71	70	98.5%	11.1	1.0	В
NB	Through						
NB	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	71	70	98.5%	11.1	1.0	В
	Left Turn						
SB	Through						
36	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn						
EB	Through	144	127	88.2%	0.7	0.4	Α
LD	Right Turn	674	608	90.2%	12.3	4.1	В
	Subtotal	818	735	89.8%	10.4	3.5	В
	Left Turn						
WB	Through	152	125	81.9%	0.4	0.1	Α
	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	152	125	81.9%	0.4	0.1	Α
	Total	1,041	929	89.3%	9.2	2.9	Α

Intersection 2

P1 Parking/Doe Pass Road

Side-street Stop

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/vel	n)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
NB	Left Turn	18	15	82.8%	8.5	0.8	Α
	Through						
	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	18	15	82.8%	8.5	0.8	Α
	Left Turn						
SB	Through						
36	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn						
EB	Through	115	101	87.7%	0.1	0.1	Α
LD	Right Turn	29	26	89.7%	0.6	0.2	Α
	Subtotal	144	127	88.1%	0.2	0.1	Α
	Left Turn						
WB	Through	134	109	81.6%	0.1	0.0	Α
	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	134	109	81.6%	0.1	0.0	Α
	Total	296	251	84.8%	0.5	0.1	А

Intersection 3

Mobility Hub Entrance/Doe Pass Road

Side-street Stop

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/ve	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
NB	Left Turn						
	Through						
	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn						
SB	Through						
36	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn						
EB	Through	100	86	85.8%	0.1	0.1	Α
LD	Right Turn	15	13	86.7%	0.3	0.0	Α
	Subtotal	115	99	85.9%	0.1	0.1	Α
	Left Turn	15	15	100.0%	1.5	1.2	Α
WB	Through	119	109	91.7%	0.2	0.1	Α
VVD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	134	124	92.6%	0.4	0.3	Α
	Total	249	223	89.5%	0.3	0.2	А

Intersection 4

Mobility Hub Exit/Doe Pass Road

Side-street Stop

	1	Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/vel	ո)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn	15	16	105.3%	30.7	4.9	D
NB	Through						
IND	Right Turn	15	15	101.3%	33.4	14.6	D
	Subtotal	30	31	103.3%	31.6	6.1	D
	Left Turn						
SB	Through						
ЭБ	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn						
EB	Through	100	86	85.9%	0.6	0.3	Α
LB	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	100	86	85.9%	0.6	0.3	Α
	Left Turn						
WB	Through	134	109	81.6%	0.1	0.0	Α
	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	134	109	81.6%	0.1	0.0	Α
	Total	264	226	85.7%	5.8	1.2	Α

Snow Park Village 2040 Plus Project - Bus Option AM Peak Hour

Intersection 5

Deer Valley Drive East/P2 Parking

Side-street Stop

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/vel	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
NB	Left Turn						
	Through	209	176	84.0%	0.7	0.1	Α
	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	209	176	84.0%	0.7	0.1	Α
	Left Turn						
SB	Through	293	257	87.6%	1.4	0.2	Α
36	Right Turn	45	47	103.6%	0.5	0.1	Α
	Subtotal	338	303	89.8%	1.2	0.2	Α
	Left Turn	15	13	85.3%	8.6	3.5	Α
EB	Through						
LD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	15	13	85.3%	8.6	3.5	Α
	Left Turn						
WB	Through						
	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Total	562	492	87.5%	1.2	0.1	Α

Intersection 6

Deer Valley Drive East/P3 Parking

Side-street Stop

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/vel	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn						
NB	Through	209	176	84.1%	1.1	0.4	Α
	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	209	176	84.1%	1.1	0.4	Α
	Left Turn						
SB	Through	249	220	88.3%	5.4	7.9	Α
36	Right Turn	44	37	84.1%	1.0	1.1	Α
	Subtotal	293	257	87.6%	4.8	6.8	Α
	Left Turn						
EB	Through						
EB	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn						
WB	Through						
VVD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Total	502	433	86.2%	3.2	3.8	Α

Snow Park Village 2040 Plus Project - Bus Option AM Peak Hour

Intersection 7

Deer Valley Drive East/P4 Parking

Side-street Stop

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/vel	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn	50	41	81.8%	1.5	1.9	Α
NB	Through	150	119	79.0%	1.6	0.8	Α
IND	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	200	159	79.7%	1.6	0.6	Α
	Left Turn						
SB	Through	200	176	88.2%	17.3	18.4	С
36	Right Turn	49	44	89.4%	3.5	7.1	Α
	Subtotal	249	220	88.4%	14.3	16.2	В
	Left Turn	59	57	97.1%	3.4	0.9	Α
EB	Through						
LB	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	59	57	97.1%	3.4	0.9	Α
	Left Turn						
WB	Through						
VVB	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Total	508	437	86.0%	8.0	8.0	А

Intersection 8

Deer Valley Drive East/Pick-up/Drop-off

Uncontrolled

	1	Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/vel	n)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn						
NB	Through	200	160	79.8%	1.0	0.3	Α
ND	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	200	160	79.8%	1.0	0.3	Α
	Left Turn						
SB	Through	200	177	88.3%	43.8	18.9	E
36	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	200	177	88.3%	43.8	18.9	Е
	Left Turn						
EB	Through						
LD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn						
WB	Through						
	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Total	400	336	84.0%	22.9	9.5	С

Intersection 101

Deer Valley Drive West/Deer Valley Drive East

Signal

		Storage	Average Queue (ft)				Maximum Queue (ft)				Exceeds
Direction	Movement	(ft)	Average	Std. Dev.	Minimum	Maximum	Average	Std. Dev.	Minimum	Maximum	Storage?
	U Turn Second Left										
NB	Left Turn Through	500	66	5	60	75 70	268	24	229	309	NO
	Right Turn Second Right	500	69	5	63	78	272	24	233	313	NO
	U Turn Second Left										
SB	Left Turn	100	7	2	4	12	112	21	85	161	MAX
35	Through	500	18	4	13	24	297	64	203	413	NO
	Right Turn Second Right										
EB	U Turn Second Left Left Turn Through										
	Right Turn Second Right										
	U Turn Second Left										
WB	Left Turn Through	500	3	1	1	6	29	11	15	43	NO
	Right Turn Second Right	100	6	1	4	8	125	18	91	143	MAX

Intersection 102

Deer Valley Drive West/Doe Pass Road

Side-street Stop

		Storage	Average Queue (ft)			Maximum Queue (ft)				Exceeds	
Direction	Movement	(ft)	Average	Std. Dev.	Minimum	Maximum	Average	Std. Dev.	Minimum	Maximum	Storage?
NB	U Turn Second Left										
	Left Turn	500	1	0	1	1	30	2	28	35	NO
IND	Through										
	Right Turn										
	Second Right										
•	U Turn										_
	Second Left										
SB	Left Turn										
36	Through										
	Right Turn										
	Second Right										
	U Turn										
	Second Left										
EB	Left Turn										
25	Through	500	63	81	4	273	274	176	107	721	NO
	Right Turn	500	89	99	10	338	353	178	189	803	NO
	Second Right										
WB	U Turn										
	Second Left										
	Left Turn										
	Through	100	0	0	0	0	19	22	0	55	NO
	Right Turn										
	Second Right										

Intersection 103

Deer Valley Drive East/Doe Pass Road

Signal

		Storage	Average Queue (ft)			Maximum Queue (ft)				Exceeds	
Direction	Movement	(ft)	Average	Std. Dev.	Minimum	Maximum	Average	Std. Dev.	Minimum	Maximum	Storage?
NB	U Turn Second Left Left Turn Through Right Turn Second Right	300	6	1	5	8	74	10	63	95	NO
SB	U Turn Second Left Left Turn Through Right Turn Second Right	150 150	13 13	3 3	9 10	19 20	169 172	34 34	131 135	239 243	MAX MAX
EB	U Turn Second Left Left Turn Through Right Turn Second Right	75 75	1 2	0	1	2	86 86	20 20	47 47	117 116	MAX MAX
WB	U Turn Second Left Left Turn Through Right Turn Second Right										

Intersection 104

Solamere Drive/Deer Valley Drive East

Side-street Stop

		Storage	Average Queue (ft)				Maximum Queue (ft)				Exceeds
Direction	Movement	(ft)	Average	Std. Dev.	Minimum	Maximum	Average	Std. Dev.	Minimum	Maximum	Storage?
NB	U Turn										
	Second Left										
	Left Turn										
ND	Through										
	Right Turn										
	Second Right										
	U Turn										
	Second Left										
SB	Left Turn	500	0	0	0	0	28	8	18	44	NO
	Through										
	Right Turn	500	0	0	0	0	28	8	18	44	NO
	Second Right										
	U Turn										
	Second Left										
EB	Left Turn	500	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	NO
25	Through	500	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	NO
	Right Turn										
	Second Right										
WB	U Turn										
	Second Left										
	Left Turn										
	Through	500	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	NO
	Right Turn	500	1	0	1	2	31	0	31	32	NO
	Second Right										

Intersection 105

Deer Valley Drive East/Queen Esther Drive

Side-street Stop

		Storage		_	Queue (ft)				Queue (ft)		Exceeds
Direction	Movement	(ft)	Average	Std. Dev.	Minimum	Maximum	Average	Std. Dev.	Minimum	Maximum	Storage?
	U Turn										
	Second Left										
NB	Left Turn										
115	Through	500	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	NO
	Right Turn	500	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	NO
	Second Right										
	U Turn										
	Second Left										
SB	Left Turn	500	0	0	0	0	4	5	0	14	NO
36	Through	500	1	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	NO
	Right Turn										
	Second Right										
	U Turn										
	Second Left										
EB	Left Turn										
LB	Through										
	Right Turn										
	Second Right										
	U Turn										
	Second Left										
WB	Left Turn	500	0	0	0	0	27	6	19	32	NO
VVD	Through										
	Right Turn	500	4	0	4	5	78	4	72	81	NO
	Second Right										

Intersection 1

P2 Parking/Doe Pass Road

Side-street Stop

	I	Storage		Average	Queue (ft)			Maximum	Queue (ft)		Exceeds
Direction	Movement	(ft)	Average	Std. Dev.	Minimum	Maximum	Average	Std. Dev.	Minimum	Maximum	Storage?
NB	U Turn Second Left Left Turn Through Right Turn Second Right	150	10	1	8	11	119	1	117	120	NO
SB	U Turn Second Left Left Turn Through Right Turn Second Right										
ЕВ	U Turn Second Left Left Turn Through Right Turn Second Right	125 125	52 42	22 20	24 17	94 80	229 208	6 6	217 197	235 215	MAX MAX
WB	U Turn Second Left Left Turn Through Right Turn Second Right	75	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	NO

Intersection 2 P1 Parking/Doe Pass Road Side-street Stop

		Storage			Queue (ft)				Queue (ft)		Exceeds
Direction	Movement	(ft)	Average	Std. Dev.	Minimum	Maximum	Average	Std. Dev.	Minimum	Maximum	Storage?
	U Turn										
	Second Left										
NB	Left Turn	150	5	0	4	5	78	2	76	82	NO
	Through										
	Right Turn										
	Second Right										
	U Turn										
	Second Left										
SB	Left Turn										
36	Through										
	Right Turn										
	Second Right										
	U Turn										
	Second Left										
EB	Left Turn										
EB	Through	125	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	NO
	Right Turn	125	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	NO
	Second Right										
	U Turn										
	Second Left										
14/5	Left Turn										
WB	Through	75	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	NO
	Right Turn										
	Second Right										

Intersection 3

Mobility Hub Entrance/Doe Pass Road

Side-street Stop

		Storage		Average	Queue (ft)			Maximum	Queue (ft)		Exceeds
Direction	Movement	(ft)	Average	Std. Dev.	Minimum	Maximum	Average	Std. Dev.	Minimum	Maximum	Storage?
	U Turn										
	Second Left										
NB	Left Turn										
NB	Through										
	Right Turn										
	Second Right										
	U Turn										
	Second Left										
SB	Left Turn										
35	Through										
	Right Turn										
	Second Right										
	U Turn										
	Second Left										
EB	Left Turn										
LD	Through	75	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	NO
	Right Turn	75	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	NO
	Second Right										
	U Turn										
	Second Left										
WB	Left Turn	100	0	0	0	0	15	13	0	35	NO
VV D	Through	100	1	0	1	1	105	0	105	105	MAX
	Right Turn										
	Second Right										

Intersection 4

Mobility Hub Exit/Doe Pass Road

Side-street Stop

		Storage	Average Queue (ft) Average Std. Dev. Minimum Maximum					Queue (ft)		Exceeds	
Direction	Movement	(ft)	Average	Std. Dev.	Minimum	Maximum	Average	Std. Dev.	Minimum	Maximum	Storage?
	U Turn										
	Second Left										
NB	Left Turn	100	14	5	10	28	146	20	120	180	MAX
110	Through										
	Right Turn	100	14	5	9	28	146	20	119	179	MAX
	Second Right										
	U Turn										
	Second Left										
SB	Left Turn										
36	Through										
	Right Turn										
	Second Right										
	U Turn										
	Second Left										
EB	Left Turn										
LB	Through	100	0	0	0	0	4	6	0	18	NO
	Right Turn										
	Second Right										
	U Turn										
	Second Left										
WB	Left Turn										
VVD	Through	75	2	1	2	3	108	10	94	127	MAX
	Right Turn										
	Second Right										

Intersection 5

Deer Valley Drive East/P2 Parking

Side-street Stop

		Storage			Queue (ft)				Queue (ft)		Exceeds
Direction	Movement	(ft)	Average	Std. Dev.	Minimum	Maximum	Average	Std. Dev.	Minimum	Maximum	Storage?
	U Turn										
	Second Left										
NB	Left Turn										
NB	Through	160	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	NO
	Right Turn										
	Second Right										
	U Turn										
	Second Left										
SB	Left Turn										
35	Through	300	0	0	0	1	4	13	0	42	NO
	Right Turn	50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	NO
-	Second Right										
	U Turn										
	Second Left										
EB	Left Turn	150	1	0	1	2	72	12	55	90	NO
25	Through										
	Right Turn										
-	Second Right										
	U Turn										
	Second Left										
WB	Left Turn										
	Through										
	Right Turn										
	Second Right		_								

Intersection 6

Deer Valley Drive East/P3 Parking

Side-street Stop

		Storage		Average (Queue (ft)			Maximum	Queue (ft)		Exceeds
Direction	Movement	(ft)	Average	Std. Dev.	Minimum	Maximum	Average	Std. Dev.	Minimum	Maximum	Storage?
	U Turn Second Left										
NB	Left Turn Through	200	1	0	0	2	38	18	23	81	NO
	Right Turn	200	_	O	O	2	36	10	23	01	NO
	Second Right										
	U Turn										
	Second Left										
SB	Left Turn										
35	Through	160	2	3	0	10	54	33	13	131	NO
	Right Turn	50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	NO
	Second Right										
	U Turn										
	Second Left										
EB	Left Turn										
	Through										
	Right Turn										
	Second Right										
	U Turn										
	Second Left										
WB	Left Turn										
	Through										
	Right Turn										
	Second Right										

Intersection 7

Deer Valley Drive East/P4 Parking

Side-street Stop

	I	Storage		Average (Queue (ft)			Maximum	Queue (ft)		Exceeds
Direction	Movement	(ft)	Average	Std. Dev.	Minimum	Maximum	Average	Std. Dev.	Minimum	Maximum	Storage?
NB	U Turn Second Left Left Turn	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	NO
IND	Through Right Turn Second Right	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	NO
SB	U Turn Second Left Left Turn Through Right Turn Second Right	75 75	1 1	2 2	0 0	6 6	14 14	18 18	0 0	39 39	NO NO
EB	U Turn Second Left Left Turn Through Right Turn Second Right	150	2	0	1	3	82	1	80	84	NO
WB	U Turn Second Left Left Turn Through Right Turn Second Right										

Intersection 8

Deer Valley Drive East/Pick-up/Drop-off

Uncontrolled

		Storage	Average Queue (ft) Average Std. Dev. Minimum Maximum					Queue (ft)		Exceeds	
Direction	Movement	(ft)	Average	Std. Dev.	Minimum	Maximum	Average	Std. Dev.	Minimum	Maximum	Storage?
	U Turn										
	Second Left										
NB	Left Turn										
NB	Through	150	0	0	0	0	5	7	0	21	NO
	Right Turn										
	Second Right										
	U Turn										
	Second Left										
SB	Left Turn										
36	Through	150	13	9	0	31	92	42	21	162	NO
	Right Turn										
	Second Right										
	U Turn										_
	Second Left										
EB	Left Turn										
LB	Through										
	Right Turn										
	Second Right										
	U Turn										
	Second Left										
WB	Left Turn										
VVD	Through										
	Right Turn										
	Second Right										

Intersection 1

P2 Parking/Doe Pass Road

Side-street Stop

	1	Demand	Served Vol	ume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/vel	n)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn	596	226	37.8%	11.2	0.7	В
NB	Through						
IND	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	596	226	37.8%	11.2	0.7	В
	Left Turn						
SB	Through						
36	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn						
EB	Through	175	155	88.8%	2.5	0.8	Α
LD	Right Turn	133	122	91.7%	0.5	0.1	Α
	Subtotal	308	277	90.1%	1.6	0.5	Α
	Left Turn						
WB	Through	178	143	80.1%	1.6	0.3	Α
WB	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	178	143	80.1%	1.6	0.3	Α
	Total	1,082	645	59.6%	5.0	0.5	Α

Intersection 2

P1 Parking/Doe Pass Road

Side-street Stop

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/vel	n)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn	13	12	91.5%	9.8	1.9	А
NB	Through						
NB	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	13	12	91.5%	9.8	1.9	А
	Left Turn						
SB	Through						
36	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn						
EB	Through	161	142	88.4%	0.2	0.1	Α
LD	Right Turn	14	13	92.1%	0.6	0.3	Α
	Subtotal	175	155	88.7%	0.2	0.1	Α
	Left Turn						
WB	Through	165	131	79.3%	0.6	0.1	Α
VVD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	165	131	79.3%	0.6	0.1	Α
	Total	353	298	84.4%	0.8	0.1	Α

Intersection 3

Mobility Hub Entrance/Doe Pass Road

Side-street Stop

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/vel	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn						
NB	Through						
NB	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn						
SB	Through						
36	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn						
EB	Through	146	127	87.0%	0.6	1.4	Α
LD	Right Turn	15	13	86.7%	2.5	7.0	Α
	Subtotal	161	140	87.0%	0.8	1.9	Α
	Left Turn	15	15	96.7%	1.2	1.1	Α
WB	Through	150	131	87.3%	0.6	0.3	Α
VVD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	165	145	88.1%	0.7	0.5	Α
	Total	326	285	87.5%	0.7	0.9	Α

Intersection 4

Mobility Hub Exit/Doe Pass Road

Side-street Stop

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/vel	n)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn	15	15	102.0%	36.6	12.8	E
NB	Through						
NB	Right Turn	15	15	100.0%	22.7	7.8	С
	Subtotal	30	30	101.0%	30.6	8.6	D
	Left Turn						
SB	Through						
36	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn						
EB	Through	161	127	78.8%	1.3	0.9	Α
LD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	161	127	78.8%	1.3	0.9	Α
	Left Turn						
WB	Through	165	131	79.4%	0.8	0.3	Α
WB	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	165	131	79.4%	0.8	0.3	Α
	Total		288	81.0%	5.1	1.6	Α

Vissim Post-Processor Average Results from 10 Runs Volume and Delay by Movement Snow Park Village 2040 Plus Project - Bus Option PM Peak Hour

Intersection 5

Deer Valley Drive East/P2 Parking

Side-street Stop

		Demand	Served Vo	ume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/vel	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn						
NB	Through	465	418	89.9%	0.8	0.3	Α
NB	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	465	418	89.9%	0.8	0.3	А
	Left Turn						
SB	Through	196	167	85.4%	1.0	0.2	Α
36	Right Turn	95	88	92.4%	0.7	0.2	Α
	Subtotal	291	255	87.7%	0.9	0.1	Α
	Left Turn	139	137	98.8%	5.9	0.8	А
EB	Through						
LD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	139	137	98.8%	5.9	0.8	Α
	Left Turn						
WB	Through						
VVD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Total	895	810	90.5%	1.7	0.3	А

Intersection 6

Deer Valley Drive East/P3 Parking

Side-street Stop

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total Delay (sec/veh)			
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS	
	Left Turn							
NB	Through	326	280	85.8%	1.0	0.3	Α	
NB	Right Turn							
	Subtotal	326	280	85.8%	1.0	0.3	А	
	Left Turn							
CD	Through	196	167	85.4%	1.2	0.6	Α	
36	Right Turn							
SB	Subtotal	196	167	85.4%	1.2	0.6	Α	
	Left Turn	139	138	99.5%	8.6	1.0	Α	
EB	Through							
LD	Right Turn							
	Subtotal	139	138	99.5%	8.6	1.0	Α	
	Left Turn							
WB	Through							
WB	Right Turn							
	Subtotal							
	Total	661	585	88.5%	2.9	0.3	А	

Vissim Post-Processor Average Results from 10 Runs Volume and Delay by Movement Snow Park Village 2040 Plus Project - Bus Option PM Peak Hour

Intersection 7

Deer Valley Drive East/P4 Parking

Side-street Stop

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	ո)	
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn						
NB	Through	200	157	78.7%	2.8	1.0	Α
IND	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	200	157	78.7%	2.8	1.0	Α
'	Left Turn						
SB	Through	150	128	85.1%	16.2	15.7	С
36	Right Turn	46	39	84.3%	0.6	0.6	Α
	Subtotal	196	167	84.9%	12.4	11.7	В
	Left Turn	126	122	97.1%	11.4	12.2	В
EB	Through						
LD	Right Turn	50	48	96.8%	23.3	26.6	С
	Subtotal	176	171	97.0%	14.3	15.4	В
	Left Turn						
WB	Through						
VVD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Total		495	86.5%	10.0	8.9	В

Intersection 8

Deer Valley Drive East/Pick-up/Drop-off

Uncontrolled

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	h) Total Delay (sec/veh)		
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn						
NB	Through	200	157	78.7%	2.7	0.8	Α
ND	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	200	157	78.7%	2.7	0.8	Α
	Left Turn						
SB	Through	200	174	87.1%	44.2	35.6	E
36	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	200	174	87.1%	44.2	35.6	Е
	Left Turn						
EB	Through						
LD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn						
WB	Through						
VVD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Total	400	332	82.9%	24.7	18.8	С

Intersection 101

Deer Valley Drive West/Deer Valley Drive East

Signal

		Storage		Average	Queue (ft)			Maximum	Queue (ft)		Exceeds
Direction	Movement	(ft)	Average	Std. Dev.	Minimum	Maximum	Average	Std. Dev.	Minimum	Maximum	Storage?
NB	U Turn Second Left Left Turn										
	Through Right Turn Second Right	500 500	214 218	31 31	154 158	264 268	519 523	49 49	397 401	581 586	MAX MAX
SB	U Turn Second Left Left Turn Through Right Turn Second Right	100 500	22 6	4 1	18 5	29 8	168 125	16 22	140 105	186 169	MAX NO
ЕВ	U Turn Second Left Left Turn Through Right Turn Second Right										
WB	U Turn Second Left Left Turn Through Right Turn Second Right	500 100	8	1	5 29	10 39	52 326	9 48	38 240	66 405	NO MAX

Intersection 102

Deer Valley Drive West/Doe Pass Road

Side-street Stop

		Storage		Average	Queue (ft)			Maximum	Queue (ft)		Exceeds
Direction	Movement	(ft)	Average	Std. Dev.	Minimum	Maximum	Average	Std. Dev.	Minimum	Maximum	Storage?
NB	U Turn Second Left Left Turn Through Right Turn Second Right	150	1	0	0	1	30	2	28	34	NO
SB	U Turn Second Left Left Turn Through Right Turn Second Right										
ЕВ	U Turn Second Left Left Turn Through Right Turn Second Right	500 500	0	0 0	0 0	0	0 3	0 5	0 0	0 13	NO NO
WB	U Turn Second Left Left Turn Through Right Turn Second Right	100	0	0	0	0	54	28	27	103	NO

Intersection 103

Deer Valley Drive East/Doe Pass Road

Signal

	1	Storage		Average Queue (ft)			Maximum Queue (ft)				Exceeds
Direction	Movement	(ft)	Average	Std. Dev.	Minimum	Maximum	Average	Std. Dev.	Minimum	Maximum	Storage?
	U Turn Second Left										
NB	Left Turn	300	29	2	25	34	249	29	210	297	NO
IND	Through	300	28	2	25	33	248	29	209	296	NO
	Right Turn										
	Second Right										
	U Turn										
	Second Left										
SB	Left Turn										
36	Through	150	7	1	5	9	117	26	69	153	NO
	Right Turn	150	7	1	6	10	120	26	73	156	NO
	Second Right										
	U Turn										
	Second Left										
EB	Left Turn	75	2	0	1	3	109	9	90	115	MAX
LD	Through										
	Right Turn	75	2	0	2	3	109	9	90	115	MAX
	Second Right										
	U Turn										
	Second Left										
WB	Left Turn										
WB	Through										
	Right Turn										
	Second Right										

Intersection 104

Solamere Drive/Deer Valley Drive East

Side-street Stop

		Storage		Average	Queue (ft)			Maximum	Queue (ft)		Exceeds
Direction	Movement	(ft)	Average	Std. Dev.	Minimum	Maximum	Average	Std. Dev.	Minimum	Maximum	Storage?
	U Turn										
	Second Left										
NB	Left Turn										
ND	Through										
	Right Turn										
	Second Right										
	U Turn										
	Second Left										
SB	Left Turn	500	0	0	0	1	34	5	27	44	NO
36	Through										
	Right Turn	500	0	0	0	1	34	5	27	44	NO
	Second Right										
	U Turn										
	Second Left										
EB	Left Turn	500	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	NO
Lb	Through	500	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	NO
	Right Turn										
	Second Right										
	U Turn										
	Second Left										
WB	Left Turn										
VVD	Through	500	0	0	0	0	1	4	0	12	NO
	Right Turn	500	1	0	1	1	31	0	31	31	NO
	Second Right										

Intersection 105

Deer Valley Drive East/Queen Esther Drive

Side-street Stop

		Storage		_	Queue (ft)				Queue (ft)		Exceeds
Direction	Movement	(ft)	Average	Std. Dev.	Minimum	Maximum	Average	Std. Dev.	Minimum	Maximum	Storage?
	U Turn										
	Second Left										
NB	Left Turn										
115	Through	500	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	NO
	Right Turn	500	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	NO
	Second Right										
	U Turn										
	Second Left										
SB	Left Turn	500	0	0	0	0	19	12	0	42	NO
36	Through	500	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	NO
	Right Turn										
	Second Right										
	U Turn										
	Second Left										
EB	Left Turn										
LB	Through										
	Right Turn										
	Second Right										
	U Turn										
	Second Left										
WB	Left Turn	500	0	0	0	1	27	6	20	38	NO
VVD	Through										
	Right Turn	500	6	0	5	7	78	4	72	86	NO
	Second Right										

Intersection 1 P2 Parking/Doe Pass Road Side-street Stop

		Storage		Average	Queue (ft)			Maximum	Queue (ft)		Exceeds
Direction	Movement	(ft)	Average	Std. Dev.	Minimum	Maximum	Average	Std. Dev.	Minimum	Maximum	Storage?
NB	U Turn Second Left Left Turn Through Right Turn Second Right	150	68	0	68	69	121	1	119	122	NO
SB	U Turn Second Left Left Turn Through Right Turn Second Right										
ЕВ	U Turn Second Left Left Turn Through Right Turn Second Right	125 125	1 0	0 0	1 0	1	59 38	13 12	34 14	73 52	NO NO
WB	U Turn Second Left Left Turn Through Right Turn Second Right	75	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	NO

Intersection 2 P1 Parking/Doe Pass Road Side-street Stop

		Storage		_	Queue (ft)				Queue (ft)		Exceeds
Direction	Movement	(ft)	Average	Std. Dev.	Minimum	Maximum	Average	Std. Dev.	Minimum	Maximum	Storage?
NB	U Turn Second Left Left Turn Through Right Turn Second Right	150	4	0	4	4	78	2	76	82	NO
SB	U Turn Second Left Left Turn Through Right Turn Second Right										
EB	U Turn Second Left Left Turn Through Right Turn Second Right	125 125	0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	NO NO
WB	U Turn Second Left Left Turn Through Right Turn Second Right	75	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	NO

Intersection 3

Mobility Hub Entrance/Doe Pass Road

Side-street Stop

		Storage		Average	Queue (ft)			Maximum	Queue (ft)		Exceeds
Direction	Movement	(ft)	Average	Std. Dev.	Minimum	Maximum	Average	Std. Dev.	Minimum	Maximum	Storage?
	U Turn										
	Second Left										
NB	Left Turn										
NB	Through										
	Right Turn										
	Second Right										
	U Turn										
	Second Left										
SB	Left Turn										
	Through										
	Right Turn										
	Second Right										
	U Turn										
	Second Left										
EB	Left Turn										
LB	Through	75	0	0	0	2	4	13	0	43	NO
	Right Turn	75	0	0	0	2	4	13	0	43	NO
	Second Right										
	U Turn										
	Second Left										
WB	Left Turn	100	0	0	0	1	16	17	0	39	NO
VV D	Through	100	1	0	0	1	102	11	70	106	MAX
	Right Turn										
	Second Right										

Intersection 4

Mobility Hub Exit/Doe Pass Road

Side-street Stop

		Storage			Queue (ft)				Exceeds		
Direction	Movement	(ft)	Average	Std. Dev.	Minimum	Maximum	Average	Std. Dev.	Minimum	Maximum	Storage?
	U Turn										
	Second Left										
NB	Left Turn	100	18	8	8	36	141	15	119	160	MAX
NB	Through										
	Right Turn	100	18	8	8	36	140	15	119	160	MAX
	Second Right										
	U Turn										
	Second Left										
SB	Left Turn										
36	Through										
	Right Turn										
	Second Right										
	U Turn										
	Second Left										
EB	Left Turn										
LB	Through	100	0	0	0	0	14	12	0	36	NO
	Right Turn										
	Second Right										
	U Turn										
	Second Left										
WB	Left Turn										
VVD	Through	75	1	0	0	2	103	17	59	116	MAX
	Right Turn										
	Second Right										

Intersection 5

Deer Valley Drive East/P2 Parking

Side-street Stop

	I	Storage		Average	Queue (ft)				Exceeds		
Direction	Movement	(ft)	Average	Std. Dev.	Minimum	Maximum	Average	Std. Dev.	Minimum	Maximum	Storage?
NB	U Turn Second Left Left Turn Through	160	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	7	NO
	Right Turn Second Right										
SB	U Turn Second Left Left Turn Through Right Turn Second Right	300 50	0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	NO NO
ЕВ	U Turn Second Left Left Turn Through Right Turn Second Right	150	37	1	36	39	117	1	116	118	NO
WB	U Turn Second Left Left Turn Through Right Turn Second Right										

Intersection 6

Deer Valley Drive East/P3 Parking

Side-street Stop

		Storage		Average	Queue (ft)				Exceeds		
Direction	Movement	(ft)	Average	Std. Dev.	Minimum	Maximum	Average	Std. Dev.	Minimum	Maximum	Storage?
NB	U Turn Second Left Left Turn										
IND	Through Right Turn Second Right	200	1	0	1	2	68	23	29	105	NO
SB	U Turn Second Left Left Turn Through Right Turn Second Right	160	0	0	0	1	28	11	12	53	NO
ЕВ	U Turn Second Left Left Turn Through Right Turn Second Right	150	35	1	33	37	101	1	101	103	NO
WB	U Turn Second Left Left Turn Through Right Turn Second Right										

Intersection 7

Deer Valley Drive East/P4 Parking

Side-street Stop

	I	Storage		Average	Queue (ft)			Maximum	Queue (ft)		Exceeds
Direction	Movement	(ft)	Average	Std. Dev.	Minimum	Maximum	Average	Std. Dev.	Minimum	Maximum	Storage?
	U Turn										
	Second Left										
NB	Left Turn										
ND	Through	100	3	1	2	5	116	14	82	139	MAX
	Right Turn										
	Second Right										
	U Turn										
	Second Left										
SB	Left Turn										
35	Through	75	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	NO
	Right Turn	75	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	NO
-	Second Right										
	U Turn										
	Second Left										
EB	Left Turn	150	19	17	6	66	126	26	84	181	NO
	Through										
	Right Turn	150	22	15	8	63	146	18	111	170	NO
	Second Right										
	U Turn										
	Second Left										
WB	Left Turn										
	Through										
	Right Turn										
	Second Right										

Intersection 8

Deer Valley Drive East/Pick-up/Drop-off

Uncontrolled

		Storage		Average	Queue (ft)			Maximum	Queue (ft)		Exceeds
Direction	Movement	(ft)	Average	Std. Dev.	Minimum	Maximum	Average	Std. Dev.	Minimum	Maximum	Storage?
	U Turn Second Left										
NB	Left Turn										
NB	Through	150	39	38	4	124	201	49	99	270	MAX
	Right Turn										
	Second Right										
	U Turn										
	Second Left										
SB	Left Turn										
	Through	150	10	10	1	36	90	36	52	170	NO
	Right Turn										
-	Second Right										
	U Turn										
	Second Left										
EB	Left Turn										
25	Through										
	Right Turn										
	Second Right										
	U Turn										
	Second Left										
WB	Left Turn										
WB	Through										
	Right Turn										
	Second Right										

Intersection 0 // Signal

		Storage		Average (Queue (ft)	Maximum Queue (ft) Average Std. Dev. Minimum Maximum		Exceeds			
Direction	Movement	(ft)	Average	Std. Dev.	Minimum	Maximum	Average	Std. Dev.	Minimum	Maximum	Storage?
NB	U Turn Second Left Left Turn Through Right Turn										
SB	Second Right U Turn Second Left Left Turn Through Right Turn Second Right										
SE	U Turn Second Left Left Turn Through Right Turn Second Right										
ЕВ	U Turn Second Left Left Turn Through Right Turn Second Right										
WB	U Turn Second Left Left Turn Through Right Turn Second Right										

Copyright © 2020 All rights reserved. The Urban Land Institute, International Council of Shopping Centers, and National Parking Association.

Project: UT20-2245

Description: Snow Park Transportation Study

			Par	k City Mi	nimum Pa	arking Ra	ites Base	d <i>Nonsha</i>	ared Park	ing Dem	and Sum	mary						
					Weekday					Weekend				Weekday			Weekend	
Land Use	Proje Quantity	ect Data Unit	Base Ratio	Driving Adj	Non- Captive Ratio	Project Ratio	Unit For Ratio	Base Ratio	Driving Adj	Non- Captive Ratio	Project Ratio	Unit For Ratio	Peak Hr Adj 6 AM	Peak Mo Adj December	Estimated Parking Demand	Peak Hr Adj 6 AM	Peak Mo Adj December	Estimated Parking Demand
							Re	etail										
Retail (<400 ksf)	25,866	sf GLA	3.22	100%	100%	3.22	ksf GLA	3.20	100%	100%	3.20	ksf GLA	100%	100%	84	100%	100%	83
Employee			0.78	100%	100%	0.78		0.80	100%	100%	0.80		100%	100%	21	100%	100%	21
							Food and	Beverage										
						Ente	ertainment	and Institu	utions									
Convention Center	30,879	sf GLA	5.73	100%	100%	5.73	ksf GLA	5.73	100%	100%	5.73	ksf GLA	100%	100%	177	100%	100%	177
Employee			0.52	100%	100%	0.52		0.52	100%	100%	0.52		100%	100%	17	100%	100%	17
							Hotel and	Residentia	ıl									
Hotel-Business		keys	0.87	100%	100%	0.87	key	0.87	100%	100%	0.87	key	100%	100%	-	100%	100%	-
Hotel-Leisure	193	keys	0.87	100%	100%	0.87	key	0.87	100%	100%	0.87	key	100%	100%	168	100%	100%	168
Hotel Employees	193	keys	0.13	100%	100%	0.13	key	0.13	100%	100%	0.13	key	100%	100%	25	100%	100%	25
Restaurant/Lounge	5,451	sf GLA	4.24	100%	100%	4.24	ksf GLA	4.26	100%	100%	4.26	ksf GLA	100%	100%	24	100%	100%	24
Meeting/Banquet (0 to 20 sq ft/key)		sf GLA	0.00	100%	100%	0.00	ksf GLA	0.00	100%	100%	0.00	ksf GLA	100%	100%	-	100%	100%	-
Meeting/Banquet (20 to 50 sq ft/key)		sf GLA	0.00	100%	100%	0.00	ksf GLA	0.00	100%	100%	0.00	ksf GLA	100%	100%	-	100%	100%	-
Meeting/Banquet (50 to 100 sq ft/key)		sf GLA	0.00	100%	100%	0.00	ksf GLA	0.00	100%	100%	0.00	ksf GLA	100%	100%	-	100%	100%	-
Convention (100 to 200 sq ft/key)		sf GLA	0.00	100%	100%	0.00	ksf GLA	5.50	100%	100%	5.50	ksf GLA	100%	100%	-	100%	100%	-
Convention (> 200 sq ft/key)		sf GLA	4.58	100%	100%	4.58	ksf GLA	4.58	100%	100%	4.58	ksf GLA	100%	100%	-	100%	100%	-
Restaurant/Meeting Employees	5,451	sf GLA	0.76	100%	100%	0.76	ksf GLA	0.74	100%	100%	0.74	ksf GLA	100%	100%	5	100%	100%	5
Residential, Urban																0%		
Studio Efficiency		units	0.00	100%	100%	0.00	unit	0.00	100%	100%	0.00	unit	100%	100%	-	100%	100%	-
1 Bedroom	11	units	0.00	100%	100%	0.00	unit	0.00	100%	100%	0.00	unit	100%	100%	-	100%	100%	-
2 Bedrooms		units	0.00	100%	100%	0.00	unit	0.00	100%	100%	0.00	unit	100%	100%	-	100%	100%	-
3+ Bedrooms	132	units	0.00	100%	100%	0.00	unit	0.00	100%	100%	0.00	unit	100%	100%	-	100%	100%	-
Reserved	100%	res spaces	1.44	100%	100%	1.44	unit	1.41	100%	100%	1.41	unit	100%	100%	206	100%	100%	201
Visitor	143	units	0.06	100%	100%	0.06	unit	0.08	100%	100%	0.08	unit	100%	100%	9	100%	100%	13
								fice										
							Additiona	Land Use	s									
Ski Resort (as observed during data collection)	1	count	1,500	100%	100%	1,500	count	1,500	100%	100%	1,500	count	100%	100%	1,500	100%	100%	1,500
Employee			0.00	100%	100%	0.00		0.00	100%	100%	0.00		100%	100%		100%	100%	
													Custom	er/Visitor	1,962		tomer	1,965
													Employee	e/Resident	68	Employe	e/Resident	68
													Rese	erved	206	Res	erved	201
													To	otal	2,236	T	otal	2,234



Attachment A: Trip Generation Memorandum



MEMORANDUM

Date: January 21, 2022

To: Alexandra Ananth, Park City Planning

From: Fehr & Peers

Subject: Revised Trip Generation Estimates for the Snow Park Village Traffic Impact

Study

UT20-2245

This memorandum presents revised trip generation estimates for the proposed Snow Park Village project at Deer Valley Resort. The original trip generation estimates included in the Traffic Impact Study (April 2021) were reviewed by Park City staff and Wall Consulting Group (WCG), a third-party reviewer retained by the City. Park City staff, through WCG, requested revisions to the trip generation estimates with supporting documentation and/or rationale. Revisions presented in this memorandum are based on an updated land use plan, a local precedent study, comparable trip resort analysis, published trip generation rates from the Institute of Transportation Engineers, and mode shift assumptions derived from the Summit County travel demand model. This memorandum is an intermediate deliverable while additional details regarding site access and circulation are being resolved.

In summary, revised trip generation estimates for the Snow Park Village project show 2,276 daily trips, 162 trips in the Saturday AM peak-hour, and 204 trips in the Saturday PM peak hour. When compared with estimates included in the April 2021 traffic impact study, this results in an 60 percent increase in estimated daily trips, 80 percent increase in the Saturday AM peak-hour trips, and a 148 percent increase in the Saturday PM peak-hour trips.

Trip Generation Estimates

Trip generation estimates focus on Saturday AM and PM peak-hour operations due to the nature of how a ski resort operates: skier traffic is consistently highest on Saturdays. Updated trip generation estimates for Snow Park Village are presented below in **Table 1**.



Key Revisions

Trip generation estimates in this memorandum incorporate several key revisions, including:

- Updated resort hotel trip generation rates taken from the 2018 Canyons Village Transportation Master Plan
- Assumed mode shift away from private car taken from MXD, the Environmental Protection Agency's approved trip generation method, and the Summit County travel demand model for all proposed land uses
- Reductions in trip generation rates due to the implementation of paid parking for day skiers and most proposed land uses
- Reliance on trip internalization derived from MXD and the Summit County travel demand model for most proposed land uses
- The rate of internal capture assumed due to complementary land uses derived from analysis at a peer resort (Palisades at Tahoe, formerly known as Squaw Valley)

This combination of updates represents a much more conservative foundation for subsequent traffic analysis. Each of these changes and justification for each are described in greater detail below.

Resort Hotel Trip Generation Rates

The third-party reviewers (WCG) noted that the resort hotel trip generation rates appeared unreasonably low based on observed trip generation rates recorded during the development of the 2018 Canyons Village Transportation Master Plan. While there are a handful of key factors that might result in trip generation rates closer to those in the original Snow Park Village Traffic Impact Study, including proximity to the interstate and other complementary land uses, estimates in this memorandum used the local rates recorded at the Canyons.

Assumed Mode Shift

To avoid double-counting potential reductions, as was the case in the original Snow Park Village traffic impact study, the trip generation estimates in this memorandum rely solely on mode shift derived from the MXD methodology and underlying assumptions from the regional travel demand model. These reductions, which are shown in the columns titled "% Walk/Bike" and "% Transit," are applied to all proposed land uses. This results in a more conservative and defensible analysis,



however, it does not account for the planned changes to transit service in Park City and the worldclass transit facility proposed as part of the Snow Park Village project. Potential mode shift to transit for those traveling to and from Deer Valley may be higher following such improvements.

Reduction in Vehicle Trips due to Implementation of Paid Parking

Charging for parking is a reliable method by which to influence mode choice, and Deer Valley intends to implement paid parking as part of the Snow Park Village proposal. The original Snow Park Village traffic study assumed a reduction in vehicle trips of nearly 18% and applied it to all land uses. This reduction was developed based on approximately 50 studies on the effects of paid parking from across the United States. WCG noted this reduction seemed high based on assumptions about typical Deer Valley clientele and their assumed willingness to pay for fees in addition to lift tickets, meal, lessons, and/or equipment rentals.

Reductions in trip generation due to the implementation of paid parking at Deer Valley have been scaled back to present a more conservative estimate of how parking pricing will affect trip generation. While we agree that some Deer Valley clientele may be much less sensitive to additional costs associated with a day's skiing as presented in the traffic study, almost 45% of existing trips to and from Deer Valley start and end at points along the Wasatch Front, residents of which are more likely to alter their behavior based on willingness to pay (note the massive increase in peripheral on-street parking at a greater distance to ski lifts at Deer Valley's IKON pass-sharing resort, Solitude). Lastly, reductions in trip generation due to the implementation of parking pricing are applied only to the resort hotel-, shopping center-, and recreational community center-generated trips, as proposed residential uses at the site are unlikely to require that residents pay for parking on a daily basis.

Trip Internalization Derived from MXD

A fundamental element of the Snow Park Village proposal is to provide amenities, services, and entertainment options that complement each other and the ski resort itself. This means that peak-hour trips that might occur without complementary land uses are either delayed (so that they do not occur during the peak hours) or do not require a vehicle trip due to proximity of different uses. Trip internalization rates, presented in **Table 1** under the column heading "% Internal Capture" are applied only to the residential-, resort hotel-, and recreational community center-generated trips, and present a more conservative rate of internalization than presented in the original Snow Park Village traffic impact study.



Trip Internalization Derived from Squaw Valley

While the residential, hotel, and community center uses are expected to be destinations unto themselves that will generate a measurable number of peak-hour vehicle trips, the food service and retail uses (shown in **Table 1** as "Shopping enter") are expected to almost exclusively serve guests already at Deer Valley rather than guests traveling to Deer Valley explicitly for those services.

To support this assumption, trip generation estimates for the shopping center uses in this memorandum rely on trip internalization estimates derived from an origin-destination survey conducted at the Squaw Valley, California resort in 2011. Surveys conducted showed that 95-97% of customers at dining and retail uses in a similar context (ski resort base village) were already at the village for other purposes, and did not travel solely for the dining/retail use. Reductions based on the data from Squaw Valley are presented under the column heading "% Resort Int. Capt." And are applied only to the shopping center uses. We assume that employees for these uses will almost exclusively arrive and depart during off-peak periods, resulting in lower reductions for daily trips generated by the shopping center uses.

Conclusion

Trip generation estimates prepared for the original Snow Park Village traffic impact study were based on an older land use plan, double-counted some reductions in vehicle trips, applied others to incorrect land uses, and over-emphasized the potential reductions in vehicle trips derived from paid parking. However, this memorandum relies on several assumptions that are fundamental to the Snow Park Village proposal:

- Complementary land uses will reduce peak-hour vehicle trips by providing alternatives to driving
- Employees will typically arrive and depart during off-peak periods
- Charging for parking is one of the most powerful tools available for influencing mode choice, relying on an appropriate pricing structure being implemented

The trip generation estimates presented in this memorandum represent a conservative set of analyses that will inform a fully revised traffic impact study for the Snow Park Village Project.



Attachment B: Snow Park Village Parking Management Plan



MEMORANDUM

Date: January 21, 2022

To: Rich Wagner, Deer Valley

From: Fehr & Peers

Subject: Snow Park Village MPD Parking Response

UT20-2245

The current parking experience at Deer Valley follows a well-established surface parking scenario, typical of ski resorts. There are five large surface lots that hold approximately 1,340 cars. There is also a long-standing agreement with Park City to allow for overflow parking on parts of Deer Valley Drive on peak visitation days.

Parking Layout

The proposed redevelopment of the base area (Snow Park) will change the parking experience in three significant ways:

- Parking will be in structures;
- There will be a paid parking program, with variable pricing based on season and demand;
- There will be a robust parking management program that includes parking and availability information to visitors as they approach the development, parking garages, and once within, and will rely heavily on Deer Valley's high-quality customer service provided by trained staff.

For phase 1, the proposed parking garages will be on four levels. Each level will have a prescribed function as outlined below. Parking loading will be managed level by level, utilizing guest services staff and electronic messaging. To help ensure that the majority of traffic coming to Snow Park does not conflict with transit on Doe Pass Road, signing, striping, and prominent wayfinding will direct the majority of personal vehicles to use Deer Valley Drive East to enter the garages, while transit and shuttle vehicles will be directed to Deer Valley Drive West and/or Doe Pass Road. The primary entrances to the garages, for levels P2, P3, and P4, will be from Deer Valley Drive East. There are no internal garage connections between levels allowing each level of the garage to serve



as an independent programmable parking resource. The layout and uses are shown in the attached *Parking Allocation* figure.

P1 Parking – this level will be divided between two user groups with a total of 406 stalls. Hotel/condo uses will have 202 stalls. The other 204 stalls may be utilized by valet parking and/or credentialed access users. Access to this area is from Doe Pass near the intersection Deer Valley Drive west. Due to its restricted uses, demand for spaces on P1 is expected to be relatively low, with hotel patrons parking vehicles for multiple days at once. In addition, it is unlikely that all hotel patrons will need to park at times that coincide with peak day skier arrival, further reducing the expected number of vehicles on Doe Pass Road during peak hours.

P2 Parking – this level will have 368 stalls. It will primarily be used for winter day skiers and summer resort guests during those seasons, transient parking and special event parking during event periods. Access is provided on Deer Valley Drive East, however an auxiliary exit is provided accessing Doe Pass to add flexibility in managing egress and minimize potential congestion during periods of peak parking demand and special events.

P3 Parking – the primary users for this level will be similar to P2; day users, transient parking, special event parking as well as space dedicated to ski school drop-off/pick-up. There are 375 stalls for these uses. There are an additional 80 stalls for hotel/condo use, for a total of 455 stalls. Access is primarily to/from Deer Valley Drive, however an auxiliary entrance/exit is provided accessing Deer Valley Drive West/Royal Street intersection, which will be dedicated to hotel and condominium uses.

P4 Parking – there are 90 stalls for ski school, valet, and short-term parking on this level. "Short-term" means for visitor parking less than 30 minutes for such purposes as pick-up/drop-off, kiss 'n' ride, and so on. The balance of the parking on this level is 41 for hotel/condo uses.

North Parcel – The north parcel will consist of an additional 450 stalls. These will initially remain surface parking. This area will eventually consist of two levels, NP1 and NP2, and the total parking stalls will remain at 450. The north parcel will have the same level of parking management, including paid parking, and parking management technology, communications via multiple platforms, and high-touch customer service.

Structured parking layouts ae shown below in Figure 1.









Source: IBI Group



Paid Parking

A paid parking scheme will be implemented in a manner that ensures transactions for inbound traffic do not cause delays which could impact adjacent streets. The price will vary by season and is an important tool to encourage all visitors to travel by modes other than driving alone. Signs and parking processes will be designed to maximize efficiency and minimize congestion.

Recognizing that the much of the typical clientele of Deer Valley are less price-sensitive than many potential parkers, pricing may be adjusted following initial implementation to ensure that the preferred reductions in peak parking demand are achieved.

Communications

To achieve the smoothest parking operations possible, parking information will be made available on Deer Valley's website and integrated into any platforms through which ski passes might be purchased. Additionally, hotel and condominium uses will be expected to incentivize arrival options that do not require parking on-site.

Parking availability by level will be integrated into the design of Snow Park. Parking information will be part of the dynamic wayfinding program included in the development. This information will be available to the visitor via electronic messaging at key decision points along Deer Valley Drive East, including at the newly-configured "Y" intersection of Deer Valley Drives East and West, and as the driver approaches the garage entrances. Parking communication may also be integrated into various phone and web apps operated by the resort, city, county, etc.

Once inside the parking levels, parking availability and general internal wayfinding will be incorporated into the design to improve access rates, guiding visitors to available spaces. The exact technologies and vendors have not been determined at this point, but it will employ the most appropriate and technologically advanced parking and transportation systems to ensure an efficient and user-friendly parking experience with minimal impact on adjacent streets.



Attachment C: Snow Park Village Transportation Demand Management Plan

Snow Park Village TDM Plan

Prepared for: Deer Valley

October 2022

UT20-2245

FEHR PEERS

Table of Contents

1. Project Description and TDM Approach	4
1.1 Project Description	4
1.2 TDM Approach	6
2. Snow Park Village TDM Program	
2.1 Primary TDM measures	8
3. Program Monitoring and Adaptation	14
3.1 Monitoring Program	14
3.1.1 Annual Monitoring Report	15

Table of Figures

Figure 1: Project Location	. 5
Figure 2: Deer Valley Traveler Origins and Destinations	. 7

This page intentionally left blank.



1. Project Description and TDM Approach

This Transportation Demand Management (TDM) Plan describes the proposed approach to reduce the total number of vehicle trips at the Snow Park Village project at Deer Valley Resort in Park City, Utah. The Park City Municipal Corporation (PCMC), through its planning department review of the project application, has requested that a standalone TDM Plan be developed for the project. In addition, the City adopted a TDM Plan in 2016 that specifies how the City seeks to reduce vehicle trips through TDM strategies. A reduction in vehicle trips will reduce local pollution, greenhouse gas emissions and improve the quality of life for all who live and work in Park City by reducing vehicle traffic.

This document describes how Deer Valley intends to reduce the number of single-occupancy vehicle (SOV) trips to Snow Park Village using a variety of TDM options. This plan is based heavily on PCMC's existing TDM plan and strategies therein, adopted in August 2016.

Additionally, this plan formalizes TDM offerings that are already provided by Deer Valley to guests and employees for some time. In addition to describing existing offerings, this plan includes new TDM measures to help reduce SOV trips and monitor program effectiveness through ongoing collaboration with PCMC staff and other major destinations in Park City.

1.1 Project Description

Snow Park Village proposes to repurpose the existing surface parking lots of the Snow Park base area at Deer Valley Resort for a mixed-use development including hotel, residential, retail and events center uses. Snow Park Village is approximately 1.5 miles from downtown Park City and approximately 2.5 miles from the Pak City Mountain Resort base area. Snow Park Village's location in Park City is shown in **Figure 1**.

The bulk of activity at the Snow Park Village is expected to take place during normal business hours. Parking at the site will be priced and include standard and ADA-compliant spaces. Central to the success of the project, a multimodal mobility hub is proposed on Deer Valley Drive, will facilitate non-automobile connections to key destinations in Park City, elsewhere in Summit County, and the Salt Lake Valley. Full build-out of Snow Park Village will include a network of dedicated pedestrian paths within the project, as well as connections to area cycling and pedestrian facilities.






1.2 TDM Approach

The success of a TDM program relies on creating a system to manage travel demand that shifts the behavior of those traveling to and from Snow Park from using single occupant vehicles to options other than driving alone. The following sections describe the menu of transportation choices that will make it easier and more convenient to use modes other than driving alone. Through an evaluation of anonymized mobile phone data, provided by a third-party vendor, this Plan has been assembled with the knowledge that a substantial portion of those traveling to and from Deer Valley do so from points around the region. The origins and destinations of Deer Valley's guests and employees are dispersed throughout northern Utah, with the largest share traveling to and from points along the Wasatch Front, as shown in **Figure 2.** This variety of travel patters requires a robust and diverse program to reduce drive alone trips. A diverse and flexible TDM program will allow Deer Valley to match the transportation services to the travel needs of all traveling to and from Snow Park Village. The TDM Plan described in the following sections supports the project's commitment to managing vehicle traffic to and from Snow Park Village while maintaining flexibility in response to changing travel behavior and regional transportation investments.







2. Snow Park Village TDM Program

2.1 Primary TDM measures

Deer Valley will provide a variety of opportunities for those traveling to and from Snow Park to choose travel modes that are not driving alone. These are categorized as incentivizing using transit, riding a bicycle, sharing a car, or some combination thereof. A summary of the Primary TDM measures can be found in **Table 1**.

Table 1: Primary TDM Measures

Measure	Status	Description
Transit pass subsidy	Existing Program	Subsidized UTA transit passes for Deer Valley employees living in Salt Lake Valley and Utah Valley
Bicycle Amenities and Perks	New Program	Bicycle repair tools and dedicated bicycle parking at key locations
Education and Promotion	Existing Program	Educational and promotional events to encourage travelers to use by modes other than driving alone.
Parking Management	New Program	Efficient, constrained, and priced parking to discourage drive-alone trips
Employee Transit	Existing Program	Operate designated employee transit to facilitate efficient employee commutes through an appealing alternative
Real-Time Messaging	New Program	Communicate traffic conditions in real time to travelers
Appoint a TDM Coordinator	New Program	Identify a staff member to oversee the TDM program

Source: Deer Valley

More detailed descriptions of the Primary TDM Measures can be found below.



To incentivize traveling by bicycle, Deer Valley plans to implement the bicycling-based TDM strategies listed in **Table 2**.

Table 2: Bicycling and Walking TDM Strategies

Biking/Walking Strategies	Status	Target User Groups	Description
Implement Bicycle Parking at Key Destinations and Transit Stops	New Program	Day Guests Commuters Employees	Snow Park Village's site plan includes the provision of safe and convenient locations to park bicycles, encouraging their use and removing barriers such as frustration in finding secure parking and bicycle theft. This includes the proposed mobility hub on Deer Valley Drive, a key connecting point for trips to and from Snow Park.
Expand e-Bike Share	New Program	Day Guests Commuters Employees	Snow Park Village will include a relocated PCMC e-bike-share station with direct access to the mobility hub. This will expand coverage of the existing e-bike share service in Park City and enable more non-automobile trips for people traveling to and from Snow Park Village.
Install Bicycle Repair Stand	New Program	Day Guests Commuters Employees	Deer Valley will install two do-it-yourself bicycle repair stands: one at the proposed mobility hub on Deer Valley Drive, and another seasonal stand at the Silver Lake Express base. The repair stands may include an air pump and basic tools to make minor bicycle repairs. Additional repair options include full-service bike shop(s) during the summer season and onmountain assistance from Bike Patrol.

Source: Deer Valley

To incentivize traveling by modes other than driving alone, Deer Valley plans to implement the parking-based TDM strategies listed in **Table 3.**

Table 3: Demand Management TDM Strategies

Demand Management Strategies	Status	Target User Groups	Description
Implement Real-Time	New	Day Skiers	Deer Valley plans to work with the City, UDOT, and Summit County to deploy VMS boards and other messaging systems at key locations, including approach roads, parking areas, and ski lift bases, to inform those traveling to and from Snow Park Village of current traffic and parking conditions. Additionally, Deer Valley will use its website, social media platforms, and mobile application to notify guests in real time. This will enable
Information Messaging	Program	Employees	



			visitors to make more informed transportation choices allowing for better demand management.
Provide Additional Evening Recreation Opportunities/Amenities:	New Program	Day Skiers Employees Overnight Guests	Providing additional activities, food and beverage options, and/or entertainment for visitors after the ski day has ended is an essential element of the Snow Park Village proposal. Providing opportunities for day skiers to linger at the base area longer will better distribute peakhour outbound vehicle trips.

Source: Deer Valley

To incentivize traveling by modes other than driving alone, Deer Valley plans to implement the parking-based TDM strategies listed in **Table 4.**

Table 4: Policy-Based TDM Strategies

Policy Strategies	Status	Target User Groups	Description
Provide Employee Housing	Existing Program	Employees	Deer Valley has and will continue to provide subsidized housing for its employees in and around Park City. The locations of this housing allow for shorter commutes with access to public transit or shuttles, and increases the likelihood of ridesharing among employees. Any active, full-time staff member is eligible for employee housing. Employee housing is distributed throughout Park City and Heber City in areas that are served by public and employee transit.
Provide Employee Amenities	Existing Program	Employees	Deer Valley employees are able use various on-site amenities that will be provided at Snow Park Village, including employee dining rooms that offer discounted meals, and employee locker rooms that allow for storage of personal items to reduce the need for trips off-site during shift changes and during mealtimes.
Childcare	Existing Program	Day Skiers Employees Overnight Guests	Parents managing childcare are among those who are most attached to private vehicles for personal travel, and providing on-site childcare in the form of both nursery/day care programs, and on-mountain options for active childcare will reduce the need for parents to make multiple local trips and enable their use of non-SOV modes by collocating services. Deer Valley employees are eligible for discounted childcare programs.

Source: Deer Valley

To incentivize traveling by modes other than driving alone, Deer Valley plans to implement the parking-based TDM strategies listed in **Table 5.**





Table 5: Parking TDM Strategies

Parking Strategies	Status	Target User Groups	Description
Implementation of Efficient Parking Schemes	Existing Program	Day Skiers Employees	Deer Valley will continue to assess the need for remote or satellite parking areas for days on which parking demand requires additional capacity beyond that which is provided at the base area itself. The only designated off-site parking location that has been used by Deer Valley is Treasure Mountain Middle School, and is used solely on days of particularly high demand.
Implement Parking Demand Management	New Program	Day Skiers Employees	A fundamental aspect of Snow Park Village's proposed parking system is to charge for parking, a direct incentive to those traveling to Deer Valley to more efficiently utilize vehicle capacity, specifically for day skiers. The cost of parking at Snow Park Village will be set at a level that will incentivize higher-occupancy vehicles when traveling to and from Snow Park, a direct disincentive to drive alone. While many Deer Valley patrons are likely less price sensitive to additional charges such as paid parking, available data suggests that a substantial portion of day traffic originates from points along the Wasatch Front, from where patrons are expected to be more price sensitive to parking fees, increasing their likelihood of mode shift.

Source: Deer Valley



To incentivize traveling by modes other than driving alone, Deer Valley plans to implement the programmatic TDM strategies listed in **Table 6**.

Table 6: Program-Based TDM Strategies

Programmatic Strategies	Status	Target User Groups	Description
Establish a TDM Coordinator	New Program	Employees Day Skiers Overnight Guests	Deer Valley will identify an existing staff member to act as the TDM coordinator, a central source for TDM program information. The TDM coordinator may fill many roles, but may be responsible for: real-time messaging of traffic conditions to travelers, distribute information on new or adapted TDM program offerings, and evaluate the effectiveness and use of TDM program elements. The TDM coordinator will also continue to explore new TDM options that best serve Deer Valley guests and/or employees. The TDM coordinator will be the main point of contact with the City and will facilitate communication in connection with the proposed monitoring program. This coordinator will meet with Park City staff on a regular basis to discuss ongoing adjustments to the TDM measures.
Provide Tailored Information and Promotions	Existing Program	Employees Day Skiers Overnight Guests	Deer Valley will develop and distribute targeted messaging and promotions to ensure that different user groups are aware of the TDM measures most relevant to their needs. These promotions may include gamification to further incentivize non-drive alone trips. Deer Valley supports a mobile app used by employees that allows them to organize rides sharing, and identify transit, bike and walking options for their commute. The application also offers incentives to Deer Valley employees for not driving alone to work. Deer Valley will encourage all ski areaserving businesses (namely hotels and other lodging) to further emphasize their transportation offerings that allow guests to rely less on private vehicles and more on shared mobility.

Source: Deer Valley



To incentivize traveling to and from Snow Park by transit, Deer Valley plans to implement the transit-based TDM strategies listed in **Table 7.**

Table 7: Transit TDM Strategies

Transit Strategies	Status	Target User Groups	Description
Provide Employee Transit	Existing Program	Employees	To complement public transit service and supplement in certain areas where public transit may not yet exist, Deer Valley will continue to provide private employee transit to and from Snow Park to allow Deer Valley employees to travel longer distances (such as from Heber City) on employee shuttles. Deer Valley contracts through Le Bus to operate full-sized coach buses for their employees. In a typical (non-Covid) year, Deer Valley provides three AM peak-period and two PM peak-period shuttle runs to serve their employees living in River's Edge and Heber City.
Subsidize Transit Passes for Inter-City Commuters	Existing Program	' '	Deer Valley provides subsidized Utah Transit Authority passes to employees commuting to Deer Valley from Utah and Salt Lake Valleys.

Source: Deer Valley



3. Program Monitoring and Adaptation

Deer Valley has a strong interest in making trips to and from Snow Park Village as efficient and enjoyable as possible. Doing so is not only a way to improve the overall experience for all who visit Snow Park, but it also allows Deer Valley to contribute to shared goals for reducing traffic impacts within Park City and Summit County.

3.1 Monitoring Program

Deer Valley will conduct internal monitoring to best understand how various user groups are getting to Snow Park, how best to improve their experiences, and how to optimize their experience while minimizing their impact on area traffic and the environment. Elements of the TDM program may be adapted, added, or eliminated over time as Deer Valley strives to achieve maximum effectiveness with its TDM program. The Snow Park TDM program will change over time as travel behaviors change and the transportation context around Snow Park evolves.

Ongoing, real-time traffic monitoring will be enabled by a Deer Valley-funded and managed monitoring traffic monitoring station at the Deer Valley Drive / Deer Valley Drive East / Deer Valley Drive West intersection. This will allow for ongoing traffic counts, recording of queueing via still imagery, and year-over-year comparison at a crucial intersection in Park City.

The TDM coordinator will be responsible for ongoing collaboration and coordination with PCMC staff to ensure that goals are shared and TDM measures managed by Deer Valley are complementing those enacted by the City. To that end, semiannual meetings will take place among Deer Valley, PCMC staff, and other TDM coordinators:

- Prior to each ski season, relevant parties will gather to share relevant updates for the upcoming season, and identify potential opportunities for collaboration, share expectations for the coming months, and discuss performance metrics to be tracked
- Following each ski season, the same parties will meet to share lessons learned and review program performance as recorded by agreed-upon performance metrics, and establish potential action items during the off-season

With ongoing updates to local transit service operated by both Park City Transit and High Valley Transit, Deer Valley will strive to avoid duplication of transit service offerings. Deer Valley's TDM program is intended to support the use of public transit among the public rather than act as an alternative to public



transit service. As public transit coverage expands, Deer Valley will adapt its program to support local transit agencies.

3.1.1 Annual Monitoring Report

To evaluate the effectiveness of Deer Valley's TDM program, and inform potential adjustments to the program, Deer Valley will develop an annual monitoring report to be submitted to Park City staff for review. Submittal of this report will fall between semi-annual meeting with Park City staff and other TDM program mangers in Park City.

To the greatest extent possible, data collected for this monitoring effort will rely on existing or to-beimplemented sources. This will improve consistency across monitoring periods and allow for flexibility around weather or other events if needed.

Deer Valley will collect the following types of data for their TDM monitoring effort:

- Seven-day vehicle counts at all Snow Park Village driveways, to be analyzed and summarized by a third-party consultant. This data will be analyzed and summarized by a third-party consultant
- Average vehicle occupancy collected on one weekday and one weekend day, collected by a thirdparty vendor or Deer Valley staff, to be analyzed and summarized by a third-party consultant
- Ski season transit ridership, summarized at the stop and daily levels and provided by transit operators, to be analyzed and summarized by a third-party consultant
- Available data regarding program utilization from the Ride On Park City platform, to be analyzed and summarized by a third-party consultant

If additional or revised analyses are requested by the City, those requests can be reviewed and possibly scoped in advance of the first monitoring report.

